



Rendiconti

Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL

Memorie di Matematica

195* (1987), Vol. XI, fasc. 16, pagg. 243-252

GIUSEPPE ZAMPIERI (*)

Local Existence at the Boundary of Analytic Solutions
of P.D.E. with Analytic Coefficients (**)

Esistenza locale di soluzioni al bordo per equazioni
a derivate parziali con coefficienti analitici

SCHEM. — Si usa la teoria della microlocalizzazione al bordo di [5], nei suoi ulteriori sviluppi di [7] e [8], per stabilire criteri di esistenza di soluzioni di equazioni a derivate parziali con coefficienti analitici.

ABSTRACT

Let M be a real analytic manifold, X a complexification of M , Ω an open subset of M with smooth boundary, (Ω on one side of $\partial\Omega$), $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ the sheaf of analytic functions on M , $P = P(x, D)$ a germ of differential operator at x_0 , $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, with analytic coefficients. We prove that $PT_2(\mathcal{A}_\Omega)_{x_0} = \Gamma_0(\mathcal{A}_\Omega)_{x_0}$ when the conormal to $M \setminus \Omega$ is non-microcharacteristic (cf [2]) for P along $\Omega \times T_{x_0}^* X$ in $\mathbb{R}^{-1}(x_0)$. In some case we even show that for the equation $Pu = f$, $f \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{A}_\Omega)_{x_0}$, to have a solution $u \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{A}_\Omega)_{x_0}$, we only need non-microcharacteristicity in $\mathbb{R}^{-1}(x_0) \cap \text{SS}_0(f)$, SS_0 being the microsupport at the boundary in the sense of [5]. To obtain this result we introduce, according to [8], a new sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$: in local coordinates $z = x + iy$ its stalk at x_0 is represented by holomorphic functions in the sets $U_\varepsilon = \{|z - x_0| < \varepsilon, x \in \Omega, |y| < \varepsilon \text{ dist}(x, \partial\Omega)\}$ for arbitrarily small ε . We then compare the cohomology of P with values in the sheaves $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{A}_\Omega)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ (Theorem 3.2), and then in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ and \mathcal{A}_Ω (Theorem 3.3). As for the first result, which holds in more general situation, see also [6] and [8].

(*) Dipartimento di Matematica - Università, Via Belzoni, 7, 35131 Padova, Italy.

(**) Memoria presentata il 1° giugno 1987 da Giuseppe Scorza Dragoni, socio del XL.

The frame of the paper is the theory developed in [2]; many tools used in the proofs are also related to [6], [8].

I like to express my gratitude to prof. P. Schapira for frequent and useful discussions.

1. - REVIEW ON MICROLOCALIZATION

Let X be a real C^∞ -manifold, $\pi: T^*X \rightarrow X$ the cotangent bundle, ω_X the orientation sheaf. Let $D(X)$ (resp $D^+(X)$, resp $D^b(X)$) be the derived category of the category of complexes (resp lower bounded complexes, resp bounded complexes) of sheaves of abelian groups on X . For $A \subset X$ locally closed and for $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Ob}(D^+(X))$ a complex $\mu_A(\mathcal{F})$ is defined in [5]. We recall its main properties:

$$(1.1) \quad R\pi_*\mu_A(\mathcal{F}) = R\Gamma_A(\mathcal{F}),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \text{supp } \mu_A(\mathcal{F}) \subset \text{SS } \mathcal{F} \cap \text{SS } Z_A,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \text{SS } \mu_A(\mathcal{F}) \subset C(\text{SS } \mathcal{F}, \text{SS } Z_A),$$

(cf [2] for the definition of microsupport SS and of normal cone $C(\cdot, \cdot)$).

