



Rendiconti

Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL

Memorie di Matematica e Applicazioni

113<sup>a</sup> (1995), Vol. XIX, fasc. 1, pagg. 159-168

SALVATORE BONAFEDE (\*)

## Quasilinear Parabolic Variational Inequalities with Discontinuous Coefficients (\*\*)

SUMMARY. — We obtain an existence theorem for the problem (\*) assuming that the coefficients  $a_{i,j}(x, t, s)$  satisfy hypotheses weaker than the continuity with respect to the variable  $s$ .

### Disequazioni variazionali quasilineari di tipo parabolico con coefficienti discontinui

SUNTO. — Si ottiene un teorema di esistenza per il problema (\*) supponendo che i coefficienti  $a_{i,j}(x, t, s)$  verificano ipotesi più deboli della continuità rispetto alla variabile  $s$ .

#### L. - INTRODUCTION

Certain free boundary problems related to diffusion processes lead to the evolution variational inequality

$$(*) \quad \int_Q \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}(x, t, u) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial(v-u)}{\partial x_j} dx dt + \\ + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, v-u \right) \geq (f, v-u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_Q [v(x, 0) - u_0(x)]^2 dx$$

for all  $v$  belonging to a closed convex non empty subset of  $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^{2,\infty}(Q)$ , in an open cylinder  $Q = \Omega \times ]0, T[$ , when the coefficients  $a_{i,j}(x, t, s)$  are not functions of Carathéodory type but may depend discontinuously on  $s$ . The purpose

(\*) Indirizzo dell'autore: Dipartimento di Matematica dell'Università di Catania, viale A. Doria 6, I-95125 Catania.

(\*\*) Memoria presentata il 18 aprile 1995 da Giorgio Letta, uno dei XL.

of this report is to give an existence result for a weak solution of the above variational inequality assuming that the coefficients satisfy some usual conditions with respect to the variables  $(x, t)$  and an hypothesis weaker than the continuity with respect to the variable  $s$ . Precisely, we make the following assumptions:

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $T$  a positive number.

(a<sub>1</sub>) the functions  $a_{i,j}(x, t, s)$  are Borel measurable in  $Q \times \mathbb{R}$ , bounded and such that

$$(1.0) \quad \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}(x, t, s) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \lambda \sum_i \xi_i^2 \quad \text{for every } (x, t, s, \xi) \in Q \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m$$

with  $\lambda > 0$ ;

(a<sub>2</sub>) for almost every  $t$  in  $]0, T[$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a compact subset  $K_\epsilon(t) \subset \Omega$  with  $\text{meas}(\Omega \setminus K_\epsilon(t)) < \epsilon$  such that, for every  $\tau > 0$ , the functions of the family  $\{a_{i,j}(\cdot, t, s)\}_{|s| \leq \tau, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m}$  are equicontinuous on  $K_\epsilon(t)$ .

Clearly, the main difficult in applying classical results is the presence of integral functionals of the type

$$(**) \quad F(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) dx$$

where  $f(x, s, z)$  is possibly discontinuous on  $s$ . When  $b(s, z): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a discontinuous function on  $s$ , some properties concerning integral functionals of the form

$$G(u) = \int_{\Omega} b(u, Du) dx$$

have been studied in [DBD] and next generalized in [A] for the case (\*\*), by introducing a condition similar to assumption (a<sub>2</sub>).

Let us consider the following closed convex set

$$k = \{u(x) \in H^1(\Omega): u(x) \geq 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$$

and let us set

$$K = \{u(x, t) \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)): u(x, t) \in k \text{ a.e. on } ]0, T[ \}.$$

In the section 2 we will show the following:

**THEOREM 1.1:** *If  $f$  and  $u_0$  are functions belonging to  $L^2(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^*)$  and to  $k$  respectively and the assumptions (a<sub>1</sub>), (a<sub>2</sub>), hold, then there exists a function  $u(x, t) \in$*

$\in K \cap C^0([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \int_Q \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}(x, t, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial(v-u)}{\partial x_i} dx dt + \\ + \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, v-u \right\rangle dt \geq \int_0^T (f, v-u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega [v(x, 0) - u_0]^2 dx$$

for all  $v \in K$  such that  $\partial v / \partial t \in L^2(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^*)$ . Moreover  $u(x, 0) = u_0$  on  $\Omega$ .

