



Rendiconti  
Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL  
*Memorie di Matematica e Applicazioni*  
125° (2009), Vol. XXXI, fasc. 1, pagg. 175-182

JOSEPH ZAKS (\*)

---

## The Rational Analogue of the Beckman-Quarles Theorem and the Rational Realization of Some Sets in $E^d$

ABSTRACT. — We describe the recent developments concerning the rational analogues of the Beckman-Quarles Theorem, and discuss a related result concerning isometric embeddings in  $Q^m$  of subsets of  $E^n$ .

1. — Let  $E^d$  denote the Euclidean  $d$ -space, and let  $Q^d$  denote the Euclidean rational  $d$ -space. A mapping  $f : E^d \rightarrow E^d$  is called  $\rho$ -distance preserving if  $\|x - y\| = \rho$  implies that  $\|f(x) - f(y)\| = \rho$ . The Beckman Quarles Theorem [1] asserts that every mapping  $f : E^d \rightarrow E^d$  which preserves unit distance is an isometry, provided  $d \geq 2$ ; for a discrete version, see Tyszka [9].

W. Benz [2, 3] and H. Lenz [7] noticed that if  $d = 2, 3$  or  $4$ , a unit-distance preserving mapping from  $Q^d$  into  $Q^d$  needs not be an isometry. A. Tyszka [10] showed that every unit distance preserving mapping  $f : Q^8 \rightarrow Q^8$  is an isometry. In a sequence of papers [12, 13] we extended these results to all even dimensions  $d$  of the form  $d = 4k(k + 1)$  and all the odd dimensions  $d$  of the form  $d = 2m^2 - 1$ . W. Benz [2, 3] had shown that every mapping  $f : Q^d \rightarrow Q^d$  which preserves the distances 1 and 2 (or, equivalently, 1 and  $n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ) is an isometry, provided  $d \geq 5$ . We [14] had shown that every mapping  $f : Q^d \rightarrow Q^d$  which preserves the distances 1 and  $\sqrt{2}$  is an isometry, provided  $d \geq 5$ . R. Connelly and J. Zaks [5] showed that for all even  $d$ ,  $d \geq 6$ , every unit distance preserving mapping  $f : Q^d \rightarrow Q^d$  is an isometry. W. Hibi, my Ph.D. student, has recently proved [6] that for every  $d \geq 5$ , every unit-preserving mapping  $f : Q^d \rightarrow Q^d$  is an isometry.

Let  $Q(d, \rho)$  denote the graph whose vertices are the rational points of  $E^d$  and its edges are pairs of points  $(x, y)$  for which  $\|x - y\| = \rho$ . Denote by  $\omega(G)$  the clique number of a

(\*) Indirizzo dell'Autore: University of Haifa - Haifa - 31905 Israel  
e-mail: jzaks@math.haifa.ac.il

A.M.S. Classification: 51N20 - 05C62 - 05C10 - 51A20

graph  $G$ , and by  $\omega(d)$  the clique number of  $Q(d, 1)$ . The values of  $\omega(d)$  were given by Chilakamarry [4].

The main idea of W. Hibi [6] is the following lemma.

LEMMA: *If  $d \geq 5$ , if  $m = \omega(d) \leq d$  and if  $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_m, B, C\}$  is an  $(m + 2)$ -points set in  $Q^d$  for which  $\|A_i - A_j\| = \|A_j - B\| = \|B - C\| = 1, 1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , then every unit preserving mapping  $f : A \rightarrow Q^d$  has the property that  $f(C) \neq f(A_1)$ .*

To prove the lemma, observe that if  $f : A \rightarrow Q^d$  is a unit preserving mapping for which  $f(C) = f(A_1)$ , then  $\{f(A_1), \dots, f(A_m), f(B)\}$  forms an  $(m + 1)$ -clique in  $Q^d$ , contrary to the assumption that  $\omega(d) = m$ .

2. - Let  $A$  be a subset of  $E^n$  and let  $d = \dim(\text{Aff}(A))$ . If there exists a rational space  $Q^m$ , for some  $m$ , which contains a congruent copy of  $A$ , then *the rational dimension*  $\rho(A)$  of  $A$  is defined as the least  $m$  for which  $Q^m$  contains a congruent copy of  $A$ ; otherwise  $\rho(A)$  does not exist.

