



Rendiconti

Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL

Memorie di Matematica e Applicazioni

114^o (1996), Vol. XX, fasc. 1, pagg. 141-156

FLAVIA LANZARA (*)

Optimal L^2 Estimate for Harmonic Functions (**)

SUMMARY. — A method to compute the best constant for a L^2 estimate for harmonic functions is given.

Maggiorazione L^2 ottimale per funzioni armoniche

SUNTO. — Viene esposto un metodo per il calcolo esplicito della migliore costante relativa a una maggiorazione L^2 per funzioni armoniche.

If Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, for every function u harmonic in Ω and continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$, the following L^2 estimate holds:

$$(1) \quad \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \leq c_{\Omega} \int_{\Sigma} u^2 d\sigma,$$

where c_{Ω} is a constant only depending on Ω .

Three problems are connected with estimate (1). They have an increasing degree of difficulty.

- i) To prove that some c_{Ω} exists such that, for every u , estimate (1) holds.
- ii) To explicitly compute some c_{Ω} such that, for every u , estimate (1) holds.
- iii) To compute the minimum constant c_{Ω} such that, for every u , estimate (1) holds.

Solving problem iii) implies an *explicit construction* ⁽¹⁾ of two sequences $\{c_i\}$ and

(*) Indirizzo dell'Autore: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Roma «La Sapienza», Piazzale A. Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy.

(**) Memoria presentata il 16 maggio 1996 da Gaetano Fichera, uno dei XL.

⁽¹⁾ To construct explicitly a certain constant c means representing c by a formula such that from this, the numerical value of c can be computed.

$\{c_i'\}$ such that

$$c_i' \leq c_D \leq c_i'', \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} c_i' = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} c_i'' = c_D.$$

In explicitly constructing $\{c_i'\}$ and $\{c_i''\}$ the domain Ω must be considered as the only datum.

Problem i) is easily solved by using simple arguments of Functional Analysis. Several Authors have considered problem ii) (see [3], [4], [5], [1], [2], [12], [14, pp. 19-31]) presenting various solutions.

The aim of the present paper is to propose a solution for problem iii).

1. POSITION OF THE PROBLEM

Let Ω be a bounded domain (i.e. an open set) of the real cartesian space \mathbb{R}^m such that $\mathbb{R}^m - \bar{\Omega}$ is connected and its boundary $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ is a Lyapunov hypersurface, i.e. Σ has a uniformly Hölder continuous field ν_α of some exponent α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$; $\nu_\alpha = (\nu_1(x), \nu_2(x), \dots, \nu_m(x))$ is the inward unit normal on Σ .

We denote by $L^2(\Omega)[L^2(\Sigma)]$ the space of all measurable real functions u such that u^2 is integrable over $\Omega[\Sigma]$. Let $(u, v)_\Omega [(u, v)_\Sigma]$ and $\|u\|_\Omega [\|u\|_\Sigma]$ be the scalar product and the norm, respectively, in $L^2(\Omega)[L^2(\Sigma)]$.

Let $s(x, \xi)$ be the fundamental solution for the Laplace equation:

$$s(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{-1}{2\pi} \log|x - \xi| & m = 2 \\ \frac{1}{\omega_m(m-2)} |x - \xi|^{2-m} & m > 2 \end{cases}$$

where ω_m represents the hypersurface area $\omega_m = 2\pi^{m/2} / \Gamma(m/2)$ of the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^m .

Define the space \mathcal{U} formed of all functions u of the form

$$(1.1) \quad u(x) = \int_{\Sigma} \varphi(\xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_\xi} s(x, \xi) d\sigma_\xi, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where $\varphi \in L^2(\Sigma)$.

We consider the following estimate:

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \leq c_D \int_{\Sigma} u^2 d\sigma, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}.$$

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a ball of radius R then $c_D = R/m$. We shall give, in a more general bounded domain Ω , a method to compute the optimal constant c_D in (1.2).

Setting

$$K(x, \xi) = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_\xi} s(x, \xi) = \frac{2(x - \xi) \cdot \nu_\xi}{\omega_n |x - \xi|^n} \quad (1.3)$$

it is known that

$$K(x, \xi) = c(|x - \xi|^{1-n+\alpha}), \quad x, \xi \in \Sigma. \quad (1.4)$$

Define the operators

$$(K\varphi)(x) = \int_{\Sigma} K(x, \xi) \varphi(\xi) d\sigma_\xi \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$(K^* \varphi)(x) = \int_{\Sigma} K(\xi, x) \varphi(\xi) d\sigma_\xi. \quad (1.6)$$

The operators K and K^* are linear and compact operators of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$ into $L^2(\Sigma)$ (see [16, p. 329]).

If $u \in \mathcal{U}$, that is there exists $\varphi \in L^2(\Sigma)$ such that $u = (1/2)K\varphi$ in Ω , it is well known that φ is solution of the following integral equation on Σ :

$$\varphi + K\varphi = 2u. \quad (1.7)$$

Observe that if $u \in \mathcal{U}$, from (1.4) and (1.2), it follows that $u \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Consider the eigenvalue problems

$$Kz - \lambda z = 0, \quad z \in L^2(\Sigma); \quad (1.8)$$

$$K^*z - \lambda z = 0, \quad z \in L^2(\Sigma). \quad (1.9)$$

The spectrum of K contains the value zero and all the eigenvalues of (1.5). They are real and not bigger than one in absolute value. Equation (1.6) has the same eigenvalues of (1.5) with the same geometric multiplicity. Moreover $\lambda = 1$ is an eigenvalue of (1.5) with geometric multiplicity one; $\lambda = -1$ is not an eigenvalue for (1.5) (see [9, pp. 510-513], [10, pp. 309-311], [11, pp. 362-364]). It follows that, for any $u \in L^2(\Sigma)$, there exists one and only one solution $\varphi \in L^2(\Sigma)$ of equation (1.4). Denote by

$$\varphi = 2Su \quad (1.10)$$

this solution. $S = (I + K)^{-1}$ is a linear and continuous operator of $L^2(\Sigma)$ into itself.