A similar question has been studied in [BB] for quasilinear elliptic equations of the form:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A(x, u)Du) = f, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega); \end{cases}$$

here the matrix  $A(x, s)$  of the coefficients can be discontinuous. Next, in the more general case of quasilinear elliptic variational inequalities, this author obtained in [B] some results assuming a degenerate ellipticity condition, that is the ellipticity constant  $\lambda$  is a positive function of  $x$ .

Finally we observe that, for the solutions of (1.1), the maximum principle shown in [M<sub>1</sub>] holds.

## 2. - PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

By hypothesis (a<sub>1</sub>), for all  $t$  in  $]0, T[$ , the operator  $A(t)$  set by putting for every  $u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$

$$\langle A(t)u, v \rangle = \int_Q \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}(x, t, u(x)) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial x_i} dx,$$

is well defined between  $H^1(\Omega)$  and  $(H^1(\Omega))^*$ ; moreover it is easy to check that

$$\langle A(t)u, u \rangle \geq \lambda \|u\|_1^2 - \lambda \|u\|_2^2,$$

$$\|A(t)u\|_* \leq A(1 + \|u\|_2)\|u\|_1 \quad (1)$$

for every  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $t \in ]0, T[$ ; here  $A = \sup_{Q \times \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i,j} |a_{i,j}(x, t, s)|$ .

(1) We write  $\|\cdot\|_1$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_2$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_*$ , to denote the norm in  $H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $(H^1(\Omega))^*$ , respectively.

In the sequel, to shorten notation, we will say that a sequence  $\{u_b\} \subset C L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$  ( $b \in \mathbb{N}$ ) satisfies the condition (II) if:

$$u_b \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)),$$

$$u_b \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega).$$

We have divided the proof into a sequence of lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1: *If the assumptions  $(a_1)$ ,  $(a_2)$  hold, and if the sequence  $\{u_b\} \subset C L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$  ( $b \in \mathbb{N}$ ) satisfies the condition (II), then*

$$(2.1) \quad \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (A(t)u_b, u_b) dt \geq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (A(t)u, u) dt.$$

PROOF: In Th. 4.6 of [A] it has been shown that in the assumptions  $(a_1)$ ,  $(a_2)$  the functional

$$\omega \rightarrow F_t(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}(x, t, \omega) \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j} dx$$

is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on  $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ , a.e.  $t \in ]0, T[$ .

Also, we claim that if  $\omega_b, \omega \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  ( $b \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are such that  $\omega_b \rightarrow \omega$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , then

$$(2.2) \quad \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} F_t(\omega_b) \geq F_t(\omega), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in ]0, T[.$$

Indeed, if  $\liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} F_t(\omega_b) < +\infty$  (the other case is obvious) then there exists a subsequence  $\{v_k\}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_t(v_k) = \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} F_t(\omega_b).$$

Hence, according to (1.0) and Dunford-Pettis Theorem, we have that

$$\nabla_x v_k \rightarrow \bar{\omega} \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)^{(2)}.$$

We proceed to show that

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{\omega} \in \nabla_x \omega \Leftrightarrow \bar{\omega}_i = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_i} \quad \text{for every } i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

(2) The symbol  $\nabla_x v$  stands for  $(\partial v / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial v / \partial x_m)$ .

We see at once that

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} v_k \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} dx = \int_{\Omega} \omega \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} dx \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

which is clear from convergence of  $v_k$  to  $\omega$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ .

In addition, we have:

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_i} \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} \bar{\omega}_i \varphi dx \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

By the definition of derivative in distributional sense, on account of (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain (2.3).