Let $M \subset X$ be a real C^∞ -submanifold of codimension n , T_M^*X the conormal bundle to M , $\omega_{M|X}$ the relative orientation sheaf. For $\mathcal{F} \in D^+(X)$ we set $\mathcal{F}^* = R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, Z_M)$. We will often consider the case $A = \Omega$ or $A = \bar{\Omega}$, where Ω is an open subset of M such that:

$$(1.4) \quad Z_\Omega \text{ is c.c. (cohomologically constructible) and } Z_\Omega^* = Z_\Omega \otimes \omega_{M|X}[-n],$$

(cf [2], Section 5, for the definition of c.c.). We recall that for \mathcal{F} c.c. in $D^b(X)$, \mathcal{F}^* is also c.c. and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{**}$. Thus (1.4) is equivalent to:

$$(1.5) \quad Z_\Omega \text{ is c.c. and } Z_\Omega^* = Z_\Omega \otimes \omega_{M|X}[-n].$$

Easily to see all C^∞ -convex Ω and all open Ω such that $M \setminus \Omega$ is C^∞ -convex and $M \setminus \Omega = \overline{\text{Int } M \setminus \Omega}$, satisfy (1.4). (C^∞ -convex means convex at any point in some local C^∞ -chart.)

Under assumption (1.4) we have, by Proposition 5.6.3 of [2] (and by (1.4), (1.5)):

$$(1.6) \quad R\Gamma_{T_M^*X} \mu_\Omega(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}_\Omega \otimes \omega_{M|X}[-n]; \quad R\Gamma_{T_M^*X} \mu_{\bar{\Omega}}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\Omega}} \otimes \omega_{M|X}[-n].$$

We suppose now that $\Omega \subset M$ is C^∞ -convex. For a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X a new sheaf $\Gamma_\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ on M is defined in [8]. This is a subsheaf of $\Gamma_M(\mathcal{F})$ which coincides with \mathcal{F} over Ω and whose stalk at x_0 , $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ is, in local coordinates (x, y)

at x_0 (with $M = \{y = 0\}$):

$$(1.7) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{F})_{x_0} = \varinjlim_U F(U, \mathcal{F}), \text{ for } U \supset \{(x, y) : x \in \Omega, |y| < \varepsilon \text{ dist}(x, \partial\Omega)\} \cap W$$

for some $\varepsilon < 0$ and some neighborhood W of x_0 .

Let $R\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\cdot)$ denote the derived functor; in [8] we prove that:

$$(1.8) \quad R\Gamma_{T^*_X}(\mu_0(\mathcal{F}))_{T^*_X} = R\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \omega_{M, X}[-n].$$

On the other hand, since $\pi(\text{supp}(\mu_0(\mathcal{F}))) \subset \pi(T^*_X) = M$, then:

$$(1.9) \quad R\pi_*(\mu_0(\mathcal{F}))_{T^*_X} = R\Gamma_0(\mathcal{F}).$$

Combining (1.8) and (1.9) (resp (1.1) and (1.6)), one obtains the fundamental Sato's triangles for $(\mu_0(\mathcal{F}))_{T^*_X}$ (resp $\mu_0(\mathcal{F})$ or $\mu_D(\mathcal{F})$), which are the main tools of the present paper.

2. - MICROLOCALIZATION OF \mathcal{O}_X

Let M be a real analytic manifold of dimension n , X a complexification of M , $\Omega \subset M$ an open subset with analytic boundary $N = \partial\Omega$ (Ω on one side of $\partial\Omega$), Y a complexification of N . Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_M$, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_M$ be the sheaves of holomorphic functions on X , analytic functions on M , hyperfunctions on M respectively; let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 = \tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{O}_X)$. For $A \subset M$ locally closed, especially for $A = \Omega$ and $A = \bar{\Omega}$, we set:

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{C}_{A, X} = \mu_A(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \omega_{M, X}[n].$$

We recall that for any closed cone $Z \subset T^*X$ and for any $\mathfrak{G} \in \text{Ob}(D_{\text{ann}}^+(T^*X))$, we have a distinguished triangle:

$$(2.2) \quad R\Gamma_{Z, X}(\mathfrak{G}) \rightarrow R\pi_* R\Gamma_Z(\mathfrak{G}) \rightarrow R\hat{z}_* R\Gamma_Z(\mathfrak{G})^{\pm 1},$$

(where $\hat{Z} = Z \setminus T^*_X X$). In particular for $Z = T^*X$ and $\mathfrak{G} = \mathcal{C}_{\Omega, X}$ (resp $\mathfrak{G} = \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\Omega}, X}$), and by (1.1), (1.6), we obtain an exact sequence:

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_{\bar{\Omega}} \rightarrow \Gamma_0(\mathcal{B}_M) \rightarrow H^0(R\hat{z}_* \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\Omega}, X}) \rightarrow 0,$$