(2) We denote by $(x - \xi) \cdot \nu_\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \xi_i) \nu_i(\xi)$.

Setting

$$(1.8) \quad T(x, \xi) = \int_{\Omega} K(\eta, x) K(\eta, \xi) d\eta,$$

we consider the operator

$$(1.9) \quad (T\varphi)(x) = \int_{\Sigma} T(x, \xi) \varphi(\xi) d\sigma_{\xi}, \quad \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma).$$

T is a linear, self-adjoint and positive operator of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$. Since, if $x, \xi \in \Sigma$,

$$T(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} c(1 + |\log|x - \xi||) & m = 2, \\ c|x - \xi|^{2-m} & m > 2, \end{cases}$$

(see [13, p. 806]) the operator T is a PCO (Positive Compact Operator) of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$.

If $u \in \mathcal{U}$, from (1.1), (1.8), (1.9) and (1.7) we obtain:

$$(1.10) \quad (u, u)_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{4} (K\varphi, K\varphi)_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{4} (T\varphi, \varphi) = (TSu, Su) = (S^*TSu, u),$$

where S^* denotes the adjoint operator of S . Hence inequality (1.2) is equivalent to the following one:

$$(1.11) \quad (S^*TSu, u) \leq c_D (u, u), \quad u \in L^2(\Sigma).$$

Consider the eigenvalue problem:

$$(1.12) \quad S^*TSu = \mu u, \quad u \in L^2(\Sigma).$$

Since S^*TS is a PCO of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$, (1.12) has a decreasing sequence of positive eigenvalues tending to zero. If μ_1 is the greatest eigenvalue, from (1.11) we have: $c_D = \mu_1$.

Therefore: the optimal constant c_D in (1.2) is the greatest eigenvalue of the PCO of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$: S^*TS .

Lower bounds of c_D can be easily obtained by applying the classical Rayleigh-Ritz method (see [6, pp. 112-119], [8, pp. 11-12]). To this end consider a complete system of homogeneous harmonic polynomials $\{\omega_k(x)\}_{k \geq 1}$. For a fixed $l \geq 1$, since $(S^*TS\omega_k, \omega_k) = (\omega_k, \omega_k)_{\Omega}$, the relevant determinant equation is

$$(1.13) \quad \det\{(\omega_k, \omega_k)_{\Omega} - \mu(\omega_k, \omega_k)\}_{k, k=1, \dots, l} = 0.$$

Denote by c_l' its greatest root. Then: $c_l' \leq c_{l+1}' \leq c_D$ and $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} c_l' = c_D$.

Of course, we are interested in upper bounds for c_D arbitrarily close to c_D . In order to obtain that we shall construct the operator S .

2. THE OPERATOR S

In order to construct S , we shall use a method proposed by E. Schmidt and extended by M. Picone in [13, pp. 582-591]. This method consists in solving equation (1.4) by reducing it to a system of linear algebraic equations. This method has also been used by F. Tricomi in [15, pp. 64-66]. In this paper we shall follow the exposition given in [7, pp. 152-182].

Let us fix an arbitrary constant ε : $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$. Since the operator K is compact, it can be approximated by a sequence of finite rank operators uniformly converging to K . Let $\{u_s\}$ be a complete system of linearly independent functions in $L^2(\Sigma)$ and let P_s be the orthogonal projector of $L^2(\Sigma)$ onto the s -dimensional manifold spanned by $\{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ ($s \geq 1$). The operators sequence $\{P_s K P_s\}$ uniformly converges to K . Then there exists an index $n = n(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|K - K_n\| < \varepsilon^{(1)},$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad K_n = P_n K P_n.$$

If $\{\beta_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ denotes the inverse matrix of the non singular matrix $\{(u_i, u_j)\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad P_n \varphi = \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi, u_k) \bar{u}_k,$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{u}_b = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{bk} u_k, \quad b = 1, \dots, n.$$

Setting $w_b = P_n K^* u_b$, $b = 1, \dots, n$, from (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad K_n \varphi = \sum_{b=1}^n (\varphi, w_b) \bar{u}_b.$$

Equation (1.4) can be rewritten in equivalent way

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi + (K - K_n) \varphi = \nu - K_n \varphi$$

where $\nu = 2w$. Then, if we consider $\nu - K_n \varphi$ as the known term in (2.6), equation (2.6) becomes

$$\varphi = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (K - K_n)^i (\nu - K_n \varphi).$$

(1) $\|K\|$ denotes the norm of $K: L^2(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma)$.

From (2.1) it follows that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \|(-1)^s (K - K_s)^s\| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^s = \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-1)^s (K - K_s)^s$ uniformly converges to the operator

$$(2.7) \quad M = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-1)^s (K - K_s)^s = [I + (K - K_s)]^{-1}.$$

$M^{(*)}$ is a linear and continuous operator of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad \|M\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \leq 2.$$

Hence equation (2.6) can be transformed into the equation: $\varphi = Mv - MK_s\varphi$. Inserting (2.5) we deduce:

$$(2.9) \quad \varphi = Mv - \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi, w_k) M\bar{u}_k$$

which is equivalent to (2.6) in the sense that φ is solution of (2.6) if and only if φ is solution of (2.9).