Consequently  $v_k \rightarrow \omega$  in  $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  and finally, using the above mentioned property of functional  $F_r$ , we deduce that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_r(v_k) \geq F_r(\omega).$$

To achieve (2.2), let us suppose that

$$(2.6) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_r(\omega_k) < F_r(\omega);$$

then we can find a subsequence  $\{\omega_{k_n}\}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_r(\omega_{k_n}) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_r(\omega_k) < F_r(\omega).$$

Since  $\omega_{k_n} \rightarrow \omega$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , using the above argument, we can get a subsequence  $\{\omega_{k_{n_j}}\}$  such that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_r(\omega_{k_{n_j}}) \geq F_r(\omega)$$

and hence

$$F_r(\omega) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_r(\omega_{k_{n_j}}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_r(\omega_{k_{n_j}}) < F_r(\omega)$$

from which it follows that (2.6) can not occur.

Let be now  $\{u_k\}$  a sequence of functions belonging to  $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$  and satisfying the condition (II).

We observe that  $u_k, u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  and  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  a.e.  $t \in ]0, T[$ ; therefore setting in (2.2)  $\omega_k = u_k, \omega = u$  we deduce that:

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle A(t)u_k, u_k \rangle \geq \langle A(t)u, u \rangle \quad \text{a.e. } t \in ]0, T[.$$

By means of the Fatou's Lemma we get (2.1). ■

LEMMA 2.2: *If the assumptions (a<sub>1</sub>), (a<sub>2</sub>), hold, and if the sequence  $\{u_k\} \subset C L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) satisfies the condition (II), then, for every  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$*

and for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q a_{i,j}(x, t, u_k) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j} \varphi \, dx \, dt \geq \int_Q a_{i,j}(x, t, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \varphi \, dx \, dt.$$

PROOF: Define for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $(x, t, s, z) \in Q \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$

$$f_n(x, t, s, z) = \max\{a_{i,j}(x, t, s)z_j \varphi(x, t), -n\} + n,$$

$$f_{n,t}(x, s, z) = f_n(x, t, s, z), \quad g_{n,t}(x, s, z) = f_{n,t}(x, s, z) + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^m z_i^2 \quad (\gamma > 0).$$

It is easy to check that  $g_{n,t}(x, s, z)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Th. 4.15 of [A] for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a.e.  $t \in ]0, T[$ , consequently the functional

$$\omega \rightarrow H_{n,t}(\omega) = \int_Q g_{n,t}(x, \omega, \nabla_x \omega) \, dx$$

is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on  $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a.e.  $t \in ]0, T[$ .

The same arguments used in the proof of Lemma 2.1 can be applied to conclude that if  $\omega_b, \omega \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  are such that  $\omega_b \rightarrow \omega$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , then

$$\liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q g_{n,t}(x, \omega_b, \nabla_x \omega_b) \, dx \geq \int_Q g_{n,t}(x, \omega, \nabla_x \omega) \, dx.$$

Therefore, if  $\{u_b\} \subset L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$  ( $b \in \mathbb{N}$ ) satisfies the condition (II) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \{f_{n,t}(x, u_b, \nabla_x u_b) + \gamma |\nabla_x u_b|^2\} \, dx &\geq \\ &\geq \int_Q \{f_{n,t}(x, u, \nabla_x u) + \gamma |\nabla_x u|^2\} \, dx \quad \text{a.e. } t \in ]0, T[. \end{aligned}$$

By integration on  $]0, T[$ , taking into account of Fatou's Lemma, we can assert that

$$\liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \{f_n(x, t, u_b, \nabla_x u_b) + \gamma |\nabla_x u_b|^2\} \, dx \, dt \geq \int_Q \{f_n(x, t, u, \nabla_x u) + \gamma |\nabla_x u|^2\} \, dx \, dt.$$

