



Rendiconti

Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL

Memorie di Matematica

102^a (1984), Vol. VIII, fasc. 5, pagg. 79-98

GIUSEPPE ZAMPIERI (*)

On the Bilateral Boundary Value Problem
and the Existence of Global Gevrey Solutions
of Linear Differential Equations (**)

Sul problema al bordo bilatero
e l'esistenza di soluzioni Gevrey per equazioni differenziali lineari

Sinero. — Per operatori differenziali lineari a coefficienti costanti si considera il problema di Cauchy nelle classi di Gevrey Γ^s , $s > 1$, supponendo che la forma caratteristica sia localmente iperbolica (in particolare, reale e di tipo principale). Microlocalizzando opportunamente il problema, si danno teoremi di esistenza ed unicità per le sue soluzioni. Se ne deduce che per tali operatori, $P(D)$, risulta $P(D)\Gamma^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Gamma^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ per $1 < s < \mu/(s-1)$ dove μ è la massima molteplicità delle caratteristiche (di modo che al numero s non è imposta alcuna limitazione superiore nel caso di caratteristiche semplici).

INTRODUCTION

We deal with the non-characteristic Cauchy problem on the Gevrey classes Γ^s , $s > 1$ for a partial differential operator $P = P(D)$ in \mathbb{R}^n with constant coefficients. Let S^{s-1} be the $(s-1)$ -dimensional real sphere and, with $\bar{v} \in S^{s-1}$ non-characteristic, let us denote by ϱ the projection along the meridians with poles $\pm \bar{v}$. For a point ξ^* in the equator S^{s-2} , first we assume that P is microhyperbolic to \bar{v} at any point of the fibre $\varrho^{-1}(\xi^*)$ in the sense of (2.1) and denote by μ the largest vanishing order in $\varrho^{-1}(\xi^*)$ of the principal symbol. In such hypothesis we prove that the Cauchy problem on the hyperplane $N = \{x \cdot \bar{v} = 0\}$ has solution in the Gevrey classes Γ^s , $1 < s < \mu/(s-1)$ modulo solutions of a Plemelj problem which are microanalytic in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus N) \times \varrho^{-1}(\xi^*)$; and we state the microlocal uniqueness of the solution too. Then we strengthen the result and prove the microanalyticity in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (S^{s-2} \setminus \varrho^{-1}(I))$, for an open set $I \ni \xi^*$

(*) Istituto di Analisi dell'Università, via Belzoni 7, 35100 Padova, Italia.

(**) Memoria presentata il 3 Novembre 1983 da Giuseppe Scorza Dragoni, uno dei XL.

in the equator S^{n-2} , and the uniqueness modulo \mathcal{A} of the solution in case we correspondingly require the microanalyticity in $N \times (S^{n-2}, I^*) (I' \subset I)$ for the data.

Second we treat operators which are microhyperbolic to ν at a μ -characteristic point $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ and consider their Weierstrass decomposition $P = H \cdot E$ in $\Delta \ni \xi$, H still being microhyperbolic of order μ and E invertible. We prove the existence, and the microlocal uniqueness in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{\xi\}$, of a solution $u \in I^s$, $1 < d < \mu(\mu - 1)$, of $P(D)u = 0$, the traces of $E(D)u$ up to the order $\mu - 1$ microlocally coinciding in $N \times \{\nu(\xi)\}$ with prescribed I^d functions. When the Cauchy data vanish (as microfunctions) outside $N \times I'$, $I' \subset \rho(\Delta)$, we correspondingly obtain the vanishing of the solution outside $\mathbb{R}^n \times \Delta'$ for suitable $\Delta' \subset \Delta \cap \rho^{-1}(I')$; this gives the unicity modulo \mathcal{A} of the solution in such case.

As an application we deduce

if P is microhyperbolic at all characteristics with vanishing order $< \mu$ then

$$P(D)I^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = I^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for } 1 < d < \frac{\mu}{\mu-1}$$

(and for any $d > 1$ if P is in addition weaker than its principal part).

(When $d = 1$ the result is classical [1]). In fact, given the equation $Pu = f$, $f \in I^s$ we first find solutions on compact sets of \mathbb{R}^n and decompose them into terms which are microanalytic outside the elements Δ of a suitable covering of S^{n-1} . By adjusting those terms with microlocal solutions of Cauchy problems we make their boundary values agree. Due to the above uniqueness, they agree mod \mathcal{A} on compact sets of \mathbb{R}^n so that they define a global solution in I^s/\mathcal{A} of the equation.

Essential in the whole exposition are: the theory of boundary values of hyperfunction solutions of P.D.E. [6], [8]; theorems on propagation of singularity at the boundary [4], [5]; the theory of Fourier hyperfunctions [3], [7]. Results on existence of I^s solutions are also present in [2] (especially for real simply-characteristic symbols and in case of d rational) and in [9].

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Professor A. Kaneko for his invaluable advice during our discussions at Tokyo University.

1. - THE CASE OF REAL PRINCIPAL SYMBOL

Let $P = P(D)$ be a differential operator of order m with constant coefficients (or even analytic in a domain $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$), let $N \subset V$ be a real analytic hypersurface non-characteristic with respect to P , consider a normal system $(B_j)_{j=1, \dots, m}$ of boundary operators (e.g. $B_j(D) = (-i(\partial/\partial x_n))^{j-1}$), and let $(G_j)_{j=1, \dots, m}$ be its dual system defined by formula (32) of [8].

Let f be a hyperfunction on the positive part V_+ of $V \setminus N$, solution of

$Pf = 0$. Then for any extension \tilde{f} to V vanishing on the negative side V_- , we can write in a unique way

$$(1.1) \quad P\tilde{f} = P_g + \sum_{j=1}^n C_j(f_j \otimes \delta_N)$$

with $g \in \Gamma_0(V, \mathcal{A})$, $f_j \in \Gamma(N, \mathcal{A})$ (where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' denote the sheaves of hyperfunctions in V and N respectively). As shown in [8] this is nothing else but the dual version of the Cauchy-Kowalevsky theorem.

Following [8] we will define f_j to be the boundary values of f and write

$$(1.2) \quad f_j = B_j f|_{N^+}.$$

Besides we will denote by $[f]_+$ the unique extension with support in V_+ for which $g = 0$ in (1.1) and call it the canonical extension of f . Note that if f is extensible as a section of the kernel sheaf \mathcal{K}_+ (= solutions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of $Pf = 0$) over a neighbourhood of N in V , then the product of f by the characteristic function θ_+ of V_+ makes sense and moreover we obtain by Green's formulas

$$(1.3) \quad [f]_+ = \theta_+ f, \quad B_j [f]_+ = B_j f|_{N^+}.$$

Similarly we can define the canonical extension $[f]_-$ and the boundary values $B_j [f]_-$ for solutions in V_- ; we only need to put a factor -1 in the right hand side of (1.1).

(In the same way we can define the canonical extensions $[f]_{\pm} \in \mathcal{D}'(V)$ and the boundary values $B_j [f]_{\pm} \in \mathcal{D}'(N)$ for distribution solutions in V_{\pm} ; in such case we need to assume that f is extensible as a distribution; and if it is extensible as a distribution solution we regain (1.3).)