Scalar multiplication of (2.9) by w_k in $L^2(\Sigma)$ gives the system of algebraic equations

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n [\delta_{kb} + (M\bar{u}_k, w_b)] \xi_k = (Mv, w_b), \quad k = 1, \dots, n^{(*)},$$

in which

$$(2.11) \quad \xi_k = (\varphi, w_k), \quad b = 1, \dots, n.$$

Consider the matrix $Q = \{q_{kb}\}_{b,k=1,\dots,n}$ whose elements are

$$(2.12) \quad q_{kb} = \delta_{kb} + (M\bar{u}_k, w_b), \quad b, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Setting

$$(2.13) \quad \gamma_k = (Mv, w_k), \quad b = 1, \dots, n$$

the system (2.10), by means of the substitution (2.12), becomes

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n q_{kb} \xi_k = \gamma_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then, if φ is solution of (2.6), the n -vector $\xi = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n\}$, whose components are defined in (2.11), is solution of (2.14).

(*) Since ε is a fixed constant we don't explicitly write $M = M(\varepsilon)$.

(*) δ_{kb} is the Kronecker delta.

Conversely, let ξ be solution of (2.14). Set

$$(2.15) \quad \varphi = Mv - \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k M\bar{u}_k.$$

Scalar multiplication of (2.15) by w_k in $L^2(\Sigma)$ gives

$$(2.16) \quad (\varphi, w_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k (M\bar{u}_k, w_k) = \gamma_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Subtracting (2.16) from (2.10), by using (2.13), we obtain (2.11). Substituting (2.11) in (2.15) we deduce that φ is solution of (2.9), that is (2.6).

Thus we have proved that, if ξ is solution of system (2.14), then φ , defined in (2.15), is solution of (2.6).

It follows that the matrix Q is non singular. Otherwise there exists a n -vector $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n\}$, $\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_n^2 > 0$, solution of the system $\sum_{k=1}^n q_{kk} \xi_k = 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Set $\bar{z} = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k M\bar{u}_k$. Since $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ are linearly independent functions, $\{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_n\}$ and $\{M\bar{u}_1, M\bar{u}_2, \dots, M\bar{u}_n\}$ are linearly independent too. Then $\bar{z} \neq 0$. Moreover \bar{z} is solution of (1.5) by assuming $\lambda = -1$. This is impossible because $\lambda = -1$ is not an eigenvalue for problem (1.5).

Denote by $Q^{-1} = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1, \dots, n}$ the inverse matrix of Q :

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} q_{kb} = \delta_{ib}, \quad i, b = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence, in view of (2.14) and (2.17), we obtain:

$$(2.18) \quad \xi_k = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} \gamma_j, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Inserting (2.18) into (2.15), using (2.13), we have that φ is solution of equation (2.6), i.e. (1.4), if and only if

$$\varphi = Sv = Mv - \sum_{k=1}^{l,n} a_{kk} (Mv, w_k) M\bar{u}_k,$$

where $v = 2u$.

Thus we have constructed the operator S .

3. APPROXIMATION OF THE OPERATOR S

Setting, for $l \geq 1$

$$(3.1) \quad M_l = \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i (K - K_i) \gamma_i; \quad R_l = \sum_{i=l+1}^n (-1)^i (K - K_i) \gamma_i,$$

from (2.7) the operator $M = M_l + R_l$. Moreover, from the inequality (2.1), since

$0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$, we deduce that

$$(3.2) \quad \|M_l\| \leq 2;$$

$$(3.3) \quad \|R_l\| \leq 2e^{l+1}.$$

Then the elements $\{q_{ik}\}$ of the matrix Q can be written in the form:

$$q_{ik} = q_{ik}^{(j)} + r_{ik}^{(j)}, \quad b, k = 1, \dots, n$$

where

$$q_{ik}^{(j)} = \delta_{ik} + (KP_n M_l \bar{u}_k, u_k), \quad b, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$r_{ik}^{(j)} = (KP_n R_l \bar{u}_k, u_k), \quad b, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

For any $k = 1, \dots, n$ we have: $\|\bar{u}_k\| = \sqrt{\beta_{kk}}$. Then, from (3.2), we deduce the following estimate for the elements of the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{R}_l = \{r_{ik}^{(j)}\}_{i,k=1,\dots,n}$:

$$(3.4) \quad |r_{ik}^{(j)}| \leq 2\|K\|\|u_k\|\sqrt{\beta_{kk}}\varepsilon^{l+1}, \quad b, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then, if we denote by $\text{tr } \mathcal{B} = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{kk}$ the trace of the matrix $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta_{ik}\}_{i,k=1,\dots,n}$, from (3.4) we obtain the following estimate for the Frobenius norm $|\mathcal{R}_l|_F$ of \mathcal{R}_l :

$$(3.5) \quad |\mathcal{R}_l|_F = \left(\sum_{i,k} |r_{ik}^{(j)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 2\|K\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{\text{tr } \mathcal{B}} \varepsilon^{l+1}.$$

Consider the $n \times n$ matrix Q^*Q . It has $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ positive eigenvalues. Denote by Q_l the matrix $\{q_{ik}^{(j)}\}_{i,k=1,\dots,n}$. We have $Q = Q_l + \mathcal{R}_l$. Let $\lambda_1^{(j)} \geq \lambda_2^{(j)} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n^{(j)}$ be the eigenvalues of $Q_l^*Q_l$. Since

$$(3.6) \quad |\lambda_n^{(j)} - \lambda_n| \leq |Q_l^*Q_l - Q^*Q| \leq |\mathcal{R}_l|_F [2|Q_l|_F + |\mathcal{R}_l|_F]^{(*)},$$

we have that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^{(j)} = \lambda_n.$$