(resp:

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_{\Omega} \rightarrow \Gamma_D(\mathcal{B}_M) \rightarrow \hat{z}_* \mathcal{C}_{\Omega, X} \rightarrow 0.)$$

Along with (2.3) we will also make use of the exact sequence:

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_{\bar{\Omega}} \rightarrow \Gamma_0(\mathcal{A}_M) \rightarrow H^0(R\hat{z}_* R\Gamma_{\hat{z}^{-1}(M \setminus \bar{\Omega})}(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\Omega}, X})) \rightarrow 0,$$

which is a consequence of (2.2) for $Z = \hat{\pi}^{-1}(M \setminus \bar{\Omega}) \cup T^*_X X$.

We note now that $\partial\Omega$ being analytic, then (cf [5]):

$$(2.6) \quad H^0(\mathcal{C}_{\partial X}) = (\mathcal{C}_{\partial X})_{T^*X}.$$

In the above assumption we recall two other statements whose proof can be found in [7].

LEMMA 2.1. For any $x \in \partial\Omega$ and for a suitable neighborhood X' of x , we have:

$$(2.7) \quad H^0(U, \mathcal{C}_{X'}) = 0 \quad \forall U \subset T^*_x X', \quad \forall f \geq 1.$$

THEOREM 2.2. $(\mathcal{C}_{\partial X})_{T^*X}$ is *initially flabby* (i.e. its image in $T^*_x X/\mathbb{R}^+$ is flabby).

If we let now $\mathfrak{B} = (\mathcal{C}_{\partial X})_{T^*X}$ and $Z = T^*X$ (resp $Z = \pi^{-1}(M \setminus \Omega) \cup T^*_x X$) in (2.2), we obtain by the aid of (1.8), (1.9) (and also by Theorem 2.2), exact sequences:

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \tilde{A}_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{B}_M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_0(\mathcal{C}_{\partial X})_{T^*X} \rightarrow 0,$$

(resp:

$$(2.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \tilde{A}_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0(\mathcal{A}_M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_0 \Gamma_{\pi^{-1}(M \setminus \Omega)}((\mathcal{C}_{\partial X})_{T^*X}) \rightarrow 0.$$

More generally we know that for any closed cone $Z \subset T^*_x X$, $R\mathfrak{F}_0 \cdot \mathbf{RT}_x(\mathcal{C}_{\partial X})_{T^*X}$ is concentrated in degree 0 and that:

$$(2.10) \quad H^0(R\mathfrak{F}_0 \mathbf{RT}_x(\mathcal{C}_{\partial X})_{T^*X}) = \{f \in \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{B}_M) \mid \text{SS}_0(f) \subset Z\}, \quad x \in M,$$

where SS_0 is the microsupport at the boundary defined in [5]. (When $\Omega \times Z \subset T^*_x X$, then $f \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{A}_M)$, in the right of (2.10).)

This gives a large class of exact sequences extending (2.8), (2.9).

3. - EXISTENCE OF ANALYTIC SOLUTIONS AT THE BOUNDARY

Let M be a real analytic manifold of dimension n and X a complexification of M . Let $p \in T^*_x X$ and $x = \pi(p)$; using the natural embeddings:

$$T^*_x X \times_M T^*M \hookrightarrow T^*X \times_X T^*X \hookrightarrow T^*T^*X,$$

$T^*_x M$ is identified to a submanifold of $T^*_x T^*X$. T^*T^*X is in turns identified to TT^*X by means of $-H$, H being the Hamiltonian isomorphism.

Let Ω be an open subset of M with analytic boundary (Ω on one side of $\partial\Omega$), let $x \in \partial\Omega$, and let θ be the exterior conormal to Ω at x . Let $P = P(x, D)$ be a germ of (micro)differential operator at p , $p \in \pi^{-1}(x)$, with holomorphic coefficients, and let $\text{char } P$ denote its characteristic variety. In [6] (and [8])

the following result was stated:

LEMMA 3.1. Assume that:

$$(3.1) \quad -H(\theta) \notin C_b(\text{char } P, \bar{\Omega} \times T_M^* X).$$

Then P is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\pi^{-1}(M \setminus \Omega)} C_{\partial X}$ in a neighborhood of ρ .