A set  $A$  is said to satisfy condition  $(\rho)$  if the following holds:

$$(\rho) \quad \|x - y\|^2 \text{ is a rational number for all } x, y \text{ in } A.$$

Obviously, if a set  $A$  can be rationally embedded in  $E^n$ , then  $A$  must satisfy the condition  $(\rho)$ .

We will show that condition  $(\rho)$  is sufficient for a set  $A$  in  $E^n$  to have a rational embedding in some  $E^m$ . We will establish the following theorems.

THEOREM 1: *If the vertex set  $S$  of a  $d$ -simplex  $A^d$  in  $E^n$  satisfies the condition  $(\rho)$ , then  $\rho(S) \leq 4d$ .*

Theorem 1 leads to our main result, which is the following.

THEOREM 2: *If a set  $A$  in  $E^n$  satisfies the condition  $(\rho)$ , and if  $\dim(\text{Aff}(A)) = d$ , then  $\rho(A) \leq 4d$ .*

Moreover, if  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  is a subset of  $A$  which has affine dimension  $d$ , then there exists a set  $\{V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*\}$  of  $d + 1$  points in  $Q^{4d}$  and there exists an isometric embedding  $f$  of  $\text{Aff}\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  onto a  $d$ -dimensional affine flat in  $E^{4d}$ , such that  $f(V_i) = V_i^*$  holds for all  $i, 0 \leq i \leq d$ , and  $f(A)$  is contained in  $Q^{4d}$ ; i.e., the mapping  $f$  isometrically embeds all the points of  $A$  into rational points in  $\text{Aff}\{V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*\}$  in  $E^{4d}$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: Let  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  be the vertex set of a  $d$ -simplex in  $E^n$  for which  $\|V_i - V_j\|^2 = d_{ij}^2$  is a rational number for all  $i$  and for all  $j, 0 \leq i < j \leq d$ .

For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , define  $W_i$  to be a point in  $Q^{4d}$  of the form

$$W_i = (0, \dots, 0, w_{4i-3}, w_{4i-2}, w_{4i-1}, w_{4i}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

in which the four coordinates  $w_{4i-3}, \dots, w_{4i}$  will be defined later. We inductively define the points  $V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*$  as follows. The point  $V_0^*$  is taken as the origin; assume that all the points  $V_1^*, \dots, V_{m-1}^*$  have been defined and they are of the form

$$V_1^* = W_1 \quad \text{and} \quad V_k^* = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} b_{k,j} W_j + W_k \in Q^{4d}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq m-1,$$

in which all the coefficients  $b_{k,j}$  are rational numbers,  $W_k$  are rational points and for which  $\|V_i^* - V_j^*\| = \|V_i - V_j\| = d_{ij}$  holds for all  $i$  and for all  $j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq m-1$ .

Define the point  $V_m^*$  to be of the form

$$V_m^* = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_{m,i} W_i + W_m \in Q^{4d},$$

in which all the  $b_{m,j}$  are rational numbers for which  $\|V_i^* - V_j^*\| = \|V_i - V_j\| = d_{ij}$  will hold for all  $i$  and for all  $j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ .

In the case  $d = 1$ , two points  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  are given in  $E^n$ , such that  $\|V_0 - V_1\|^2 = d_{12}^2$  is a positive rational number. By Lagrange's Four Squares Theorem [8], there exist four rational numbers  $a, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\delta$  such that  $a^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2 = \|V_0 - V_1\|^2$ . The two points  $V_0^*$  and  $V_1^*$  in  $Q^4$  are defined by  $V_0^* = (0, 0, 0, 0)$  and  $V_1^* = (a, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ .

The case  $d = 2$  deals with triangles, and it had been treated in ([6], Lemma 2, see also [14], Lemma 4 and Lemma 5); it will be included here as well.