Assume $l_0 \geq 1$ such that, for $l \geq l_0$, we have:

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda_n^{(j)} > \frac{1}{2} \lambda_n.$$

Set

$$\gamma_k = (KP_n M_l v, u_k) = \gamma_k^{(j)} + \varrho_k^{(j)}, \quad b = 1, \dots, n$$

(*) Notice that, for a $n \times n$ matrix A , we have $|A| \leq |A|_F$, where

$$|A| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x|=1} \frac{|Ax|}{|x|}, \quad |x| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}.$$

where $q_k^{(l)} = (KP_n M_l v, u_k)$ and $q_k^{(l)} = (KP_n R_l v, u_k)$. If $q^{(l)} = \{q_1^{(l)}, \dots, q_n^{(l)}\}$, $\gamma^{(l)} = \{\gamma_1^{(l)}, \dots, \gamma_n^{(l)}\}$ and $\gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$, we have $\gamma = \gamma^{(l)} + q^{(l)}$.

From (3.2) and (3.3) it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad |q^{(l)}| = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (q_k^{(l)})^2} \leq 2 \|K\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|v\| e^{l+1};$$

$$(3.9) \quad |\gamma^{(l)}| = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (\gamma_k^{(l)})^2} \leq 2 \|K\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|v\|.$$

Denote by $\xi = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ the solution of the system (2.14), that is $Q\xi = \gamma$. Analogously, let $\xi^{(l)} = \{\xi_1^{(l)}, \dots, \xi_n^{(l)}\}$ ($l \geq l_0$) be the solution of the system

$$(3.10) \quad Q_l \xi^{(l)} = \gamma^{(l)}.$$

Denote by $x \cdot x' = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x'_i$ the scalar product in R^n . We have

$$(3.11) \quad |Q^{-1}| = \sup_{x \in R^n - \{0\}} \left(\frac{Q^{-1}x \cdot Q^{-1}x}{x \cdot x} \right)^{1/2} = \inf_{\eta \in R^n - \{0\}} \left(\frac{Q\eta \cdot Q\eta}{\eta \cdot \eta} \right)^{-1/2} = (\lambda_n)^{-1/2}.$$

Analogously, assuming $l \geq l_0$, if Q_l^{-1} is the inverse matrix of Q_l , from (3.7),

$$(3.12) \quad |Q_l^{-1}| = (\lambda_n^{(l)})^{-1/2} < \sqrt{2} (\lambda_n)^{-1/2}.$$

Let us write

$$(3.13) \quad \xi = \xi^{(l)} + (\xi - \xi^{(l)}) = \xi^{(l)} + A_1^{(l)} + A_2^{(l)},$$

where $A_1^{(l)} = Q^{-1}(\gamma - \gamma^{(l)})$ and $A_2^{(l)} = Q^{-1}(Q_l - Q)Q_l^{-1}\gamma^{(l)}$.

From (3.11) and (3.8) we deduce the following estimate for the n -vector $A_1^{(l)} = \{A_{1,1}^{(l)}, \dots, A_{1,n}^{(l)}\}$:

$$(3.14) \quad |A_1^{(l)}| = |Q^{-1}q^{(l)}| \leq |Q^{-1}| |q^{(l)}| \leq \frac{2 \|K\| \|v\|}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2} e^{l+1}$$

and for $A_2^{(l)} = \{A_{2,1}^{(l)}, \dots, A_{2,n}^{(l)}\}$, from (3.11), (3.12), (3.9) and (3.5),

$$(3.15) \quad |A_2^{(l)}| = |Q^{-1}R_l Q_l^{-1}\gamma^{(l)}| \leq |Q^{-1}| |R_l| |Q_l^{-1}| |\gamma^{(l)}| \leq \\ \leq \frac{4\sqrt{2} \|K\|^2 \|v\|}{\lambda_n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|u_k\|^2 \right) \sqrt{\text{tr } B} e^{l+1}.$$

Set $M\bar{U} = \{M\bar{u}_1, \dots, M\bar{u}_n\}$; $M_l\bar{U} = \{M_l\bar{u}_1, \dots, M_l\bar{u}_n\}$ and $R_l\bar{U} = \{R_l\bar{u}_1, \dots, R_l\bar{u}_n\}$. Inserting (3.13) in (2.15), since $M = M_l + R_l$, we obtain

$$\varphi = S v = M_l v + R_l v - \xi^{(l)} \cdot M_l \bar{U} - \xi^{(l)} \cdot R_l \bar{U} - A_1^{(l)} \cdot M \bar{U} - A_2^{(l)} \cdot M \bar{U}.$$

Setting

$$(3.16) \quad S_l v = M_l v - \xi^{(l)} \cdot M_l \bar{U}$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad N_l v = R_l v - \xi^{(l)} \cdot R_l \bar{U} - A_l^{(l)} \cdot M \bar{U} - A_l^{(l)} \cdot M \bar{U}$$

we have: $S = S_l + N_l$. N_l is a linear and continuous operator of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$.