Let Z be a closed cone of $\bar{\Omega} \times T_M^* X$ with $Z \times \Omega \subset T_X^* X$. By (2.2) and by the results of § 2, we have an exact sequence:

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bar{A}_0 \rightarrow \pi_* \Gamma_x((C_{\partial X})r_{L,x}) \rightarrow \pi_* \Gamma_x((C_{\partial X})r_{L,x}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We also have:

$$(3.3) \quad \Gamma_x((C_{\partial X})r_{L,x}) = \Gamma_x(\Gamma_{\pi^{-1}(M \setminus \Omega)}(C_{\partial X})r_{L,x}) = \Gamma_x((\Gamma_{\pi^{-1}(M \setminus \Omega)} C_{\partial X})r_{L,x}),$$

due to $\text{supp } C_{\partial X} \subset \pi^{-1}(M \setminus \Omega) \cup T_M^* X$.

Thus if P is a differential operator at x which induces an isomorphism of $\Gamma_{\pi^{-1}(M \setminus \Omega)} C_{\partial X}$ in a neighborhood of $Z \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$, we deduce from (3.2) and (3.3) that P is an isomorphism of $(\pi_* \Gamma_x((C_{\partial X})r_{L,x}) / \bar{A}_0)_x$. On the other hand we note that:

$$(3.4) \quad \pi_* \Gamma_x((C_{\partial X})r_{L,x})_x = \{f \in \Gamma_0(A_x)_x; \text{SS}_0(f) \subset Z\}.$$

On account of (3.4) and Lemma 3.1 we have then established the following:

THEOREM 3.2. Let Ω be an open set of M with analytic boundary, x a point of $\partial\Omega$, θ the exterior conormal to Ω at x , $P(x, D)$ a differential operator at x , Z a closed cone of $\bar{\Omega} \times T_M^* X$ verifying $\Omega \times Z \subset T_X^* X$. Assume that (3.1) is fulfilled $\forall \rho \in Z \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$. Then P is an isomorphism of $(f \in \Gamma_0(A_x)_x; \text{SS}_0(f) \subset Z) / (\bar{A}_0)_x$.

To get good existence criteria we need now:

THEOREM 3.3. In the situation of Theorem 3.3, assume, instead of (3.1):

$$(3.5) \quad \overline{\text{char } P \cap (\text{SS } Z_0 \setminus T_M^* X)} \cap (U \times T_M^* X) \subset T_X^* X,$$

for a neighborhood U of x .

Then:

$$(3.6) \quad P((\bar{A}_0)_x) = (\bar{A}_0)_x.$$

PROOF. Let $N^*(\Omega)$ be the conormal cone to Ω ; we recall that:

$$\text{supp } C_{\partial X} \subset \text{SS } Z_0 = T_M^* X \oplus_M N^*(\Omega)^c,$$

(where « σ » denotes the antipodal). Let $L = \text{SS } Z_{\sigma} \setminus T_M^* X$ and consider the triangle:

$$(3.7) \quad (C_{\sigma|X})_L \rightarrow C_{\sigma|X} \rightarrow (C_{\sigma|X})_{T_M^* X}^{-1}.$$

Applying the functor $R\pi_*$ (\cdot) to (3.7), and using (1.1) and (1.9) we obtain:

$$(3.8) \quad R\pi_*(C_{\sigma|X})_L = 0.$$

On account of the triangle:

$$(3.9) \quad R\Gamma_{T_M^* X}(C_{\sigma|X})_L \rightarrow R\pi_*(C_{\sigma|X})_L \rightarrow R\pi_*(C_{\sigma|X})_L^{-1},$$

we then obtain from (3.8):

$$(3.10) \quad R\Gamma_{T_M^* X}(C_{\sigma|X})_L = R\pi_*(C_{\sigma|X})_L[-1].$$

Finally applying $R\Gamma_{T_M^* X}(\cdot)$ to (3.7) and using (1.6), (1.8), (3.10), we obtain an exact sequence:

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 \rightarrow H^0(R\pi_*(C_{\sigma|X})_L) \rightarrow 0.$$

We take now full advantage of the language of derived categories. We denote by \mathcal{D}_X the sheaf of differential operators with holomorphic coefficients, set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P$, and apply the functor $R\mathcal{X}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ to (3.11).