For $\nu = (0, \dots, 1)$, let ϱ be the projection of S^{n-1} with poles $\pm \nu$ and let Γ be an open convex set in the equator S^{n-2} ; denote by $\zeta = (\zeta', \zeta_n)$ the coordinates in \mathbb{C}^n and by $\xi = (\xi', \xi_n)$ those in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume, henceforth, that P has constant coefficients and suppose that the characteristic form $P_n(\zeta)$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ verifies:

$$(1.4) \quad P_n(\nu) \neq 0; \quad P_n(\xi', \zeta_n) = 0, \quad \frac{\xi'}{|\xi|} \in \Gamma, \quad \Gamma \subset \subset \Gamma' \quad \text{implies either} \\ \operatorname{Im} \zeta_n = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad |\operatorname{Im} \zeta_n| > C_{\Gamma'} |\xi'|.$$

It is easy to recognize that (1.4) is equivalent to assume that P_n is locally hyperbolic at any $\xi \in \varrho^{-1}(\Gamma) \cup \{\pm \nu\}$ to the $\pm \nu$ -direction (in the sense of subsequent condition (2.1)). And therefore if P_n has real coefficients, simple characteristics, and verifies

$$\operatorname{grad} P_n(\xi) \cdot \nu \neq 0 \quad \text{if } \xi \in \varrho^{-1}(\Gamma) \cup \{\pm \nu\} \text{ and } P_n(\xi) = 0,$$

then (1.4) is satisfied.

One can also restate (1.4) in an equivalent condition involving the zeros of P

$$(1.5) \quad P_n(\eta) \neq 0; \quad P(\xi', \zeta_n) = 0, \quad \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|} \in \Gamma', \quad \Gamma' \subset \Gamma, \quad \text{implies either}$$

$$|\operatorname{Im} \zeta_n| < C_T |\xi'|^{(\mu-1)/\mu} \text{ or } |\operatorname{Im} \zeta_n| > C_T |\xi'|$$

where μ is the largest multiplicity of the characteristics in $q^{-1}(\Gamma')$.

¶ Last we recall that conditions (1.4), (1.5) are also equivalent to the existence of micro-local fundamental solutions E_{\pm} in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (q^{-1}(\Gamma') \cup \{\pm r\})$, $\forall \Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ (in the sense of Section 2), with sing supp E_{\pm} contained in proper cones of the half spaces $\pm x \cdot \nu > 0$ (see [10]).

In the previous hypotheses we can solve the Cauchy problem in the Gevrey classes modulo microanalytic solutions of the Plemelj problem; and also prove the microlocal uniqueness of the solution

THEOREM 1.1. *Assume that the characteristic form $P_n(\zeta)$ for $P(D)$ verifies (1.4), denote by μ the largest multiplicity of the characteristics in $q^{-1}(\Gamma')$; let N denote the hyperplanes $x_n = 0$, and take a system of Cauchy data $(u_j)_{j=0, \dots, m-1}$, in $I^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (= Gevrey functions with compact support), $1 < s < \mu(\mu-1)$. Then there exists $w \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus N) \cap \mathcal{A}^s((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus N) \times q^{-1}(\Gamma'))$, $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$, such that the problem*

$$(1.6) \quad P(D)w = 0; \quad D_x^j w|_N - (D_x^j w|_{x_n} - D_x^j w|_{x_n + u_j}) = 0, \quad 0 < j < m-1,$$

has a solution $w \in I^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Such w is unique modulo $\mathcal{A}_r^s(\mathbb{R}^n \times q^{-1}(\Gamma'))|_{x_n > 0} \oplus \mathcal{A}_r^s(\mathbb{R}^n \times q^{-1}(\Gamma'))|_{x_n < 0}$ and correspondingly w is unique modulo $\mathcal{A}_r^s(\mathbb{R}^n \times q^{-1}(\Gamma'))$. ($\mathcal{A}^s(\Omega \times \Delta)$, $\Omega \times \Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}$ denotes the set of $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ which are microanalytic in $\Omega \times \Delta$).

PROOF. First let us recall that in view of (1.5), we can find $F_k^{\pm}(\xi', x_n)$, $b = 0, 1, 2, k = 0, \dots, m-1$, for $\xi'/|\xi'| \in \Gamma'$, ($\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$), $|\xi'| > \epsilon$, (ϵ large), and for $h(-1)^h x_n < 0$, solutions of the problem

$$(1.7) \quad P(\xi', D_x) F_k^{\pm}(\xi', x_n) = 0; \quad \sum_b D_x^b F_k^{\pm}(\xi', x_n)|_x = \delta_{2b}$$

and with the estimates

$$(1.8) \quad |D_x^a F_0^{\pm}(\xi', x_n)| < \epsilon^{|\alpha|} |\xi'|^{|\alpha|+1} \exp[\epsilon' |\xi'|^{(\mu-1)/\mu} |x_n|],$$

$$(1.9) \quad |D_x^a F_b^{\pm}(\xi', x_n)| < \epsilon^{|\alpha|} |\xi'|^{|\alpha|+b} \exp[-\epsilon' |\xi'| |x_n|] \text{ if } (-1)^b x_n < 0, \quad b = 1, 2$$

(see [9]).

Similarly for $\xi/|\xi| \notin I''$, $|\xi| > \epsilon$, we can find F_2^0 solutions of

$$(1.10) \quad P(\xi, D_x)F_2^0(\xi, x_n) = 0; \quad D_x^j F_2^0(\xi, x_n)|_{x_n} = \delta_{jn},$$

satisfying the estimates (1.8) with $|\xi|$ instead of $|\xi|^{(n-1)/2}$ in the exponent.

Let us decompose the singularity of the Cauchy data u_j by setting

$$u_j(x') = u_j^1(x') + u_j^2(x') = \\ = u_j(x') \otimes \exp[-x'^2] W_0'(x', I'') + u_j(x') \otimes \exp[-x'^2] W_0'(x', S^{n-1} \setminus I''),$$

$I' \subset I'' \subset I$, where

$$W_0'(x', I'') = \int_{I''} W_0(x', \omega') d\omega'$$

for the plane wave component $W_0(x', \omega')$ of $\delta' = \delta_x$ (see [3]).

Concerning u_j^1 we claim that the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(u_j^1)(\xi')$ is an entire function which satisfies

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{F}(u_j^1)(\xi') = O(\exp[-\epsilon|\xi'|^{2q}]) \text{ when } \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}(u_j^1)(\xi') = O(\exp[-\delta|\xi'|]), \forall \delta > 0, \text{ when } \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus I''^+, (I''^+ = \mathbb{R}^+ I'').$$

Remembering the formula

$$\mathcal{F}(u_j \otimes \exp[-x'^2] W_0'(x', I'')) = \mathcal{F}(u_j) \mathcal{F}(\exp[-x'^2] W_0'(x', I'')) = \\ = \mathcal{F}(u_j) (\mathcal{F}(\exp[-x'^2]) \otimes \mathcal{F} W_0'(x', I'')),$$

then (1.11) ensues from the following remarks

- (a) $\mathcal{F}(u_j)(\xi')$ is entire and $\mathcal{F}(u_j)(\xi') = O(\exp[-\epsilon|\xi'|^{2q}])$, $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$,
- (b) $\mathcal{F}(\exp[-x'^2])(\xi')$ is entire rapidly decreasing of any exponential order in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} ,
- (c) $\mathcal{F}(W_0'(x', I''))(\xi')$ coincides with the characteristic function $\theta_{I''}$ of I'' .