We will determine the rational coefficients  $b_{m,1}, b_{m,2}, \dots, b_{m,m-1}$  and rational coordinates  $w_{4m-3}, w_{4m-2}, w_{4m-1}$  and  $w_{4m}$  of  $W_m$  as follows.

The following  $m$  equations,  $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ , are required to hold:

$$\|V_m^* - V_j^*\|^2 = d_{m,j}^2$$

in particular,

$$\|V_m^* - V_0^*\|^2 = d_{m,0}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_{m,i}^2 \|W_i\|^2 + \|W_m\|^2;$$

$$\|V_m^* - V_1^*\|^2 = d_{m,1}^2 = (b_{m,1} - 1)^2 \|W_1\|^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} b_{m,i}^2 \|W_i\|^2 + \|W_m\|^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\|V_m^* - V_1^*\|^2 - \|V_m^* - V_0^*\|^2 = d_{m,1}^2 - d_{m,0}^2 = (1 - 2b_{m,1}) \|W_1\|^2.$$

Thus  $b_{m,1}$  is a rational number, since

$$b_{m,1} = \frac{\|W_1\|^2 - d_{m,1}^2 + d_{m,0}^2}{2\|W_1\|^2} = \frac{d_{0,1}^2 - d_{m,1}^2 + d_{m,0}^2}{2d_{0,1}^2}.$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|V_m^* - V_2^*\|^2 - \|V_m^* - V_1^*\|^2 = d_{m,2}^2 - d_{m,1}^2 = \\
 & = (b_{m,1} - b_{2,1})^2 \|W_1\|^2 + (b_{m,2} - 1)^2 \|W_2\|^2 + \sum_{i=3}^{m-1} b_{m,i}^2 \|W_i\|^2 + \\
 & \quad - (b_{m,1} - 1)^2 \|W_1\|^2 - \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} b_{m,i}^2 \|W_i\|^2 = \\
 & = [(b_{m,1} - b_{2,1})^2 - (b_{m,1} - 1)^2] \|W_1\|^2 + (1 - 2b_{m,2}) \|W_2\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $b_{m,2}$  is a rational number, and so on.

We end up with the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|V_m^* - V_{m-1}^*\|^2 - \|V_m^* - V_{m-2}^*\|^2 = d_{m,m-1}^2 - d_{m,m-2}^2 = \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1-1} (b_{m,i} - b_{m-1,i})^2 \|W_i\|^2 + (b_{m,m-1} - 1)^2 \|W_{m-1}\|^2 + \\
 & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2-1} (b_{m,i} - b_{m-2,i})^2 \|W_i\|^2 - (b_{m,m-2} - 1)^2 \|W_{m-2}\|^2 - b_{m,m-1}^2 \|W_{m-1}\|^2 = \\
 & = (b_{m,m-2} - b_{m-1,m-2})^2 \|W_{m-2}\|^2 + (1 - 2b_{m,m-1}) \|W_{m-1}\|^2 + \\
 & \quad - (b_{m,m-2} - 1)^2 \|W_{m-2}\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $b_{m,m-1}$  is a rational number.

As a consequence, it is possible to find rational coefficients  $b_{m,i}$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ , for which  $V_m^*$  has the required form (except possibly for the part of  $W_m$ ) and for which

$$\|V_m^* - V_{k-1}^*\|^2 - \|V_m^* - V_{k-2}^*\|^2 = d_{m,k-1}^2 - d_{m,k-2}^2$$

holds for all  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ . Finally, from the equation

$$\|V_m^* - V_0^*\|^2 = d_{m,0}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_{m,i}^2 \|W_i\|^2 + \|W_m\|^2$$

and the rationality of all the coefficients  $b_{m,i}$  we conclude that  $\|W_m\|^2$  is a rational number.

Next, we want to show that the expression one get for  $\|W_m\|^2$  is non-negative.