We first note that Y (the complexification of $N = \partial L$) is non-characteristic for \mathcal{A} , due to (3.5). It follows: $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_X}^i(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_0) = 0$. Therefore (3.6) will be a consequence of:

$$(3.12) \quad H^1(R\pi_*(R\mathcal{X}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{A}, C_{\sigma|X})_L)) = 0.$$

To prove (3.12) we notice that because of (1.2) and (3.5) we have:

$$\text{supp}((R\mathcal{X}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{A}, C_{\sigma|X})_L) \cap (U \times T_M^* X)) = 0,$$

and therefore:

$$(3.13) \quad R\pi_*((R\mathcal{X}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{A}, C_{\sigma|X})_L)_s) = R(\pi|_s)_*(R\mathcal{X}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{A}, C_{\sigma|X})_L)_s.$$

We also notice that $R(\pi|_s)_*(C_{\sigma|X})_L$ is concentrated in degree -1 since

$$C_{\sigma|X}|_s = C_{\sigma|X}[-1] \text{ and } H^i(U \times L|_s, C_{\sigma|X}) = 0 \quad \forall j \geq 1 \text{ (by Theorem 2.2).}$$

This gives (3.12) and completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 3.4. Let P verify (3.5), let $f \in \Gamma_D(A_M)_x$, and assume (3.1) fulfilled $\forall p \in \tilde{Z} = \text{SS}_0(f) \cap \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x)$. Then there is a solution $u \in \Gamma_D(A_M)_x$, with $\text{SS}_0(u) \subset \subset \text{SS}_0(f)$, of the equation $Pu = f$. Such u is unique modulo $(\tilde{A}_0)_x$.

We note now that if (3.1) holds $\forall p \in \tilde{Z} = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x) \cap T_M^*X$, then (3.5) is automatically fulfilled. We have therefore:

COROLLARY 3.5. Let P verify (3.1) $\forall p \in \tilde{Z} = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x) \cap T_M^*X$. Then:

$$(3.14) \quad P(\Gamma_D(A_M)_x) = \Gamma_D(A_M)_x.$$

REMARKS 3.6. a) The conclusion of Corollary 3.4 holds, without the additional hypothesis (3.5), when P has constant coefficients and Ω is convex in \mathbb{R}^n . In fact owing to the existence theorem on convex regions by Ehrenpreis-Malgrange, (3.6) is automatically verified in such situation.

b) When $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x) \cap T_M^*X \cap \text{char } P = \emptyset$, then Corollary 3.5 is equivalent to the well-known theorem of existence of analytic solutions to elliptic equations.

c) Let \mathcal{A} be a coherent \mathcal{D}_X -module (i.e. a differential system with holomorphic coefficients) and assume Y non-characteristic for \mathcal{A} . Let k be the length of a free projective resolution of \mathcal{A} . If we suppose that \mathcal{A} verifies (3.1) $\forall p \in \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x) \cap T_M^*X$, then we obtain, by adapting the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3:

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} R \text{Kom}_{\mathcal{D}_x}(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{A}_0)_x &\simeq R \text{Kom}_{\mathcal{D}_x}(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma_D(A_M)_x); \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_x}^k(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{A}_0)_x &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_x}^k(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{A}_0)_x \text{ surjective.} \end{aligned}$$

In particular we have $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_x}^k(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{A}_0)_x = 0$ when $k = n$, due to the Cauchy-Kowalewsky-Kashiwara theorem. However, apart from the constant coefficients case, the cohomology of the system \mathcal{A} with values in \tilde{A}_0 does not vanish, generally, in any degree j , $1 \leq j \leq n$.

EXAMPLE. Let $M = (\mathbb{C}^n)^n \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, let \mathcal{A} be the Cauchy-Riemann system $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ on M , and let $\Omega = M \setminus \Omega'$ for $\Omega' \subset M$ strictly pseudoconvex and with analytic boundary.

Thus the hypotheses of Corollary 3.5 are fulfilled (as \mathcal{A} is elliptic), but we do not have $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_x}^j(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma_D(A_M)_x) = 0$, $\forall j \geq 1$, $x \in \partial\Omega$.

