



CARLO CASOLO (*)

Groups in which All Subgroups are Subnormal (**)

Gruppi con tutti i sottogruppi subnormali

RISASSUNTO. — Si prova che la serie derivata di un gruppo in cui tutti i sottogruppi sono subnormali si arresta dopo un numero finito di passi.

Let \mathfrak{R}_0 denote the class of groups in which every subgroup is subnormal. All non-nilpotent \mathfrak{R}_0 -groups constructed so far ([3], [4], [5], [6], [7]) are metabelian, and it is still an open problem whether there exist non-soluble \mathfrak{R}_0 -groups. In this Note we prove the following:

THEOREM: *The derived series of a \mathfrak{R}_0 -group terminates after a finite number of steps.*

This improves previous results in this direction ([1], [2]), and is a consequence of an idea of Brookes, and of a Theorem of Roseblade's [8], which gives a function $\mu(n)$, such that every group in which all subgroups are subnormal of defect at most n , is nilpotent of class at most $\mu(n)$.

An easy corollary of our Theorem is the following.

COROLLARY: *A residually finite \mathfrak{R}_0 -group is soluble.*

Our first Lemma is a generalization of Theorem B in Brookes [1]; for sake of completeness we give a proof of it. In the statement, \mathfrak{R} is a class of groups, such that no nilpotent group belongs to it.

LEMMA 1: *If $G \in \mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{R}_0$, then there exist a positive integer r , and a \mathfrak{R} -subgroup K of G , containing a finitely generated subgroup H , such that every \mathfrak{R} -subgroup of K which contains H has defect at most r in K .*

PROOF: Let $G \in \mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{R}_0$ and assume, by contradiction, that for any \mathfrak{R} -subgroup K of G , any finitely generated subgroup H of K and any positive

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Seminario Matematico dell'Università, Via Belzoni 7, I-35131 Padova.

(**) Nota presentata il 7 aprile 1986 da Giuseppe Scorza Dragoci, uno dei XL.

integer r , there exists a \mathfrak{R} -subgroup of K , containing H , whose defect in K is greater than r . By induction on i , we define \mathfrak{R} -subgroups K_i and finitely generated subgroups H_i of G such that:

$$K_{i+1} \not\leq K_i \quad \text{and} \quad H_i < \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n \quad \text{for every } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We put $K_1 = G$, $H_1 = 1$. Let $i > 1$ and assume we have already defined H_1, \dots, H_{i-1} and K_{i-1} . Now $K_{i-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ contains the finitely generated subgroup $\langle H_1, \dots, H_{i-1} \rangle$, then, by our hypothesis, there exists a \mathfrak{R} -subgroup K_i of K_{i-1} , containing $\langle H_1, \dots, H_{i-1} \rangle$ of defect greater or equal to i in K_{i-1} . Hence there exists a finite subset S of K_i , and a finite subset T of K_{i-1} , such that $[T, S] \notin K_i$. We put $H_i = \langle S \rangle < K_i$, and observe that $K_i > \langle H_1, \dots, H_i \rangle$ and that if $L < K_i$, then $\langle H_i, L \rangle$ has defect greater than $i-1$ in K_{i-1} . We put now $H_n = \langle H_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$, then $H_n < K_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so, by what above observed, H_n has defect greater than n in K_n ; it follows that H_n is not subnormal in G , a contradiction.

LEMMA 2: Let T be a subgroup of a group K . If every subgroup $X > T$ of K is subnormal of defect at most n in K , then $K^{(n)} < T$, where

$$\tau(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n ([\log_2 \mu(i)] + 1)$$

and $\mu(i)$ is the function of Roseblade's Theorem [8].

PROOF: By induction on n . If $n = 1$, then $T = K$ and every subgroup of K/T is normal; in this case K/T is metabelian (in fact K/T is a Dedekind group and $\mu(1) = 2$), and so $K^{(1)} < T$.

Let $n > 1$ and S be any subgroup of T^{∞} containing T , then $S^{\infty} = T^{\infty}$ and, since S has defect at most n in K , it has defect at most $n-1$ in T^{∞} . By inductive hypothesis, $T > (T^{\infty})^{(n-1)}$. Now, every subgroup of K/T^{∞} is subnormal of defect at most n ; therefore, by Roseblade's Theorem, K/T^{∞} is nilpotent of class at most $\mu(n)$, in particular it is soluble of derived length at most $e = [\log_2 \mu(n)] + 1$. Hence $K^{(e)} < T^{\infty}$, and so $K^{(n)} = (K^{(e)})^{(n-1)} < T$.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: It suffices to prove that hypoabelian groups in \mathfrak{R}_0 are soluble. Thus, let G be a hypoabelian group in \mathfrak{R}_0 , and \mathfrak{R} be the class of non-soluble groups. Assume, by contradiction, that $G \in \mathfrak{R}$. Then, by Lemma 1, there exist a non-soluble subgroup K of G , a finitely generated subgroup H of K , and a positive integer r , such that every non-soluble subgroup of K which contains H has defect at most r in K . If S is such a subgroup of K , then every subgroup of K containing S is non-soluble, and so it has defect at most r in K . By Lemma 2 it follows that $S > K^{(r)}$, where $\tau = \tau(r)$. Therefore, if V is any non-soluble subgroup of K , then $\langle V, H \rangle > R$, where $R = K^{(r)}$. In particular, for any positive integer n , we have $K^{(n)} H > R$, since K

(and so K^m for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$) is non-soluble. Now $G \in \mathfrak{R}_0$ is locally nilpotent, and so H is nilpotent. Let t be the derived length of H and put $d = r + t + 1$, then $R \leq K^{(d)}H$, yielding:

$$R^{(d)} \leq K^{(d)}H^{(d)} = K^{(d)} = (K^{(r)})^{(t+1)} = R^{(t+1)} = (R^{(t)})'.$$

But, since G is a hypoabelian group, no non trivial subgroup of G is perfect; therefore $K^{(t+1)} = R^{(t)} = 1$, a contradiction.

REFERENCES

[1] C. J. B. BADOUE, *Groups with every subgroup subnormal*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 15 (1983), pp. 235-238.

[2] C. CASOLI, *On groups with all subgroups subnormal*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 17 (1985), p. 397.

[3] B. HARTLEY, *A note on the normalizer condition*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 74 (1973), pp. 11-15.

[4] H. HEINIKEN - I. J. MOHAMMED, *A group with trivial centre satisfying the normalizer condition*, J. Algebra, 10 (1968), pp. 368-376.

[5] H. HEINIKEN - I. J. MOHAMMED, *Groups with normalizer condition*, Math. Annalen, 198 (1972), pp. 179-187.

[6] J. D. P. MELDUM, *On the Heiniken-Mohamed groups*, J. Algebra, 27 (1973), pp. 437-444.

[7] H. SMITH, *Hypercentral groups with all subgroups subnormal*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 15 (1983), pp. 229-234.

[8] J. E. ROUSSEAU, *On groups in which every subgroup is subnormal*, J. Algebra, 2, (1965), pp. 402-412.