For (c) observe that if $A \subset S^{n-2}$ and if its dual cone A^* coincides with I'' then $\text{Im } \zeta' \cdot x' > 0$ when $x' = \omega' r$ ($\omega' \in I''$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$), and $\zeta' \in \mathbb{R}^n + iI$ and therefore we have in the sense of hyperfunctions:

$$(2\pi)^{-(n-1)} \int \exp[ix' \cdot \zeta'] \theta_{I''}(x') dx'|_{\zeta' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n + iI} = \\ = (2\pi)^{-(n-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega' \int_0^{+\infty} dr \exp[ir\omega' \cdot \zeta'] r^{n-2}|_{\zeta' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n + iI} = \\ = (n-2)! (2\pi)^{-(n-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{1}{(\zeta' \cdot \omega')^{n-1}} d\omega'|_{\zeta' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n + iI} = W_0(\zeta', I'')|_{\zeta' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n + iI}.$$

Using (1.11) and setting $\mathcal{F}(s_j) = s_j^0$ in the sequel, let us define

$$(1.12) \quad v_b(x', x_n) = (-1)^b (2\pi)^{-(n-1)} \sum_{\xi=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Gamma_\xi^+} \exp [ix' \cdot \xi'] \mu_j(\xi') F_b^j(\xi', x_n) d\xi',$$

$$0 < b < 2, \quad (-1)^b b x_n < 0, \quad (\Gamma_\xi^+ = I^{\mu} \cap \{|\xi'| > \epsilon\}),$$

$$(1.13) \quad v_2(x', x_n) = -(2\pi)^{-(n-1)} \sum_{\xi=0}^{m-1} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma^+)_\xi} \exp [ix' \cdot \xi'] s_j^0(\xi') F_2^j(\xi', x_n) d\xi'.$$

Obviously the integrals in (1.12) converge absolutely for $(-1)^b b x_n < 0$ and define three sections of I^μ (of \mathcal{A} for $b=1, 2$) over the interior of such regions; this follows immediately from (1.8), (1.9), (1.11) and from the assumption $1/d > (\mu - 1)/\mu$. Concerning v_2 , observe that for $|x_n| < \epsilon'$ we have in view of (1.11) and the analogous of (1.8) for F_2^j

$$|D_x^\alpha v_2| < \epsilon'^{|\alpha|+1} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma^+)_\xi} |\xi'|^{m+|\alpha|} \exp [\epsilon' |\xi'|] e_j \exp [-2\epsilon' |\xi'|] d\xi' < \epsilon'^{m+|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!,$$

which shows that v_2 is analytic. It is clear that $Pv_2 = 0$, $\forall d$, and moreover, in view of (1.7), (1.10)

$$D_x^\alpha v_0|_x - (D_x^\alpha v_1|_x - D_x^\alpha v_2|_x + D_x^\alpha v_3|_x) = (2\pi)^{-(n-1)} \int_{\{|\xi'| > \epsilon\}} \exp [ix' \cdot \xi'] s_j^0(\xi') d\xi' = s_j^0 + f_j,$$

where f_j are entire functions.

Last by taking an entire solution v_4 of the problem

$$Pv_4 = 0; \quad D_x^j v_4|_x = f_j, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1,$$

which is given by the Cauchy-Kowalevsky theorem, and by setting

$$w = v_0, \quad w' = [v_1]_+ + [v_2]_+ + [v_3]_+ + [v_4]_+$$

we solve the problem (1.6) for data $u_j = s_j^0$.

It remains to find a solution $v_4 \in \mathcal{A}_T^m((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus N) \times \mathcal{E}^{-1}(I^+))$ of the Plemelj problem

$$(1.14) \quad D_x^j v_4|_x - Dv_4|_x = -s_j^0,$$

since then by putting $w = w' + [v_3]_+ + [v_4]_+$, we obtain the desired solution of (1.6). To this end consider the regular fundamental solution $E(x)$ defined by Hörmander; it belongs to \mathcal{D}' and also to \mathcal{L}' for suitable δ (= Fourier hyperfunctions of exponential growth with type δ). By putting $E_k = D_x^k E$, we then have

$$(1.15) \quad D_x^j E_k|_x - D_x^k E_j|_x = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{P_\alpha(x)} \delta' & \text{for } j = m - k - 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } j \neq m - k - 1. \end{cases}$$

To prove (1.15) first we note that

$$(1.16) \quad P([E], + [E]_-) = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i (D_{x_i}^{s_i} E|_{x_i} - D_{x_i}^{s_i} E|_{x_i} \otimes \delta_{x_i}),$$

$$(1.17) \quad P(E) = \delta - iP_n(r) \left(\frac{i}{P_n(r)} r' \otimes \delta_{x_0} \right)$$

where $C_i(D)$ coincides with the constant $-iP_n(r)$.

Making the difference of these equalities we obtain for $P(F)$, $(F = E - ([E], + [E]_-))$, an expression of type $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i \otimes D_{x_i}^{s_i} \delta_{x_i}$, $f_i \in \mathcal{D}'(N)$. On the other hand we know that F can be written

$$F = \sum f_i' \otimes D_{x_i}^{s_i} \delta_{x_i} \quad (\text{since } \text{supp } F \subset N);$$

this gives $F = 0$ and thus (1.15) follows.

We recall now that $s_i^2 \in \mathcal{D}'^s$, $\forall \delta' > 0$, for both terms in the convolution defining s_i^2 belong to \mathcal{D}'^s ; moreover by the rule of S.S. for the convolution

$$(1.18) \quad \text{S.S. } s_i^2|_{D^{s_i-1}, F^s} = 0,$$

where $D^{s_i-1} = N \cup \mathcal{J}^{s_i-1}$ is the base space for the sheaf \mathcal{D}'^s and S.S. denotes the singular spectrum in the sense of [3]. Since each E_i belongs to \mathcal{D}'^s , $\delta < \delta'$, then we can set

$$(1.19) \quad r_2 = iP_n(r) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} E_i * (s_{n-i-1}^2 \otimes \delta_{x_i})$$

where all convolutions make sense for the same argument as above.

It is clear that $P r_2 = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus N$ and that (1.14) holds due to (1.15). Last note that S.S. $s_i^2 \otimes \delta_{x_i} \cap D^s \times \varrho^{-1}(I^s) = \emptyset$, and so by the rule of S.S. quoted above we conclude that r_2 is microanalytic to $\varrho^{-1}(I^s)$ (even in the points at ∞).

If now all data s_i vanish, then setting $b = [\nu]_- - ([\nu], + [\nu]_-)$, we have $Pb = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus N$ in addition to $D_{x_i}^s b|_{x_i} = D_{x_i}^s b|_{x_i}$, so that b is extended to a solution in the whole \mathbb{R}^n . Since b is microanalytic to $\varrho^{-1}(I^s)$ in $\{x_n < 0\}$ then it is in the whole \mathbb{R}^n due to the propagation of microregularity related to the existence of «good» microlocal fundamental solution quoted above; thus $-b$ provides the desired extension of $s_i|_{x_n < 0}$. All other uniqueness statements are obtained by the same technique. The proof is complete.

If we consider the problem (1.6) for $s_i = s_i^s$, then a solution is given by $s = s_0$ and $s' = s'$ defined in the course of the preceding proof. Naturally s is analytic in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus N$ in such case. In the following we will prove that s and s' are microanalytic to $\varrho^{-1}(S^{s_0} \setminus I^s)$ even at the boundary N ; this will strengthen very much the uniqueness conclusions.

THEOREM 1.2. *In the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 there exists $w \in \mathcal{A}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times \varrho^{-1} \cdot (S^{n-2}, I))$, analytic solution of $Pw = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus N$ such that the problem (1.6) for $a_j^1 = a_j \ast \exp[-x^2] W_0(x, I^0)$, has a solution $u \in I^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{A}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times \varrho^{-1} \cdot (S^{n-2}, I^0))$. Such u is unique modulo $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)_{x_n > 0} \oplus \mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)_{x_n < 0}$, and consequently it is unique modulo $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

PROOF. First observe that $F_0^2(\xi', \xi_n)$, $\xi_n = x_n + iy_n$, are entire functions of ξ_n with the estimates

$$(1.20) \quad |D_x^\alpha F_0^2(\xi', \xi) | < e^{|\alpha|} |\xi'|^{n+|\alpha|-1} \exp [e'(|x_n| |\xi'|^{n-1} + |y_n| |\xi|)].$$

With an intermediate I^s ($I^s \subset I^0 \subset I$) set $\Sigma^s = I^s$. If $y' \in \Sigma^s$, $\Sigma^s \subset \Sigma$, we have $y' \cdot \xi' > \delta |y'| |\xi'|$, $\forall \xi' \in I^{s+1}$, and so the integral defining ν_0 in (1.12) converges even after letting

$$x \mapsto x + iy \in \mathbb{R}^n + i \left\{ (y', y_n); y' \in \Sigma^s, |y_n| < \frac{\delta}{\rho} |y'| \right\}.$$

Therefore S.S. $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \varrho^{-1}(I^s)$.