4. - APPLICATIONS

We choose local coordinates $(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \in T^*X$, $\zeta = x + iy$, $\bar{\zeta} = \bar{x} + i\bar{y}$, and assume:

$$X = C \times X', \quad M = R \times M', \quad \Omega = R^+ \times M'.$$

We also write $z = (z_1, z')$, $\bar{z} = (\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}')$. We note that the exterior conormal to Ω is $\theta = -dx_1$ and that $-H(-dx_1) = -\partial/\partial \bar{z}_1$. Let $P = P(x, D)$ be a differential operator at x_0 , let P_m denote the principal part of P , and let $p = (x_0, i\eta_0) \in \partial\Omega \times T_M^*X$, $|\eta_0| = 1$.

Then (3.1) is equivalent to:

$$(4.1) \quad P_m \neq 0 \text{ if } \xi_1 < -\epsilon[(|y| + Y(-x_1)|x_1|)|\eta| + |\xi'|], \quad |(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) - p| < \epsilon,$$

for suitable $\epsilon > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$. (Here Y denotes the Heaviside function.)

In particular (4.1) holds $\forall p \in \pi^{-1}(x_0) \cap T_M^*X$ iff for suitable $\epsilon, \epsilon', \epsilon$:

$$(4.2) \quad P_m \neq 0 \text{ for } -\epsilon'|\eta| < \xi_1 < -\epsilon[(|y| + Y(-x_1)|x_1|)|\eta| + |\xi'|], \quad |\zeta - x_0| < \epsilon.$$

On the other hand (3.5) is equivalent to:

$$(4.3) \quad P_m \neq 0 \text{ for } -\epsilon'|\eta| < \xi_1 < 0, \quad x_1 = y = \xi' = 0, \quad |x' - x'_0| < \epsilon,$$

for suitable ϵ', ϵ .

By applying the Bochner's local tube theorem to $1/P_m$ one immediately proves that (4.3) is equivalent to:

$$(4.4) \quad P_m \neq 0 \text{ for } -\epsilon'|\eta| < \xi_1 < -\epsilon[|y'| + |\xi'|], \quad x_1 = y_1 = 0, \quad |\zeta - x_0| < \epsilon,$$

with new $\epsilon, \epsilon', \epsilon$.

By similar argument one also obtains the following refinement of Proposition 5.3 of [6]:

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let $P_m(\zeta_1, \zeta'; \bar{\zeta}) = f(\zeta_1^k, \zeta'; \bar{\zeta})$ ($k \geq 1$ integer) for f holomorphic at p and verifying:

$$(4.5) \quad f \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \xi_1 < 0, \quad y = \xi' = 0, \quad |(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) - p| < \epsilon, \quad x_1 \geq 0.$$

Then for a new ε and ε' :

$$(4.6) \quad P_m \neq 0 \text{ for } \xi_1 < -\{(|y_1|^{2k} + Y(x_1) \inf(1, |x_1|^{2k-2}))|y_1| + \\ + Y(-x_1)|x_1|^{2k} + |y'| |y| + |\xi'|\}, \quad |(\xi, \zeta/\zeta) - \rho| < \varepsilon.$$

(Note that (4.6) obviously implies (4.1) when $k \geq 2$.)

EXAMPLE (cf [6]). Let:

$$M = \mathbb{R}^n \ni (x_1, x'), \quad \Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad P_m = D_1^2 - (x_1^2 + x'^{2k})D'^2, \\ k \geq 2, \quad k' \geq 0.$$

By Proposition 4.1, (4.2) is fulfilled at $x_0 = 0$ and then by Corollary 3.5 we get:

$$P(\Gamma_0(A_m))_{x_0} = \Gamma_0(A_m)_{x_0}.$$

We give now an application of the Phragmén-Lindelöf-Hörmander method [1] to exhibit a large class of operators P for which microhyperbolicity (i.e. Condition (4.1)) is also necessary for existence of analytic solutions. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|x| = 1$, let Ω be the open half space of \mathbb{R}^n defined by $x \cdot x < 0$, let $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, and let $P = P(D)$ be a differential operator with constant coefficients. Let K be the $(n-1)$ -dimensional closed unit sphere orthogonal to x , let $K(\rho) = -K \times \{x\rho; \rho \in \mathbb{R}, |\rho| \leq 1\}$, and let $H_K(\cdot)$ be the support function of K . We also let $V = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n; P_m(\zeta) = 0\}$ and denote by $V_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ the ε -neighborhood of $i\eta$ on V .