Since

$$\int_Q |\nabla_x u_b|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq C \quad \text{for every } b \in \mathbb{N},$$

by weak convergence of  $u_h$  to  $u$  in  $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ , for all  $\gamma > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_Q f_n(x, t, u_h, \nabla_x u_h) dx dt \right\} + C\gamma &\geq \\ &\geq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_Q f_n(x, t, u_h, \nabla_x u_h) dx dt + \gamma \int_Q |\nabla_x u_h|^2 dx dt \right\} \geq \\ &\geq \int_Q \{f_n(x, t, u, \nabla_x u) + \gamma |\nabla_x u|^2\} dx dt \geq \int_Q f_n(x, t, u, \nabla_x u) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ , we get:

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q f_n(x, t, u_h, \nabla_x u_h) dx dt \geq \int_Q \{f_n(x, t, u, \nabla_x u) dx dt$$

and immediatly

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \max \left[ a_{i,j}(x, t, u_h) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_j} \varphi, -n \right] dx dt &\geq \\ &\geq \int_Q \max \left[ a_{i,j}(x, t, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \varphi, -n \right] dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now introduce the sets

$$Q_{1,n} \left\{ (x, t) \in Q: \varphi(x, t) a_{i,j}(x, t, u_h(x, t)) \frac{\partial u_h(x, t)}{\partial x_j} < -n \right\},$$

$$Q_{2,n} = Q \setminus Q_{1,n}.$$

It easily seen that:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad \int_Q \max \left[ a_{i,j}(x, t, u_h) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_j} \varphi, -n \right] dx dt &\leq \\ &\leq \int_Q a_{i,j}(x, t, u_h) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_j} \varphi dx dt + A \int_{Q \setminus Q_{1,n}} |\varphi| \left| \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_j} \right| dx dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_Q a_{i,j}(x, t, u_h) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_j} \varphi dx dt + \bar{c} [\text{meas}(Q \setminus Q_{2,n})]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It results

$$(2.10) \quad \text{meas}(Q \setminus Q_{2,n}) \leq \text{meas} \left\{ (x, t) \in Q : A|\varphi| \left| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j} \right| > n \right\} \leq \frac{I}{n} \quad (*)$$

consequently, by (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q a_{i,j}(x, t, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \varphi \, dx \, dt &\leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \max \left[ a_{i,j}(x, t, u_h) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_j} \varphi, -n \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &\leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q a_{i,j}(x, t, u_h) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_j} \varphi \, dx \, dt + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have (2.7). ■

**COROLLARY 2.3:** Under the same hypothesis of previous Lemmas, we can assert that for every  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and for all  $\varphi \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ :

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q a_{i,j}(x, t, u_h) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \, dx \, dt = \int_Q a_{i,j}(x, t, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \, dx \, dt.$$

The assertion is evident from (2.7) interchanging  $a_{i,j}(x, t, s)$  and  $-a_j(x, t, s)$  and using the density of  $C_0^\infty(Q)$  into  $L^2(Q)$ . ■

Finally, observing that  $\{u_h\} \subset L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$  ( $h \in \mathbb{N}$ ) satisfies the condition (II) it results:

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \langle A(t)u_h, u_h - w \rangle \, dt &= \\ &= \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \langle A(t)u_h, u_h \rangle \, dt - \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}(x, t, u_h) \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \, dx \, dt \geq \\ &\geq \int_0^T \langle A(t)u, u \rangle \, dt - \int_Q \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}(x, t, u_h) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \, dx \, dt = \\ &= \int_0^T \langle A(t)u, u - w \rangle \, dt \quad \text{for all } w \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

the existence of a solution is a consequence of the following

(\*) See, for more details, [B], p. 60.



THEOREM: Let  $\{\chi(t)\}$  ( $t \in ]0, T[$ ) be a family of operators from  $H^1(\Omega)$  into  $(H^1(\Omega))^*$  such that:

a)  $\|\chi(t)u\|_* \leq c_1(1 + \|u\|_1)$  for every  $t \in ]0, T[$  and all  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  ( $c_1 = \text{const.} \geq 0$ );

b) the function  $t \rightarrow \chi(t)u(t)$  is strongly measurable on  $]0, T[$  for all  $u \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ ; moreover