On the other hand $F_0^2(\xi', x_n)$, $(-1)^b x_n < 0$, $b = 1, 2$, accept analytic continuation to $(-1)^b x_n > 0$ with the estimates

$$(1.21) \quad |D_x^\alpha F_0^2(\xi', \xi_n) | < e^{|\alpha|} |\xi'|^{n+|\alpha|-1} \exp [e'(|x_n| + |y_n|) |\xi'|].$$

Because of the term $|x_n| |\xi'|$ in the exponent, we could not extend ν_0 as hyperfunction solutions by means of definition (1.12). Nevertheless the traces $D_x^\alpha \nu_0|_{x_n}$, $D_x^\alpha \nu_0|_{x_n}$, can be calculated by (1.12) and so they are microanalytic to $S^{n-2} \setminus I^s$ by the above argument. A result of Kashiwara [4] permits to conclude that both ν_1 , ν_2 have extensions (actually the canonical extensions), whose singular spectrum does not intersect $N \times \varrho^{-1}(S^{n-2} \setminus I^s)$; (for analytic traces this would ensue from Holmgren's theorem). To this end, first remember from the definition that

$$P([r_1]_s) = \sum_j C_j (D_x^\alpha \nu_0|_{x_n} \otimes \delta_{x_n}),$$

and so if $\xi \notin I^s$ then we have $P([r_1]_s) = 0$ in $N \times \varrho^{-1}(\xi)$ (in the sense of microfunctions). Since P is invertible as microdifferential operator in $\mathbb{R}^n \times I$ for some neighbourhood $I \ni s$, then we conclude

$$(1.22) \quad [r_1]_s = 0 \quad \text{in } N \times (\varrho^{-1}(\xi) \cap I), \quad \xi \notin I^s.$$

On the other hand we know from [4] that if $(\xi', \xi_n) \in \text{supp } [r_1]_s$, $x \in N$, then $\varrho^{-1}(\xi') \subset \text{supp } [r_1]_s$. Therefore if $\xi \notin I^s$ we obtain

$$(N \times \varrho^{-1}(\xi')) \cap \text{supp } [r_1]_s = \emptyset$$

due to (1.22). Since we could similarly handle $[v_2]_-$ and since $u = u' = [v_1]_+ + [v_2]_- + [v_3]_+ + [v_4]_-$, with v_3 and v_4 analytic in \mathbb{R}^n , we conclude $u \in \mathcal{A}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times e^{-1}(S^{n-2} \setminus I^n))$.

When all Cauchy data vanish we know from the propagation of the microanalyticity to $e^{-1}(I)$ that the hyperfunction $b = -[u]_+ + [u]_- + [u]_+$ provides an extension of $u|_{x_n < 0}$ belonging to $\mathcal{A}_p^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times e^{-1}(I))$. Thus by (1.3), $[u]_- = -\theta \cdot u$ and similarly $[u]_+ = \theta \cdot u$, $[u]_- = \theta \cdot u$; this gives

$$b \in \mathcal{A}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times e^{-1}(S^{n-2} \setminus I^n)), \text{ (and so } b \in \mathcal{A}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times e^{-1}(S^{n-2}))\text{),}$$

for $u, u \in \mathcal{A}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times e^{-1}(S^{n-2} \setminus I^n))$ by hypothesis. At last by Sato's theorem b is analytic and so all uniqueness statements follow.

REMARK. Suppose that u_j are elements of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D}^{n-1})$ whose Fourier transforms verify

$$(1.23) \quad \hat{u}_j \in L_{loc}^1,$$

$$(1.24) \quad \hat{u}_j(\xi) = O(\exp[-c|\xi|^{1+\epsilon}]), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

$$(1.25) \quad \text{for some } I' \subset I \text{ and for suitable } \epsilon, \hat{u}_j(\xi) = O(\exp[-\epsilon|\xi|]), \\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \setminus I'^c.$$

(In particular we can take u_j in the form $u_j = \varphi_j * \mathcal{W}_\theta(\cdot, I')$ with $\varphi_j \in \Gamma_c^n$; in such case $\hat{u}_j = \widehat{\varphi_j} \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{W}_\theta(\cdot, I')}$ where the first factor is entire and verifies (1.24) whereas the second coincides with $\theta_{I'}$.)

Put $u = v_3$, $u = [v_1]_+ + [v_2]_- + [v_3]_+ + [v_4]_-$, where in the integrals defining the v_k we can let $I'' = I'$ and note that in the present situation the integral (1.13) is convergent only under the condition $|x_n| < \epsilon'$, $\epsilon' = \epsilon/\epsilon'$ and consequently v_3 is defined and analytic only for $|x_n| < \epsilon'$. Thus we obtain u in $\mathcal{A}_r(\{0 < |x_n| < \epsilon'\})$, u in $\Gamma_p^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$, both microanalytic to $e^{-1}(S^{n-2} \setminus I^n)$ even in N , and solutions of (1.6); in such situation u is unique modulo

$$\mathcal{A}(\{|x_n| < \epsilon'\})|_{x_n > 0} \oplus \mathcal{A}_r(\{|x_n| < \epsilon'\})|_{x_n < 0}$$

whereas u is still unique mod $\mathcal{A}_r(\mathbb{R}^n)$

At last notice that the boundary values of u still belong to \mathcal{D} and verify (1.23), (1.24), and (1.25) for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Now we show how important role the preceding results play in the existence of global Gevrey solutions.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let P have characteristic form with real coefficients and simple characteristics. Then*

$$P(D)I^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = I^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \forall d > 1.$$

PROOF. By the remarks below (1.4), we can find a family $v_j \in S^{n-1}$, $P_\alpha(v_j) \neq 0$, and a family $I_j \subset S^{n-1} \cap \{\xi \cdot v_j = 0\}$ such that $\bigcup_j \mathcal{O}_j^{-1}(I_j)$ covers S^{n-1} (\mathcal{O}_j being the projections from $\pm v_j$ along the meridians) and such that (1.5) is satisfied $\forall j$. Leaving out the case $d = 1$ which is classical, fix $f \in I^s$ and take $g_j \in I_0^s$ solutions of $Pg_j = f$ in $S_j = \{|\xi| < r\}$. By taking a closed covering $\bigcup_j \bar{J}_j = S^{n-1}$ with $J_j \cap J_r = \emptyset$, $J_j \subset \mathcal{O}_j^{-1}(I_j)$, let us decompose

$$(1.26) \quad g_r = \sum_j g_j^r = \sum_j g_j * \exp[-\lambda^2] \mathcal{W}(\lambda, \bar{J}_j),$$

where \mathcal{W} is the curvilinear wave component of $\delta(x)$ (see [3]). Recall here that the convolution by $\mathcal{W}(\cdot, \bar{J}_j)$ does not give any propagation of singularity due to the estimate S.S. $\mathcal{W}(\cdot, \bar{J}_j) \subset \{0\} \times \bar{J}_j$. Fix j , let $v_j = (0, \dots, 1)$, $I_j \subset \{\xi_n = 0\}$ and pick an intermediate $I_j' \subset I_j$, $J_j \subset \mathcal{O}_j^{-1}(I_j')$. Before embarking in the use of the Remark let us check that all hypotheses are fulfilled by the boundary values of the g_j^r .

LEMMA 1.4. $D_\alpha^s g_j^r|_{S^r}$ satisfy (1.23), (1.24), (1.25).