From (3.3), (3.10), (3.12), (3.9) and (2.8) we obtain the following inequalities:

$$\|R_l v\| \leq 2 \|v\| e^{l+1};$$

$$\|\xi^{(l)} \cdot R_l \bar{U}\| \leq \sum_{s=1}^k |\xi^{(l)}| \|R_s \bar{u}_s\| \leq |\xi^{(l)}| \left(\sum_{s=1}^k \|R_s \bar{u}_s\|^2 \right)^{1/2} = |Q_l^{-1} \gamma^{(l)}| \left(\sum_{s=1}^k \|R_s \bar{u}_s\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq$$

$$\leq 2 |Q_l^{-1}| |\gamma^{(l)}| \sqrt{\text{tr } \bar{\beta}} e^{l+1} \leq \frac{4\sqrt{2} \|K\| \|v\|}{\sqrt{\lambda_*}} \left(\sum_{s=1}^k \|u_s\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{\text{tr } \bar{\beta}} e^{l+1};$$

$$\|A_l^{(l)} \cdot M \bar{U}\| \leq \sum_{s=1}^k |A_l^{(l)}| \|M \bar{u}_s\| \leq |A_l^{(l)}| \left(\sum_{s=1}^k \|M \bar{u}_s\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 2 |A_l^{(l)}| \sqrt{\text{tr } \bar{\beta}};$$

$$\|A_l^{(l)} \cdot M \bar{U}\| \leq \sum_{s=1}^k |A_l^{(l)}| \|M \bar{u}_s\| \leq |A_l^{(l)}| \left(\sum_{s=1}^k \|M \bar{u}_s\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 2 |A_l^{(l)}| \sqrt{\text{tr } \bar{\beta}}.$$

Because of these inequalities, from (3.17), and keeping in mind (3.14), (3.15), we have, for $l \geq l_0$,

$$(3.18) \quad \|N_l\| \leq c_* e^{l+1}$$

where

$$(3.19) \quad c_* = 2 + 4(1 + \sqrt{2}) \frac{\|K\|}{\sqrt{\lambda_*}} \left(\sum_{s=1}^k \|u_s\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{\text{tr } \bar{\beta}} + \frac{8\sqrt{2} \|K\|^2}{\lambda_*} \left(\sum_{s=1}^k \|u_s\|^2 \right) \text{tr } \bar{\beta}.$$

4. - THE OPTIMAL CONSTANT c_0 IN (1.2)

The operator K_* admits the integral representation:

$$(K_* \varphi)(x) = \int_{\Sigma} K_*(x, \xi) \varphi(\xi) d\sigma_{\xi}.$$

where, in view of (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (1.3), the kernel $K_n(x, \xi)$ has the form:

$$K_n(x, \xi) = \sum_{k,k'}^{1,n} \beta_{kk'} \sum_{l,l'}^{1,n} \beta_{ll'} u_l(x) u_{k'}(\xi) \int_{\Sigma} u_k(\eta) d\sigma_\eta \int_{\Sigma} K(\eta, \gamma) u_l(\gamma) d\sigma_\gamma.$$

Define

$$H_s^{(n)}(x, \xi) = \int_{\Sigma} H_{s-1}^{(n)}(x, \eta) H^{(n)}(\eta, \xi) d\sigma_\eta, \quad s \geq 1;$$

$$H_1^{(n)}(x, \xi) = K(x, \xi) - K_n(x, \xi).$$

$H_s^{(n)}(x, \xi)$ is the s -th iterated kernel that is the kernel of the operator $(K - K_n)^s$.

Setting

$$\Gamma_s(x, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^s (-1)^i H_i^{(n)}(x, \xi),$$

the operator M_i in (3.1) can be written in the form:

$$(4.1) \quad (M_i v)(x) = v(x) + \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma_i(x, \xi) v(\xi) d\sigma_\xi.$$

Denote by $\{a_{kk'}^{(i)}\}$ the elements of the matrix Q_i^{-1} . The operator S_i in (3.16), by means of the substitution $\xi^{(i)} = Q_i^{-1} \gamma^{(i)}$, is the following:

$$(4.2) \quad S_i v = M_i v - \sum_{k,k'}^{1,n} a_{kk'}^{(i)} (M_i v, w_k) M_i \bar{w}_k.$$

Set, for $b = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_b^{(i)}(x) &= (M_i \bar{w}_b)(x) = \bar{w}_b(x) + \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma_i(x, \xi) \bar{w}_b(\xi) d\sigma_\xi; \\ \eta_b^{(i)}(x) &= (M_i^* w_b)(x) = w_b(x) + \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma_i(\xi, x) w_b(\xi) d\sigma_\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the integral operator

$$(\mathcal{O}_i v)(x) = \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{O}_i(x, \xi) v(\xi) d\sigma_\xi,$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_i(x, \xi) = \Gamma_i(x, \xi) - \sum_{k,k'}^{1,n} a_{kk'}^{(i)} \alpha_k^{(i)}(x) \eta_{k'}^{(i)}(\xi).$$

The operator S_i in (4.2), by means of (4.1) and (4.3), is given by $S_i = I + \mathcal{O}_i$, where I

denotes the identity of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$. Then

$$(4.4) \quad S = I + \omega_0 + N_I.$$

Hence we have:

$$S^*TS = (I + \omega_0^*)T(I + \omega_0) + N_I^*T(I + \omega_0) + (I + \omega_0^*)TN_I + N_I^*TN_I.$$

From (3.16), (3.10), (3.2), (3.12) and (3.9) we deduce the following estimate:

$$(4.5) \quad \|I + \omega_0\| = \|S_I\| \leq \|M_I\| + \sup_{|l|=1} \|Q_l^{-1}\gamma^{(l)} \cdot M_l \bar{U}\| \leq d_*,$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad d_* = 2 + \frac{4\sqrt{2}\|K\|}{\sqrt{\lambda_*}} \sqrt{\text{tr } B} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n \|\mu_l\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The first eigenvalue of (1.12) is characterized by

$$(4.7) \quad \mu_1 = \sup_{\substack{u \in L^2(\Sigma) \\ \|u\|=1}} (S^*TSu, u).$$