One can then prove the following variant of the results of [1] (cf [9]):

PROPOSITION 4.2. In the above situation, assume:

$$(4.7) \quad P(\Gamma_0(A_m))_{x_0} = \Gamma_0(A_m)_{x_0}.$$

Then $\forall i\eta \in V \cap \Gamma_m^* X$ and $\forall t, 0 < t \leq 1$, there exist a compact convex subset $K' \subset \subset \Omega$ and constants δ, r_0 and ε such that $\forall r \leq r_0$ the following implication holds on the class of weakly plurisubharmonic functions φ on V :

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi(\zeta) \leq H_{K'}(\operatorname{Re} \zeta) + \delta r & \forall \zeta \in V_{\varepsilon, \delta} \\ \varphi(\zeta) \leq H_{K(t)}(\operatorname{Re} \zeta) & \forall \zeta \in V_{\varepsilon, \delta} \end{cases}$$

implies:

$$(4.9) \quad \varphi(\zeta) \leq H_{-K, -K'}(\operatorname{Re} \zeta) \quad \forall \zeta \in V_{\varepsilon, \delta}.$$

We say that P is *microhyperbolic* at $i\eta$ to $\pm x dx$ iff $\pm x(\partial/\partial \bar{\xi}) \notin C_{i\eta}(V, i\mathbb{R}^n)$; we say that it is *non-microcharacteristic* iff $\pm x(\partial/\partial \bar{\xi}) \in C_{i\eta}(V, (i\eta))$.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $\Omega = \{x \cdot w < 0\}$, let $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, and assume P non-micro-characteristic to $\pm w dx$ at a characteristic in η of multiplicity ≤ 2 . If (4.7) holds then P is microhyperbolic to $\pm w dx$.*

PROOF. If (4.7) is fulfilled then we know that $\forall t, 0 < t < 1$, and for a suitable $K - K_t$ the implication (4.8) \Rightarrow (4.9) is satisfied. Then reasoning as in [9] we can see that for a suitably small $t \neq 0$ we have $0 < H_{x_0}(-w)$ which is a contradiction due to $K_t' \subset \subset D$.

EXAMPLE. Let

$$M = \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \Omega = \{x_1 < 0\}, \quad x_0 = 0, \quad w = (1, 0, \dots), \quad \eta = (0, \dots, 0, 1),$$

$$\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}' \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^n, \quad r \neq 0, \quad x \neq 0.$$

Then for any one of the polynomials $P(D)$:

$$D_1^2 + D^2, \quad D_1^2 - D^2 + D^2, \quad (D_1^2 - D^2)D_2^2 + D^4,$$

we know, on account of Theorem 4.3, that (4.7) is not fulfilled.

REFERENCES

- [1] HÖRMANDER, L., *On the existence of analytic solutions of partial differential equations with constant coefficients*, *Invent. Math.*, **21** (1973), 151-182.
- [2] KASHIWARA, M. and P. SCHAPIRA, *Microlocal study of sheaves*, *Astérisque*, **128** (1985).
- [3] KATAYAMA, K., *Microlocal theory of boundary value problems I and II*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, **27** (1980), 355-399, and **28** (1981), 31-56.
- [4] SATO, M., KASHIWARA, M. and T. KAWAI, *Hyperfunctions and pseudodifferential equations*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer-Verlag, **287** (1973), 265-529.
- [5] SCHAPIRA, P., *Front d'onde analytique au bord I and II*, *C.R. Acad. Sci.*, **302** n. 10 (1986), and *Sémin. I.H.P. Ecole Polytechnique Exp.* 13 (1986).
- [6] SCHAPIRA, P. and G. ZAMPIERI, *Regularity at the boundary for systems of microdifferential equations*, *Prog. Coll. «Hyperbolic Equations»*, Padova (1988).
- [7] SCHAPIRA, P. and G. ZAMPIERI, *Microfunctions at the boundary and mild microfunctions*, to appear.
- [8] ZAMPIERI, G., *On the existence of analytic solutions of differential systems at the boundary of convex sets*, to appear.
- [9] ZAMPIERI, G., *Propagation of singularity and existence of real analytic solutions of locally hyperbolic equations*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. I A Math.*, **31** n. 2 (1984), 373-390.