PROOF. Observing that

$$(1.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(D_\alpha^s g_j^r|_{S^r}) &= \mathcal{F}(D_\alpha^s g_j * \exp[-\lambda^2] \mathcal{W}(\lambda, \bar{J}_j)|_{S^r}) = \\ &= \mathcal{F}(D_\alpha^s g_j) * \mathcal{F}(\exp[-\lambda^2] \mathcal{W}(\lambda, \bar{J}_j))|_{S^r}, \end{aligned}$$

then we gain the two last properties provided that we prove

$$(1.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(D_\alpha^s g_j)(\xi', x_n) &= O(\exp[-\epsilon|\xi'|^d]) \\ &\text{uniformly in } x_n, \text{ and } = 0 \text{ for large } x_n, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.29) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\exp[-\lambda^2] \mathcal{W}(\lambda, \bar{J}_j))(\xi', x_n) &= O(\exp[-\epsilon|\xi'|^d]) \\ &\text{for } \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus I_j'^+ \text{ uniformly in } x_n, \\ \mathcal{F}(\exp[-\lambda^2] \mathcal{W}(\lambda, \bar{J}_j))(\xi', x_n) &= O(|\xi'| + 1)^s \\ &\text{for } \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \text{ uniformly in } x_n. \end{aligned} \right.$$

The first is an easy variant of the Paley Wiener theorem for I^s functions.

Concerning (1.29) first recall that for a suitable polynomial J

$$(1.30) \quad \mathcal{F}(\exp[-x^2]W(x, \bar{A}_j))(\xi, x_n) = \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp[-ix' \cdot \xi' - x^2] \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{(-2x)^n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \frac{J(x, \omega)}{(x' \cdot \omega + i(x^2 - (x' \cdot \omega)^2) + i0)^n} d\omega \right) dx'.$$

Take Σ_j with $\Sigma_j^* = \bar{A}_j$; then Σ_j intersects the equator due to $\pm \varepsilon \notin \Sigma_j^*$ and moreover $\varrho(\Sigma_j^*) = (\Sigma_j \cap \mathcal{S}^{n-1})^*$. Therefore from $\varrho(\Sigma_j^*) \subset \Gamma_j^*$, we deduce that when $\xi \notin \Gamma_j^{*+}$ there is $(y', 0) \in \Sigma_j^*$ with $y' \cdot \xi' < -c|y'| |\xi'|$. Thus letting

$$x' \mapsto x' + iy', \quad |y'| = \varepsilon'$$

in (1.30), we estimate the integrand by $\varepsilon' \exp[-\varepsilon |\xi'| - x^2]$ which proves the first of (1.29).

Now we pass to the second. For the (global) Fourier transform, first we claim that

$$(1.31) \quad \mathcal{F}(\exp[-x^2]W(x, \bar{A}_j))(\xi) \text{ is exponentially decreasing on relatively compact cones of } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{A}_j^*,$$

$$(1.32) \quad \mathcal{F}(\exp[-x^2]W(x, \bar{A}_j))(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-1})^N.$$

The first can be proven by the same argument as above. Since $\forall \Sigma_j^* \subset \Sigma_j$ we can find ε and C such that for $\zeta = x + iy \in \mathbb{R}^n + i\{y: |y| \in \Sigma_j^*, |y| < \varepsilon\}$,

$$\frac{1}{|(\zeta \cdot \omega + i(\zeta^2 - (\zeta \cdot \omega)^2))^n|} < \frac{C}{|y|^n} \quad \forall \omega \in \bar{A}_j,$$

then we know that $\exp[-x^2]W(x, \bar{A}_j)$ is a distribution of order $< n+1$ which is real analytic rapidly decreasing outside 0. Thus we have (1.32) for $N < n+1$.

Now we write

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(\exp[-x^2]W(x, \bar{A}_j))(\xi, x_n)| &= \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} \left| \int \exp[ix_n \xi_n] \mathcal{F}(\exp[-x^2]W(x, \bar{A}_j))(\xi) d\xi_n \right| = \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap \bar{A}_j^*} \exp[ix_n \xi_n] \mathcal{F}(\exp[-x^2]W(x, \bar{A}_j))(\xi) d\xi \right| + \\ &+ (2\pi)^{-1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{A}_j^*)} \exp[ix_n \xi_n] \mathcal{F}(\exp[-x^2]W(x, \bar{A}_j))(\xi) d\xi \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where π is the projection $\mathbb{R}^n \ni (\xi', \xi_n) \mapsto \xi'$, and $\bar{A}_j^* \supset \bar{A}_j$ with $\pm \varepsilon \notin \bar{A}_j^*$.

By observing that $\pi^{-1}(\xi') \cap \bar{A}_j^{*+}$ is a compact interval of length $c|\xi'|$ for some c and by using (1.32) we estimate the first part of the integral by $c'|\xi'|^{n+1}$.

Since we can estimate the remaining by $e^c \exp[-\epsilon|\xi|]$ due to (1.31), finally we obtain the second of (1.29). This achieves the proof of the two last conditions of the lemma.

It remains to prove the regularity L_{∞}^1 for $\mathcal{F}(D_{x_j}^k g_j^i(x))$. First observe that since $\exp[-x^2]W(x, \bar{J}_i)$ is a real analytic rapidly decreasing function outside the origin, then $\mathcal{F}(\exp[-x^2]W(x, \bar{J}_i))(\xi)$ accepts analytic continuation to some domain $\{\xi = \xi + i\eta; |\eta| < c\}$ [7]; and thus we have the same regularity in ξ for the partial Fourier transform. On the other hand $\mathcal{F}(D_{x_j}^k g_j^i)$ is an entire function of ξ . Then in view of (1.27) we attain the conclusion.

END OF PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. Put $u_j^i = u_j^i = 0$ and solve $\forall r > 1$ the problems

$$P u_{r+1}^i = 0; \quad D_{x_j}^k u_{r+1}^i(x) - (D_{x_j}^k u_{r+1}^i(x) - D_{x_j}^k u_{r+1}^i(x) + D_{x_j}^k (g_j^i - g_{r+1}^i + u_r^i)(x)) = 0, \\ 0 < k < m - 1,$$

with

$$u_{r+1}^i \in \mathcal{A}_r(\{0 < |x_{\alpha}| < \epsilon\}) \cap \mathcal{A}^s(\{|x_{\alpha}| < \epsilon\} \times e^{-1}(S^{n-1} \setminus \Gamma_1^i)), \\ u_{r+1}^i \in I^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{R}^n \times e^{-1}(S^{n-1} \setminus \Gamma_1^i)),$$

and with the boundary values $D_{x_j}^k u_{r+1}^i(x)$ still fulfilling the conditions of the Remark. This is possible in view of the Remark and the Lemma. Then if we put $h_j^i = g_j^i + u_r^i$, we obtain

$$(a) \quad P(h_{r+1}^i - h_r^i) \in \mathcal{A}(S),$$

(b) $P\{[h_{r+1}^i - h_r^i]_+ - ([u_{r+1}^i]_+ + [u_{r+1}^i]_-)\}$ coincides with $\theta_r P(h_{r+1}^i - h_r^i)$ (θ_r being the characteristic function of $\{x \cdot \nu_r > 0\}$) in a neighbourhood of N in S_r and thus its S.S. is contained in $N \times \{\pm \nu_r\}$ there.

(c) $[h_{r+1}^i - h_r^i]_+ - ([u_{r+1}^i]_+ + [u_{r+1}^i]_-)$ is microanalytic to $e^{-1}(S^{n-1} \setminus \Gamma_1^i)$ in a neighbourhood of N ; moreover, in view of (b) and of the analyticity of u_{r+1}^i in $\{0 < |x_{\alpha}| < \epsilon\}$, it is microanalytic to $e^{-1}(I_1^i)$, $I_1^i \subset I_0^i \subset I_1^i$, (and so to the whole $e^{-1}(S^{n-1})$ in a neighbourhood of N in S_r by propagation of regularity.