In fact, it follows easily from the form of the points  $V_i^*$  that for all  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $\text{Aff}\{V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_k^*\} = \text{Aff}\{0, W_1, \dots, W_k\}$ , and also that  $\|W_k\|$  is the height of the  $k$ -simplex  $\text{conv}\{0, W_1, \dots, W_k\}$  with respect to its facet  $\text{conv}\{0, W_1, \dots, W_{k-1}\}$ ; it is also the distance from the point  $V_k^*$  to  $\text{Aff}\{0, W_1, \dots, W_{k-1}\}$ . Thus, a priori,  $\|W_k\| \geq 0$ , with equality to zero holding if, and only if,  $W_k$  is already in  $\text{Aff}\{0, W_1, \dots, W_{k-1}\}$ .

It follows that the possibly non-zero coordinates  $w_{4m-3}, w_{4m-2}, w_{4m-1}$  and  $w_{4m}$  of  $W_m$  can be chosen, using Lagrange's Four Square Theorem, as rational numbers. It follows that  $W_m$  can be chosen as a rational point, which implies that  $V_m^*$  is a rational point in  $Q^{4d}$ .

Thus,  $\|V_m^* - V_0^*\|^2 = d_{m,0}^2$ , hence  $\|V_m^* - V_1^*\|^2 = d_{m,1}^2$ , which implies that  $\|V_m^* - V_k^*\|^2 = d_{m,k}^2$  for all  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

**COROLLARY 1:** *If the vertex set  $S$  of a  $d$ -simplex  $\mathcal{A}^d$  in  $E^n$  satisfies the condition  $(\rho)$  and if one of the edges of  $\mathcal{A}^d$  has, in addition, a rational length, then  $\rho(S) \leq 4d - 3$ .*

To prove Corollary 1, observe that if we take the two vertices of  $\mathcal{A}^d$  which are at a rational distance  $a$  as the points  $V_0$  and  $V_1$ , then we can choose  $V_0^* = (0)$  and  $V_1^* = (a)$ , thus save three dimensions at the beginning, and continue as in the proof of Theorem 1. This result has been used previously ([14], Lemma 4, [6], Corollary 1), showing that certain triangles can be rationally embedded in  $Q^5$ .

**COROLLARY 2:** *If the vertex set  $S = \{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  of a  $d$ -simplex  $\mathcal{A}^d$  in  $E^n$  satisfies the condition  $(\rho)$  and if for every  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq d$ , the distance from  $V_m$  to  $\text{Aff}\{V_0, \dots, V_{m-1}\}$  is a rational number, then  $\rho(S) = d$ , i.e.,  $S$  can be rationally embedded in  $Q^d$ .*

To prove Corollary 2, we repeat the proof of Theorem 1, and whenever there is a need to fix  $W_m$ , it turns out that  $\|W_m\|$  is a rational number, hence only one non zero rational coordinate suffices for  $W_m$ , therefore  $d$  non zero rational coordinates will suffice for the entire  $\{W_1, W_2, \dots, W_d\}$ .

**LEMMA 1:** *If the vertex set  $S = \{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  of a  $d$ -simplex  $\mathcal{A}^d$  is contained in  $E^n$ , if  $U$  is a point of  $\text{Aff}\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  and if  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d, U\}$  satisfies the condition  $(\rho)$ , then the barycentric coordinates of  $U$  with respect to  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  are all rational numbers and  $\rho(V_0, \dots, V_d, U) = \rho(V_0, \dots, V_d) \leq 4d$ .*

**PROOF OF LEMMA 1:** Let  $S = \{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  be the vertex set of a  $d$ -simplex  $\mathcal{A}^d$  in  $E^n$ , let  $U$  be a point of  $\text{Aff}\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  and let  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d, U\}$  satisfy the condition  $(\rho)$ . The procedure of the proof of Theorem 1 to the set  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d, U\}$  in  $E^n$  yields a congruent set of rational points  $\{V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*, U^*\}$ , in which  $V_0^*$  is the origin and  $U^*$  is a point in  $\text{Aff}\{V_0^* = 0, V_1^*, \dots, V_d^*\}$ . Therefore there exist rational coefficients  $b_{k,j}$  for which

$$V_k^* = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} b_{k,j} W_j + W_k \in Q^{4d}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq d, \quad \text{and}$$

$$U^* = \sum_{j=1}^d b_{d+1,j} W_j \in Q^{4d}.$$

We wish to emphasize that there is no need for a  $W_{d+1}$ , in the expression for  $U^*$ , since the point  $U^*$  is in  $\text{Aff}\{V_0^* = 0, V_1^*, \dots, V_d^*\}$ , because the point  $U$  is in  $\text{Aff}\{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d\}$ . The sets  $\{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d, U\}$  and  $\{V_0^* = 0, V_1^*, \dots, V_d^*, U^*\}$  are congruent, and from the expressions for  $V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_d^*$  and  $U^*$  it follows that there exist rational numbers