c)  $\|\chi(t)u\|_* \leq c_2(1 + \|u\|_2)$  for every  $t \in ]0, T[$  and all  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  ( $c_2 = \text{const.}$ );

d)  $\langle \chi(t)u, u \rangle \geq c_3\|u\|_2^2 - c_4\|u\|_2^2 - c_5(t)$  for every  $t \in ]0, T[$  and all  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  ( $c_3 = \text{const.} > 0$ ,  $c_4 = \text{const.} \geq 0$ ,  $c_5(t) \in L^1(0, T)$ );

e) for every  $\{v_n\} \subset L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^{2-\infty}(Q)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) with  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ ,  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2(Q)$ ,  $\text{ess sup}_{]0, T[} |v_n(t)|_2 \leq \text{const.}$  and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \langle \chi(t)v_n, v_n - v \rangle dt \leq 0$$

one has

$$\int_0^T \langle \chi(t)v, v - w \rangle dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \langle \chi(t)v_n, v_n - w \rangle dt$$

for every  $w \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^{2-\infty}(Q)$ .

Hence, if  $f$  and  $u_0$  are functions belonging to  $L^2(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^*)$  and to  $k$  respectively, then there exists a function  $u \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)),$$

$$\int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \chi(t)u - f, v - u \right\rangle dt \geq -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |v(t) - u_0|^2 dx$$

for every  $v \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$  with  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^*)$ ,

$$u(0) = u_0.$$

(See [N, Th. 3.3 chap.2, p. 61], [M], for a more general proof of this theorem.) ■

REMARK 2.4: We observe that the operator  $\partial u$ , defined by putting for every  $u \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^{2-\infty}(Q)$

$$(\partial u, v) = \int_0^T \sum_i a_{i,j}(x, t, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx dt, \quad v \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$$

is pseudomonotone on

$$\mathcal{W} = \left\{ u \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^*) \right\},$$

i.e. if  $u_n, u \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathcal{W}$  and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\beta u_n, u_n - u) \leq 0$$

then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\beta u_n, u_n - v) \geq (\beta u, u - v) \quad \text{for every } v \in \mathcal{W}^{(+)}.$$

REMARK 2.5: It is an open question if Theorem 1.1 can be shown, as in the elliptic case, when in (1.0) the constant  $\lambda$  depends on  $x$  and  $t$ , more precisely  $\lambda = v(x)\psi(t)$  with  $v(x)$ ,  $\psi(t)$  satisfying hypotheses like ones of [Ni].

The author gratefully acknowledges the many helpful suggestions of Prof. L. Ambrosio during the preparation of the paper.

(\*) We note that the imbedding of  $\mathcal{W}$  into  $L^2(Q)$  is compact.

#### REFERENCES

- [A] L. AMBROSIO, *New lower semicontinuity results for integral functional*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL, Mem. Mat., 11 (1987), 1-42.
- [B] S. BONAFEDÉ, *Quasilinear degenerate elliptic variational inequalities with discontinuous coefficients*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 34, 1 (1993), 55-61.
- [BB] L. BOCCARDO - G. BUTTAZZO, *Quasilinear elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Atti Acc. Lincei Rend. Fis. (8), LXXXII (1988), 21-28.
- [DBD] E. DE GIORGI - G. BUTTAZZO G. - G. DAL MASO, *On the lower semicontinuity of certain integral functionals*, Atti Acc. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., 74 (1983), 274-282.
- [M] A. MAUGERI, *Sulle disuguaglianze variazionali di tipo parabolico*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (5), 17-B (1980), 492-513.
- [M<sub>1</sub>] A. MAUGERI, *Un principio di massimo per le soluzioni di alcune disuguaglianze variazionali di tipo parabolico*, Le Matematiche, 33 (1978), 26-31.
- [N] J. NAUMANN, *Einführung in die Theorie parabolischer Variationsungleichungen*, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Band 64, Leipzig (1984).
- [Ni] F. NICOLOSI, *Soluzioni deboli dei problemi al contorno per operatori parabolici che possono degenerare*, Ann. di Matem. (4), 125 (1980), 135-155.