Therefore again by propagation of regularity and by the fact that ν_j is non-characteristic, we conclude that $h_{r+1}^i - h_r^i$ is analytic in S_{r+1} , $r/j = c$. This shows that there exists $b = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^i \in I^q \mathcal{A}$, $\forall j$, and it is clear that $b = \sum_j b_j$ is a solution of $Pb = f \bmod \mathcal{A}$. Since we know that $P\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we can find a «true» solution $b \in I^q$ of the equation.

2. - THE MICROHYPERBOLIC CASE

A hyperfunction E which verifies $PE - \delta \in \mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{A})$ for some neighbourhood $\mathcal{A} \ni \xi^s$ will be called a microlocal fundamental solution at ξ^s .

When P admits microlocal fundamental solutions E_{\pm} at ξ^0 whose singularities are contained in proper convex cones of the half spaces $\pm \langle x, \nu \rangle > 0$, then we will call $P(D)$ ϵ microhyperbolic at ξ^0 to ν .

This is equivalent for some $D \ni \xi^0$ and for some $\epsilon > 0$ to the algebraic condition

$$(2.1) \quad P_m(\xi + i\tau) = 0, \quad \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \in A, \quad |\tau| < \epsilon|\xi| = \text{Im } \tau = 0$$

see [10]; this property for $P_m(\zeta)$ will be called ϵ local hyperbolicity at ξ^0 to ν .

Let P_m be locally hyperbolic at ξ^0 to ν , let $I = I((P_m)_\mu, \nu)$ ($(P_m)_\mu =$ localization at ξ^0) denote the component of ν in the real complement of $(P_m)_\mu(\xi) = 0$; then all $\nu' \in I$ make (2.1) fulfilled (with different A and ϵ). Let us assume $P_m(\nu) \neq 0$ (otherwise choose another $\nu' \in I$), put $\nu = (0, \dots, 1)$, and last denote by μ the multiplicity of ξ^0 as a zero of P_m . By Weierstrass's theorem we can find a complex neighbourhood $D \ni \xi^0$ and a decomposition

$$P(\zeta) = H(\zeta) \cdot E(\zeta), \quad \frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|} \in D, \quad \zeta \text{ large},$$

where H and E are polynomials in ζ_n with analytic coefficients of orders μ and $m - \mu$ respectively, with E non-vanishing for $\zeta \in D$, ζ large, and the principal symbol of H having a root of order μ at ξ^0 . Moreover we have

$$(2.2) \quad H(\xi^0, \zeta_n) = 0, \quad \frac{\xi^0}{|\xi^0|} \in I \subset \subset \varrho(D \cap S^{n-1}), \quad \xi^0 \text{ large} \Rightarrow |\text{Im } \zeta_n| < \epsilon |\xi^0|^{\mu-1}.$$

(In case $P < P_m$ we can replace $\epsilon |\xi^0|^{\mu-1}$ by ϵ in (2.2)). We can canonically associate with $H(\zeta)$ and $E(\zeta)$ two microdifferential operators (of Weierstrass type) $H(D)$ and $E(D)$ on the sheaf of microfunctions $\mathcal{W}|_{\nu^{-1}(D)}$ (see Appendix).

For a hyperfunction f which is microanalytic to $\pm \nu$ in $N = \{x \cdot \nu = 0\}$, let us denote by $\gamma^{\mu}(f)$ the restriction to N of all $D_j^{\mu} f$, $0 < j < \mu - 1$. Notice that if f is a microfunction in $\mathcal{W}|_{\nu^{-1}(D)}$ and if g is proper when restricted to $\text{supp } f|_N$, then we can again define $\gamma^{\mu}(f) \in \mathcal{W}_{\nu, r}^{\mu}$. It suffices to take an extension \tilde{f} whose support is the closure in $\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}$ of $\text{supp } f$ due to the flabbiness of \mathcal{W} ; since $\pm \nu \notin \text{supp } \tilde{f}|_N$ then γ^{μ} is well defined on any representing hyperfunction. It is obvious that the result of such process does not depend as an element of $\mathcal{W}|_{\nu, r}$ of the choice of the extension and of the representative.

Now we state the microlocal version of Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let P be microhyperbolic at ξ^0 (to ν), let μ be the multiplicity of ξ^0 as a root of P_m , assume $P_m(\nu) \neq 0$, consider the Weierstrass decomposition $P = HE$ at the ray through ξ^0 , and take $(\nu_j)_{j=0, \dots, \mu-1}$ in I_0^d , $1 < d < \mu(\mu - 1)$. Then there*

exists $u \in \Gamma_{\mu}^d(\mathbb{R}^n)$, microlocal solution at ξ^0 of the problem

$$(2.3) \quad \gamma^s(E(D)u) = (u),$$

in the sense that the equality holds in $\mathcal{W}'_{\mathcal{L}, \Gamma}$ for some $\Gamma \ni \xi^0$. Such u is unique modulo $\mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{R}^n \times A)$, $A \ni \xi^0$.

PROOF. If

$$H(\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} a_j(\zeta') \zeta_n^{j-s-1} \text{ and } E(\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-s} b_j(\zeta') \zeta_n^{j-s-1},$$

put $H^k(\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^k a_j(\zeta') \zeta_n^{j-s-1}$, $0 < k < \mu$. Let us define for $\xi \in \Gamma$, ξ' large

$$(2.4) \quad F_{\mu}^s(\xi, \zeta_n) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma'} \frac{\exp[i\zeta_n \zeta_n] H^{s-1-k}(\xi', \zeta_n)}{P(\xi', \zeta_n)} d\zeta_n,$$

where Γ' is a curve surrounding the μ zeros of $H(\xi', \zeta_n) = 0$ for ζ_n . Clearly F_{μ}^s satisfy (1.8) in view of (2.2).

Setting $a_j^s = u_j * \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^s(\cdot, \Gamma')$, $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$, then $a_j^s = u_j$ as microfunctions in $N \times \Gamma'$. Now if we define u by (1.12) for $b = 0$, then obviously $Pu = 0$. Besides u belongs to $\mathcal{A}_s \mathcal{W}_{\mu}$ (see Appendix) and it has x_n as analytic parameter. By Lemma 2.5 in Appendix we have

$$\begin{aligned} Eu(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-s} D_n^{j-s-1} b_j(D_x) u(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-s} \int_{\Gamma'} \exp[ix' \cdot \xi'] b_j(\xi') D_n^{j-s-1} u(\xi', x_n) d\xi' = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-s} \int_{\Gamma'} \exp[ix' \cdot \xi'] a_j^s(\xi') (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma'} \frac{\exp[ix_n \zeta_n] H^{s-1-k}(\xi', \zeta_n)}{H(\xi', \zeta_n)} d\zeta_n, \\ &\quad \Gamma' \subset \Gamma' \subset \Gamma, (\Gamma'^s \text{ suitably truncated}), \end{aligned}$$

and so $D_n^s Eu|_{\mathcal{L}}$ equals a_j^s in $N \times \Gamma'$ and thus u_j in $N \times \Gamma'$ (due to slight application of the residue theorem [9]).