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ , for which  $U^* = \sum_i \lambda_i V_i^* = (1 - \sum_i \lambda_i) V_0^* + \sum_i \lambda_i V_i^*$ , in which all the coefficients add up to 1. It follows that the barycentric coordinates of  $U^*$  with respect to  $\{V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_d^*\}$  are  $((1 - \sum_i \lambda_i), \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ , which are all rational numbers. By congruency, the barycentric coordinates of  $U$  with respect to  $\{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d\}$  are  $((1 - \sum_i \lambda_i), \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ , which are all rational numbers. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.  $\square$

Lemma 1 yields the following consequence.

**COROLLARY 3:** *If a set  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  consists of  $d + 1$  affinely independent rational points in  $E^n$ , then the following sets are equal.*

- (1) *The set  $A$  of all the rational points of  $\text{Aff}(V_0, \dots, V_d)$ .*
- (2) *The set  $B$  of all the points  $W$  of  $\text{Aff}(V_0, \dots, V_d)$  for which  $\|W - V_i\|^2$  is a rational number for all  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .*
- (3) *The set  $C$  of all the points of the form  $\sum_i \lambda_i V_i$  for which  $\lambda_i$  are rational numbers and  $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ .*

Recall that the  $n$ -dimensional volume  $V$  of  $\text{conv}\{W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{n+1}\}$ , for points  $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{n+1}$  in  $E^k$ , can be determined by using all the mutual distances. The volume  $V$  is given by the famous Euler-Cayley-Menger formula (see [11])

$$V^2 = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2^n (n!)^2} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & d_{1,2}^2 & d_{1,3}^2 & \dots & d_{1,n+1}^2 \\ 1 & d_{2,1}^2 & 0 & d_{2,3}^2 & \dots & d_{2,n+1}^2 \\ 1 & d_{3,1}^2 & d_{3,2}^2 & 0 & \dots & d_{3,n+1}^2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & d_{n+1,1}^2 & d_{n+1,2}^2 & d_{n+1,3}^2 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

where  $d_{i,j} = \|W_i - W_j\|$ . In particular, *the dimension* of the affine hull of a set  $A$  in  $E^k$  is determined in terms of the mutual distances of points of  $A$ . Thus,  $\dim(\text{Aff}(A))$  is equal to the maximum of the value of  $d$  for which  $A$  contains a set of  $d + 1$  points whose convex hull has a positive  $d$ -dimensional volume. In addition, if  $\dim(\text{Aff}(A)) = d$ , then the  $(d + 1)$ -volume of the convex hull of any  $d + 2$  points of  $A$  is equal to zero.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2:** Let  $A$  be a set of points in  $E^n$  which satisfies condition  $(\rho)$ , and let  $\dim(\text{Aff}(A)) = d$ . It follows that the set  $A$  contains the vertex set  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  of a  $d$ -simplex  $\Delta^d$ . Based on the proof of Theorem 1, there exist a set  $\{V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*\}$ , congruent to  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  in  $Q^{4d}$ .