Let $\mu_1^{(l)}$ be the greatest eigenvalue of the PCO: $S_l^*TS_l$ that is

$$(4.8) \quad \mu_1^{(l)} = \sup_{\substack{u \in L^2(\Sigma) \\ \|u\|=1}} (S_l^*TS_lu, u).$$

From (4.7), (4.4) and (4.8) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= \sup_{\substack{u \in L^2(\Sigma) \\ \|u\|=1}} ((I + \omega_0^* + N_I^*)T(I + \omega_0 + N_I)u, u) \leq \\ &\leq \mu_1^{(l)} + 2\|N_I\|\|T\|\|I + \omega_0\| + \|N_I\|^2\|T\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (3.18) and (4.5),

$$(4.9) \quad \mu_1 \leq \chi^{(l)}$$

where

$$(4.10) \quad \chi^{(l)} = \mu_1^{(l)} + 2\|T\|d_*c_*e^{l+1} + c_*^2\|T\|e^{2l+2}.$$

Since $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mu_1^{(l)} = \mu_1$, we have

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \chi^{(l)} = \mu_1.$$

Provided l large enough, taking into account (4.10), (3.19) and (4.6), (4.9) gives upper approximation of $\mu_1 = c_D$ arbitrarily close to the optimal c_D .

We remark that all the terms in the right-hand side of (4.10) are known or they can be explicitly computed. In order to do that let us recall some definitions.

A PCO G of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$ belongs to the class \mathcal{G} if and only if G admits the following integral representation:

$$G'u = \int_{\Sigma} \mathfrak{G}(x, \xi) u(\xi) d\sigma_{\xi}; \quad \mathfrak{G}(x, \xi) = \int_{\Sigma} \bar{\mathfrak{G}}(x, \eta) \mathfrak{G}(\eta, \xi) d\sigma_{\eta}$$

and $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}(x, \xi)$ is a hermitian kernel belonging to $L^2(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$. Then every Orthogonal Invariant $\mathfrak{J}_1^r(G)$ (see [6], [8]) is finite for $p \geq r$. Moreover $\mathfrak{J}_1^r(G)$ admits the following integral representation

$$\mathfrak{J}_1^r(G) = \int_{\Sigma} \mathfrak{G}(x, x) d\sigma_x = \int_{\Sigma} d\sigma_x \int_{\Sigma} |\bar{\mathfrak{G}}(x, \xi)|^2 d\sigma_{\xi}.$$

The Method of Orthogonal Invariants permits to compute upper approximations of the eigenvalues of a PCO G provided some Orthogonal Invariant $\mathfrak{J}_1^r(G)$ of G is known (for details on the method we refer to [6, pp. 139-163], [8, pp. 27-35]).

Consider the right-hand side in (4.10), together with (3.19) and (4.6).

$\|T\|$ is the greatest eigenvalue of the PCO T of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$. Upper bounds to $\|T\|$ can be computed by applying the Method of Orthogonal Invariants. In fact, if $T_r(x, \xi)$ denotes the r -th iterated kernel of $T_1(x, \xi) = T(x, \xi)$, it is well known (see [13, p. 806]) that, for $x, \xi \in \Sigma$,

$$T_r(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(|x - \xi|^{r+1-m}) & m > r + 1, \\ \mathcal{O}(1 + |\log|x - \xi||) & m = r + 1, \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & m < r + 1. \end{cases}$$

If we assume $r > (m - 1)/2$ then $T_r(x, \xi) \in L^2(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$. We deduce that T^2 belongs to the class \mathcal{G} for $r > (m - 1)/2$. For $s \geq 1$, let $\delta_1^{(s)} \geq \dots \geq \delta_r^{(s)}$ be the roots of the determinant equation $\det\{ (T\omega_k, \omega_k) - \delta(\omega_k, \omega_k) \}_{k=1, \dots, r} = 0$. $\{\omega_k\}$ have the same meaning as in (1.13). Fix $r > (m - 1)/(4\alpha)$ and set

$$\bar{\chi}^{(s)} = \left[\int_{\Sigma} d\sigma_x \int_{\Sigma} |T_r(x, \xi)|^2 d\sigma_{\xi} - \sum_{k=1}^r [\delta_k^{(s)}]^{2s} \right]^{1/2s}.$$

We have $\delta_1^{(s)} \leq \|T\| \leq \bar{\chi}^{(s)}$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \delta_1^{(s)} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\chi}^{(s)} = \|T\|$.