Concerning the uniqueness of u , note that $\pm s \notin \text{supp } a|_{\mathcal{L}}$ and therefore the following equalities hold in $\mathcal{W}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \varrho^{-1}(\Gamma'))$

$$(2.5) \quad D_n(\theta_s E u) = \theta_s D_n(E u) - i E u|_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \delta_{x_n},$$

$$(2.6) \quad a_j(D_x)(\theta_s E u) = \theta_s a_j(D_x) E u.$$

The first is obvious whereas the second can be proved by using the kernel $k_j(x', \omega')$ associated to $a_j(D_x)$ and the formula

$$\int k_j(x', \omega') \theta_s(x_n) E u(\omega', x_n) d\omega' = \theta_s(x_n) \int k_j(x', \omega') E u(\omega', x_n) d\omega'.$$

Therefore if $u_j = 0$ in $N \times I$, then $\text{supp } H(\theta, Eu)|_{\partial \Omega \times I} = 0$; this implies $\text{supp } Eu|_{\partial \Omega \times I} = 0$, due to the microhyperbolicity of H in $\mathcal{G}^{-1}(I)$, and last $\text{supp } u|_{\Omega} = 0$, due to the invertibility of E in Δ .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let P be microhyperbolic to v , $(P_m(v) \neq 0)$, at any point in $\Delta \ni \mathcal{E}^0$; denoting by μ the multiplicity of \mathcal{E}^0 let us fix Cauchy data $(u_d^0) = (u_d \star W_d \cdot (\cdot, I^*))$, $(u_d \in I^*_d, 1 < d \leq \mu/(\mu-1), \Gamma \subset \subset \mathcal{G}(\Delta))$. (Or else suppose that (u_d^0) satisfy (1.23), (1.24), (1.25).) Then for $\Gamma^0 \downarrow \mathcal{E}^0$ there are neighbourhoods $\Delta' \ni \mathcal{E}^0$, $\Delta \supset \Delta' \downarrow \mathcal{E}^0$, and functions $n \in \Gamma^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times (S^{n-1} \setminus \bar{J}))$ which solve (2.3) modulo $\mathcal{M}^*(N \times I)$. Such n are unique modulo \mathcal{M} .*

PROOF. With F_0^* defined as in Theorem 2.1 and F_0^* defined as in Theorem 1.1, let us define n by (1.12) for $b = 0$ and v_3 by (1.13). Obviously v_3 is analytic in a neighbourhood of N and besides $\gamma^s(Eu) - \gamma^s(v_3) = (u^0)$; thus $\gamma^s(Eu) = (u^0)$ in $\mathcal{V}|_{N \times I}$.

Refining the proof of Theorem 1.2, we want to prove that n is micro-analytic not only to $S^{n-1} \setminus \mathcal{G}^{-1}(I^*)$ but even to $S^{n-1} \setminus \bar{J}$, $\Delta' \subset \mathcal{G}^{-1}(I^*)$ with Δ' arbitrarily small depending on I^* . In fact, since by hypothesis $(P_m)_\mu(v) \neq 0$, then for

$$\left| \frac{\zeta'}{|\zeta'|} - \xi' \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \left(\xi = \left(\frac{\xi_0^0}{|\xi_0^0|}, \frac{\xi_n^0}{|\xi_n^0|} \right) \right),$$

there are exactly μ zeros $\zeta_n = \lambda(\zeta')$ of $P_m(\zeta', \zeta_n) = 0$ which verify

$$\left| \frac{\lambda(\zeta')}{|\zeta'|} - \xi_n \right| < c\varepsilon;$$

moreover they verify

$$\left| \frac{\lambda(\zeta')}{|\zeta'|} - \xi_n \right| < \varepsilon \left| \frac{\zeta'}{|\zeta'|} - \xi' \right|.$$

Since such zeros are the zeros of the principal symbol of H , then we have the following estimate for the zeros $\zeta_n = \lambda^0(\zeta')$ of $H(\zeta', \zeta_n) = 0$

$$(2.7) \quad \left| \frac{\lambda^0(\zeta')}{|\zeta'|} - \xi_n \right| < \varepsilon \quad \text{when} \quad \left| \frac{\zeta'}{|\zeta'|} - \xi' \right| < \frac{1}{c_1}, \quad |\zeta'| > c_2.$$

Thus by letting $x \mapsto x + iy$ in (1.12) and using (2.2), (2.4), (2.7), we estimate the integrand by

$$c \exp \left[-y' \cdot \xi' - c' |\xi'|^{1/\alpha} + c'' |x_n| |\xi'|^{1/\alpha - 2/\alpha} - y_n \xi_n |\xi'| + \varepsilon |y_n| |\xi'| \right]$$

provided that

$$\xi' \in I^*_\varepsilon = \left\{ \left| \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|} - \xi' \right| < \frac{1}{c_1}, \quad |\xi'| > c_2 \right\}.$$

Let Σ_ϵ be the set of all $(y, y_0) \in S^{n-1}$ which satisfy $y \cdot \xi + y_0 \frac{\xi \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} - \epsilon |y_0| |\xi| > \epsilon |\xi| |\forall \xi| \in I_\epsilon^*$. Then we see that the part of the integral (1.12) over I_ϵ^* converges and defines an analytic function in

$$\mathbf{R}^n + i \left\{ y : \frac{y}{|y|} \in \Sigma_\epsilon \right\}$$

and so the S.S. of such part is contained in the ϵ -neighbourhood of ξ^0 with $\epsilon \neq 0$ for $\epsilon > 0$. If $I^* \subset I'$, such integral coincides, mod entire functions, with s .

The uniqueness of s modulo functions microanalytic to \mathcal{A} is due to the already quoted propagation of regularity; however, since by hypothesis s is microanalytic to $S^{n-1} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathcal{A}' \subset \subset \mathcal{A}$, then it is unique modulo \mathcal{A} .

This achieves the proof.

Let us apply the preceding machinery to the theory of the I^* solvability.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let P be microhyperbolic at any characteristic and let μ be the largest multiplicity of its characteristics. Then*

$$P(D)I^*(\mathbf{R}^n) = I^*(\mathbf{R}^n), \text{ for } 1 < d < \frac{\mu}{\mu-1} \quad (\forall d > 1 \text{ in case } P < P_n).$$

PROOF. Setting $V = \{P_n(\xi) = 0\}$, let us choose a finite covering

$$(2.8) \quad V \cap S^{n-1} \subset \bigcup_j \bar{A}_j, \quad A_j' \cap A_j'' = \emptyset, \quad A_j' \subset \subset A_j,$$

in such way that for some $v_j \in V$, P_n is locally hyperbolic to v_j at any point in A_j . Let $P = H_j E_j$ be the Weierstrass decomposition in A_j , μ_j the degree of H_j , ϱ_j the projection of S^{n-1} from the poles $\pm v_j$, N_j the hyperplane $x \cdot v_j = 0$. Last let us assume that the covering (2.8) is so fine that an intermediate A_j' ($A_j' \subset \subset A_j \subset \subset A_j$), A_j' corresponds to $I_j^* = \varrho_j(A_j')$ as in Theorem 2.2.

Fix $f \in I^*$; if $g_j \in I_{v_j}^*$, $d > 1$, solves the equation $Pg = f$ in S_n , let us decompose

$$g = g^0 + \sum_j g^j = g^0 \exp[-x^2] \mathcal{W}(x, S^{n-1} \setminus \bigcup_j \bar{A}_j') + \sum_j g^j \exp[-x^2] \mathcal{W}(x, \bar{A}_j').$$

First note that $g_{j+1}^0 - g_j^0$ is microanalytic in $\mathbf{R}^n \times V$ by construction, and in $S_n \times (S^{n-1} \setminus V)$ because $P(g_{j+1}^0 - g_j^0) \in \mathcal{A}(S_n)$ (remember that the convolution by \mathcal{W} does not give propagation of singularity); therefore $g_{j+1}^0 - g_j^0 \in \mathcal{A}(S_n)$. Concerning the terms with $j \neq 0$ set $v_j = (0, \dots, 1)$ by simplicity, take $s_1 = 0$, and solve in view of Theorem 2.2 the problems

$$P u_{j+1} = 0; \quad \gamma^{s_j}(E_j u_{j+1}^0) = \gamma^{s_j}(E u_{j+1}^0 - g_{j+1}^0 + g_j^0) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{W}|_{S_n \times S_n}$$

with u_{j+1}^0 belonging to $I^*(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{A}^*(\mathbf{R}^n \times (S^{n-1} \setminus \bar{A}_j'))$, ($1 < d < \mu_j/(\mu_j - 1)$), and with $\gamma^{s_j}(E u_{j+1}^0)$ still satisfying (1.23), (1.24), (1.25). Setting $g_j^0 = g_{j+1}^0 + u_{j+1}^0$,

we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \mu_{r+1} - \mu_r = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x^*\}$$

$$(2.10) \quad H_i(\partial_x E_j(\mu_{r+1} - \mu_r)) = \theta_r H_j E_i(\mu_{r+1} - \mu_r) + \sum_{s=1}^r C_s(D_x^{s-1} E_j(\mu_{r+1} - \mu_r)) \otimes \delta_{ij}$$

for suitable microdifferential operators $C_s = C_s(D_x)$ given by formulas (2.5), (2.6).