Let  $U$  be any point of  $A \setminus \{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$ , and apply the construction of the proof of Theorem 1 to  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d, U\}$ . The dimension  $\dim(\text{Aff}(\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}))$  is equal to  $d$ , which is also the dimension of  $\text{Aff}\{V_0, \dots, V_d, U\}$ . Thus, the attempt to find a suitable point  $U^*$ , as described in the proof of Theorem 1, will yield a rational point  $U^*$  which is already in  $\text{Aff}\{V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*\}$ , i.e., it has by Lemma 1 rational barycentric coordinates, with respect to  $\{V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*\}$ . Thus,  $U^*$  is already in  $Q^{4d}$ . Consider the two congruent sets of points  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  in  $E^n$  and  $\{V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*\}$  in  $Q^{4d}$ ; there exists an isometric embedding  $f$  of  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  into  $Q^{4d}$  for which  $f(V_i) = (V_i^*)$  holds for all  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . Using barycentric coordinates, based on  $\{V_0, \dots, V_d\}$  for  $\text{Aff}(\{V_0, \dots, V_d\})$ , and on  $\{V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*\}$  for  $\text{Aff}(\{V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*\})$ , it follows that there exists an embedding  $F$  of  $A$  into  $\text{Aff}(\{V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*\})$ , which is essentially a mapping of the set  $A$  into  $\text{Aff}(\{V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*\}) \cap Q^{4d}$ .

Therefore  $\rho(A) \leq 4d$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

We close by the following remark. If we replace in the proof of Theorem 1  $\{W_1, \dots, W_{d-1}\}$  by the usual basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{d-1}\}$  of  $E^{d-1}$  and replace  $W_d$  by  $b_{d,d}e_d$ , we get that  $b_{i,j}$  is the  $j$ -th coordinate of  $V_i^*$ . These  $b_{i,j}$  can be constructed by a ruler and a compass, given all the  $d_{ij}$ . Therefore we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3:** *Given all the mutual distances  $d_{ij}$  of a  $d$ -simplex in  $E^d$ , it is possible to construct with a ruler and a compass (in the plane) all the coordinates in  $E^d$  of the vertices  $V_0, \dots, V_d$  of a  $d$ -simplex, for which  $\|V_i - V_j\| = d_{ij}$  holds for all  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq d$ .*

*Acknowledgement.* This paper was written while the author visited Professor Gert Sabidussi at the University of Montreal, in the Summer of 2004. We wish to thank Prof. Sabidussi for his hospitality and partially supporting our visit by his NSERC Grant 7315.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. S. BECKMAN - D. A. QUARLES, *On isometries of Euclidean spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **4** (1953), 810-815.
- [2] W. BENZ, *An elementary proof of the theorem of Beckman and Quarles*, Elem. Math., **42** (1987), 4-9.
- [3] W. BENZ, *Geometrische Transformationen*, B. I. Hochschultaschenbücher, Mannheim 1992.
- [4] K. B. CHILAKAMARRI, *Unit-distance graphs in rational spaces*, Discrete Math., **69** (1988), 213-218.
- [5] R. CONNELLY - J. ZAKS, *The Beckman-Quarles theorem for rational  $d$ -spaces,  $d$  even and  $d \geq 6$* , Discrete Geometry, Andras Bezdek (ed.), Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York 2003, 193-199.
- [6] W. HIBI, *The Beckman-Quarles theorem for rational spaces*, submitted.
- [7] H. LENZ, *Der Satz von Beckman-Quarles im rationalen Raum*, Arch. Math., **49** (1987), 106-113.
- [8] I. NIVEN - H. S. ZUCKERMAN - H. L. MONTGOMERY, *An introduction to the Theory of Number*, J. Wiley & Sons, N.Y., 1960, 5th edition, 1991.

- [9] A. TYSZKA, *Discrete version of the Beckman-Quarles theorem*, Aequationes Math., **59** (2000), 124-133.
- [10] A. TYSZKA, *A discrete form of the Beckman-Quarles theorem for rational eight-space*, Aequationes Math., **62** (2001), 85-93.
- [11] J. V. USPENSKY, *Theory of Equations*, McGraw-Hill, 1948, pp. 252-256.
- [12] J. ZAKS, *A discrete form of the Beckman-Quarles Theorem for rational spaces*, J. of Geom., **72** (2001), 199-205.
- [13] J. ZAKS, *The Beckman-Quarles Theorem for rational spaces*, Discrete Math., **265** (2003), 311-320.
- [14] J. ZAKS, *On mappings of  $Q^d$  to  $Q^d$  that preserve distances 1 and  $\sqrt{2}$  and the Beckman-Quarles Theorem*, J. of Geom., to appear.