$\|K\|^2$ is the greatest eigenvalue of the PCO of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$: K^*K . Denote by

$$\mathfrak{K}(x, \xi) = \int_{\Sigma} K(\eta, x) K(\eta, \xi) d\sigma_{\eta}$$

the kernel of K^*K . If $\mathfrak{K}_q(x, \xi)$ is q -th iterated kernel of $\mathfrak{K}_1(x, \xi) = \mathfrak{K}(x, \xi)$ we have, for $x, \xi \in \Sigma$, $\mathfrak{K}_q(x, \xi) = \mathcal{O}(|x - \xi|^{1+2q(m-m)})$, $(1 \leq q < (m - 1)/(2\alpha))$. Then $(K^*K)^2$

belongs to the class \mathfrak{C}^r for $q > (m-1)/(4\alpha)$ and upper approximations of $\|K\|^2$ can be computed by applying again the Method of Orthogonal Invariants. Assume $q > (m-1)/(4\alpha)$ and $p \geq 1$. Set

$$\bar{\sigma}^{(p)} = \left[\int_{\Sigma} d\sigma_x \int_{\Sigma} |\mathfrak{K}_q(x, \xi)|^2 d\sigma_\xi - \sum_{k=2}^p [v_k^{(p)}]^{2/q} \right]^{1/2q},$$

where $v_1^{(p)} \geq \dots \geq v_p^{(p)}$ are the roots of the determinant equation $\det \{ (K^* K \omega_k, \omega_k) - v(\omega_k, \omega_k) \}_{k=1, \dots, p} = 0$. We have $v_1^{(p)} \leq \|K\|^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}^{(p)}$; $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} v_1^{(p)} = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\sigma}^{(p)} = \|K\|^2$.

Assume s and p are fixed and set $\mathfrak{K} = \bar{\sigma}^{(p)}$; $\mathfrak{N} = \bar{\sigma}^{(p)}$. We have

$$(4.11) \quad \|T\| \leq \mathfrak{K}; \quad \|K\|^2 \leq \mathfrak{N}.$$

For any fixed positive ϵ it is possible to find $n = n(\epsilon)$ such that (2.1) holds. Assume, for example, $\epsilon = 1/2$. The operator $H_n = K - K_n$ has the following integral representation

$$(H_n u)(x) = \int_{\Sigma} H^{(n)}(x, \xi) u(\xi) d\sigma_\xi, \quad H^{(n)}(x, \xi) = K(x, \xi) - K_n(x, \xi).$$

Then $\|H_n\|^2$ is the greatest eigenvalue of the PCO of the space $L^2(\Sigma)$: $H_n^* H_n$. $H_n^* H_n$ admits the integral representation

$$(H_n^* H_n u)(x) = \int_{\Sigma} \mathfrak{K}^{(n)}(x, \xi) u(\xi) d\sigma_\xi, \quad \mathfrak{K}^{(n)}(x, \xi) = \int_{\Sigma} H^{(n)}(\eta, x) H^{(n)}(\eta, \xi) d\sigma_\eta$$

and $(H_n^* H_n)^2$ belongs to the same class \mathfrak{C}^r of $(K^* K)^2$. Let us fix $q > (m-1)/(4\alpha)$. We have

$$\|K - K_n\|^2 = \|H_n\|^2 \leq [\bar{\sigma}_1^{(q)}(H_n^* H_n)]^{1/2q} = \left[\int_{\Sigma} d\sigma_x \int_{\Sigma} |\mathfrak{K}_q^{(n)}(x, \xi)|^2 d\sigma_\xi \right]^{1/2q},$$

where $\mathfrak{K}_q^{(n)}(x, \xi)$ is the q -th iterated kernel of $\mathfrak{K}^{(n)}(x, \xi) = \mathfrak{K}^{(n)}(x, \xi)$. Moreover

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma} d\sigma_x \int_{\Sigma} |\mathfrak{K}_q^{(n)}(x, \xi)|^2 d\sigma_\xi = 0.$$

Therefore (2.1), assuming $\epsilon = 1/2$, is certainly satisfied if n is such that

$$\left[\int_{\Sigma} d\sigma_x \int_{\Sigma} |\mathfrak{K}_q^{(n)}(x, \xi)|^2 d\sigma_\xi \right]^{1/2q} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Once we have determined n , we consider λ_n , which is the lowest eigenvalue of the $n \times n$ matrix $Q^* Q$. Because of (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) it is easy to explicitly compute upper and lower bounds to λ_n as well as we wish. Suppose, for sake of simplicity, that $\{u_k\}$, introduced in Section 2, is an orthonormal system in $L^2(\Sigma)$. The $n \times n$ matrix Q

is such that $|Q_r|_F \leq n(1 + 2\|K\|)$. From (3.6), (3.5) and (4.11) we deduce that

$$|\lambda_n - \lambda_n^{(j)}| \leq n^2 \|K\| 2^{-j} [(4 + 2^{-j})\|K\| + 2] \leq e_n^{(j)};$$

$$e_n^{(j)} = n^2 \sqrt{N} 2^{-j} [(4 + 2^{-j})\sqrt{N} + 2]$$

and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} e_n^{(j)} = 0$. Assume $l_0 \geq 1$ such that $\bar{\lambda}_n = \lambda_n^{(l_0)} - e_n^{(l_0)} > 0$. We have $\bar{\lambda}_n < \lambda_n$ and $\bar{\lambda}_n$ can be explicitly computed.

As far as $\mu_1^{(j)}$ is concerned, notice that the operator $S_r^* T S_r = (I + \Omega_r^*) T (I + \Omega_r)$ admits the integral representation:

$$(S_r^* T S_r v)(x) = \int_{\Sigma} S_r^{(j)}(x, \xi) v(\xi) d\sigma_\xi,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_r^{(j)}(x, \xi) = & T(x, \xi) + \int_{\Sigma} T(x, \gamma) \Omega_r(\gamma, \xi) d\sigma_\gamma + \\ & + \int_{\Sigma} T(\xi, \gamma) \Omega_r(\gamma, x) d\sigma_\gamma + \int_{\Sigma} \Omega_r(\gamma, x) d\sigma_\gamma \int_{\Sigma} T(\gamma, \eta) \Omega_r(\eta, \xi) d\sigma_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T(x, \xi)$ and $\Omega_r(x, \xi)$ are known, the kernel $S_r^{(j)}(x, \xi)$, i.e. the operator $S_r^* T S_r$, is known. Since $S_r^* T S_r$ belongs to the same class \mathfrak{S}' of the operator T ; arbitrarily close upper bounds of $\mu_1^{(j)}$ can be computed by applying the Method of Orthogonal Invariants. To this end, given an integer $\nu \geq 1$, let $\tau_1^{(j, \nu)} \geq \tau_2^{(j, \nu)} \geq \dots \geq \tau_\nu^{(j, \nu)}$ be the roots of the determinant equation:

$$\det \{ (S_r^* T S_r \omega_k, \omega_k)_{\mathcal{D}} - \tau(\omega_k, \omega_k) \}_{k=1, \dots, \nu} = 0.$$

We have $\tau^{(j, \nu)} \leq \mu_1^{(j)}$; $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{(j, \nu)} = \mu_1^{(j)}$. For $r > (n-1)/2$, denote by $S_r^{(j)}(x, \xi)$ the r -th iterated kernel of $S_r^{(j)}(x, \xi) = S_r^{(j)}(x, \xi)$. Setting

$$(4.12) \quad \sigma^{(j, \nu)} = \left[\int_{\Sigma} d\sigma_x \int_{\Sigma} |S_r^{(j)}(x, \xi)|^2 d\sigma_\xi - \sum_{k=2}^{\nu} [\tau_k^{(j, \nu)}]^{2\nu} \right]^{1/2\nu},$$

we have: $\mu_1^{(j)} \leq \sigma^{(j, \nu)}$; $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{(j, \nu)} = \mu_1^{(j)}$.

Assume $\nu = \nu(j)$ such that $\sigma^{(j, \nu(j))} - \tau^{(j, \nu(j))} < 2^{-j}$. Then $0 \leq \sigma^{(j, \nu(j))} - \mu_1^{(j)} < 2^{-j}$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{(j, \nu(j))} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_1^{(j)} = \mu_1$.

Set

$$(4.13) \quad c_j^* = \left[\int_{\Sigma} d\sigma_x \int_{\Sigma} |S_r^{(j)}(x, \xi)|^2 d\sigma_\xi - \sum_{k=2}^{\nu(j)} [\tau_k^{(j, \nu(j))}]^{2\nu} \right]^{1/2\nu} + \frac{3N}{2^j} \left[1 + 2(1 + \sqrt{2})\sqrt{N} \frac{n}{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}_n}} + 4\sqrt{2}N \frac{n^2}{\bar{\lambda}_n} \right].$$

$$\left[4 + \frac{1}{2^{l+1}} + 4\sqrt{N} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} + 1}{2^{l+1}} + 2\sqrt{2} \right) \frac{n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} + 8\sqrt{2}N \frac{n^2}{\lambda_n} \right]$$

All the quantities in the right-hand side of (4.13) can be explicitly computed.

From (4.9), (4.10), (4.12), (3.19), (4.6) and (4.11) we have

$$c_D \leq c_l'; \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} c_l' = c_D.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. BRAMBLE - L. E. PAYNE, *Bounds for Solution of Second-Order Elliptic Partial Differential Equations. Contributions to Differential Equation*, vol. 1, Interscience Publ., New York (1963), 95-127.
- [2] J. H. BRAMBLE - L. E. PAYNE, *Some Integral Inequalities for Uniformly Elliptic Operators. Contributions to Differential Equation*, vol. 1, Interscience Publ., New York (1963), 129-135.
- [3] G. FICHERA, *Formule di maggiorazione connesse ad una classe di trasformazioni lineari*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, s. IV, vol. XXXVI (1954), 273-296.
- [4] G. FICHERA, *Su un principio di dualità per talune formole di maggiorazione relative alle equazioni differenziali*, *Rend. Lincei Sci. Fis. Natur.*, s. VIII, vol. XIX (1955), 411-418.
- [5] G. FICHERA, *On a Unified Theory of Boundary Value Problems for Elliptic-Parabolic Equations of Second Order. Boundary Problems in Differential Equations*, Madison (1960), 97-120.
- [6] G. FICHERA, *Linear elliptic differential systems and eigenvalue problems*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 8, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1965).
- [7] G. FICHERA, *Integrale di Lebesgue ed equazioni integrali di Fredholm*, *Corso di Analisi Matematica IV*, Lezioni raccolte da R. Ambrosetti, Ist. G. Castelnuovo, Università degli studi di Roma «La Sapienza» (1976-77).
- [8] G. FICHERA, *Numerical and Quantitative Analysis*, Pitman, London, San Francisco, Melbourne (1978).
- [9] E. GOURSAT, *Cours d'Analyse mathématique*, vol. III, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1927).
- [10] O. D. KELLOGG, *Foundations of Potential Theory*, Springer, Berlin (1929).
- [11] V. D.KUPRADZE ed., *Three-Dimensional Problems of the Mathematical Theory of Elasticity and Thermoelasticity*, North Holland (1979).
- [12] L. E. PAYNE, *Some isoperimetric inequalities for harmonic functions*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, vol. 1, no. 3 (1970), 354-359.
- [13] M. PICONE, *Appunti di Analisi Superiore*, Roldirella, Napoli (1940).
- [14] V. G. SIGILLITO, *Explicit a priori inequalities with applications to boundary value problems*, *Pitman Res. Notes in Math. Series vol. 13*, London, San Francisco, Melbourne (1977).
- [15] F. TRICOMI, *Integral Equations*, Interscience Publ., New York (1957).
- [16] A. C. ZAANEN, *Linear Analysis*, North Holland (1956).