Note that the first term in the right hand side of (2.10) is null in $S_r \times \mathcal{V}_r^{-1}(I_r)$ and the second in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{V}_r^{-1}(I_r)$. By the microhyperbolicity of H_j in Δ_j we conclude that $E_j(\mu_{r+1} - \mu_r)$ vanishes in $S_r \times \Delta_j$, $r/y = \epsilon$, and by the invertibility of E_j , $\mu_{r+1} - \mu_r$ also vanishes. Moreover the last vanishes in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \Delta_j)$, $\Delta_j \subset \subset \Delta_j$ in view of (2.9) and so $\mu_{r+1} - \mu_r \in \mathcal{M}(S_r)$.

Remembering the equality $P\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is classical, we then conclude as in Theorem 1.3.

APPENDIX TO SECTION 2

For a real cone Δ^+ and a positive constant δ , let us consider a set in the form

$$D^+ = \{z = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n : \xi \in \Delta^+, |\eta| < \delta(1 + |\xi|)\};$$

let us denote by $(f)_{x^*}$, $f \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}^n, \Delta^+}$, $x^* = (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \Delta^+$, the germ of f at x^* . If $F(z)$ is an analytic function on the cone D^+ (even truncated) with polynomial growth, let us define

$$(2.11) \quad F(D)(f)_{x^*} = \left((2\pi)^{-n} \int_{D^+} \exp[ix \cdot \xi] F(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right)_{x^*}$$

where Δ^+ is possibly truncated and where \hat{f} is a Fourier hyperfunction of \mathcal{D} the image of \tilde{f} in \mathcal{V} being f near x^* (due to the flabbiness of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{D}).

First we prove

LEMMA 2.4. *If $x_0^* \notin \text{S.S. } \tilde{f}$ then $x_0^* \notin \text{S.S. } \int_{D^+} \exp[ix \cdot \xi] F(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$.*

PROOF. By inversion of the integration order

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D^+} \exp[ix \cdot \xi] F(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi &= \int_{D^+} \exp[ix \cdot \xi] F(\xi) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp[-ix \cdot \xi] \tilde{f}(x) dx \right) d\xi = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{f}(x) \left(\int_{D^+} \exp[i(x - x) \cdot \xi] F(\xi) d\xi \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the part of the integral with w near x_0 we can let $w \mapsto w + is$, $|s| < \epsilon$, $\sigma \cdot \xi_0 < 0$ and correspondingly we can make the substitution $x \mapsto x + ia$ without destroying its convergence (in the hyperfunction sense).

In the remaining part we have $|x - w| > \epsilon(1 + |w|)$ for x near x_0 , and thus by setting $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$, $\eta \cdot (x - w) > \epsilon|\xi|(1 + |w|)$ with η depending on w and $\zeta = x + iy$, $|y| < \epsilon'$, we estimate the second integrand by

$$\exp[-\epsilon'|\xi| - \epsilon'|\xi||w| + \epsilon'|\xi|] < \exp[-\epsilon'|\xi| - \epsilon''|w| + \epsilon'|\xi|] \quad \text{for } |\xi| > \epsilon$$

and so both integrals converge for suitably small ϵ' . This shows that this part defines an analytic function near x_0 . The proof is complete.

Let now F be in the form

$$(2.12) \quad F(\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) \zeta_n^{j-1},$$

with $a_j(\zeta)$ analytic in some conical complex (truncated) neighbourhood G^j of $I^+ \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. When $a_0(\zeta) = 1$, F is said to be a symbol of Weierstrass type with respect to ζ_n . For $f \in \mathcal{G}_{[\mathbb{R}^n, e^{-1}(I)]}$ and $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n \times e^{-1}(I)$, let us define

$$(2.13) \quad F(D)(f)_{x^*} = \left((2\pi)^{-(n-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{I_n} \exp[i\sigma' \cdot \xi'] \mu_j(\xi') D_n^j \bar{f}(\xi', x_n) d\xi' \right)_{x^*}$$

where \bar{f} is an element of the sheaf $\mathcal{S}_\sigma \mathcal{H}_{x_n}$ (= Fourier hyperfunctions with hyperfunction parameter) which has x_n as an analytic parameter (due to the flabbiness of \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{S}_\sigma \mathcal{H}_{x_n}$) and which coincides with f in \mathcal{G} near x^* .

We can prove as in Lemma 2.4 that $F(D)(f)_{x^*}$ is well defined and thus we can obtain by means of (2.13) an operator on $\mathcal{G}_{[\mathbb{R}^n, e^{-1}(I)]}$. In the following we relate the two former definitions.

LEMMA 2.5. *If F is of type (2.12) then the operators defined by (2.13) and (2.11) agree in $\mathcal{G}_{[\mathbb{R}^n, e^{-1}(I)]}$.*

PROOF. To handle this situation we need to be very careful in the choice of the representative \bar{f} of $(f)_{x^*}$; we proceed as follows. For some neighbourhood $I = \Omega \times A \ni x^*$, ($\Omega \ni x$, $A \ni \xi$), contained in $\mathbb{R}^n \times e^{-1}(I)$ first let us take $\bar{f}' \in \mathcal{H}$ whose S.S. is the closure in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ of $\text{supp } f|_I$, the image of \bar{f}' in \mathcal{G} being f in I . Since \bar{f}' is analytic outside Ω , then it accepts a modification mod $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ to $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}$ which is a section of the sheaf \mathcal{G}^{-1} of modified real analytic functions which decrease with exponential rate δ . This is a consequence of the flabbiness of \mathcal{G}^{-1} and the fact that the sheaves \mathcal{G}^{-1} and \mathcal{H} agree in \mathbb{R}^n . Thus in view of the formula

$$\xi_n \bar{f}(\xi) = D_n \bar{f}(\xi),$$

which can be easily proved by duality, we obtain

$$(2\pi)^{-1} \int \exp(i x_n \xi_n) \xi_n^{\delta} \tilde{f}(\xi) d\xi_n = D_n^{\delta} \tilde{f}(\xi', x_n),$$

where all entries make sense because

- (a) \tilde{f} is analytic in $\{\xi: |\eta| < \delta(1 + |\xi|)\}$ for some δ ,
- (b) \tilde{f} has x_n as an analytic parameter.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. G. ANDERSSON, *Global solvability of partial differential equations in the space of real analytic functions* Colloq. on Analysis, Rio de Janeiro, August 1972, *Analyse fonctionnelle*, Hermann, 1974.
- [2] L. CATTABRIGA, *Solutions in Gevrey spaces of partial differential equations with constant coefficients*, *Amérisique*, **89-90** (1981), 129-151.
- [3] A. KAMEKO, *On the global existence of real analytic solutions of linear partial differential equations on unbounded domains*, preprint.
- [4] M. KASHIWAZAKI, *Talks in Niigata* (1972).
- [5] M. KASHIWAZAKI - T. KAWAI, *On microhyperbolic pseudo-differential operators - I*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **27** (1975), 359-404.
- [6] K. KATADA, *A micro-local approach to general boundary value problems*, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto University*, **12** Suppl. (1977), 147-153.
- [7] T. KAWAI, *On the theory of Fourier hyperfunctions and its applications to partial differential equations with constant coefficients*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec. IA*, **17** (1970), 467-517.
- [8] H. KOMATSU - T. KAWAI, *Boundary values of hyperfunction solutions of linear partial differential equations*, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto University*, **7** (1971), 95-104.
- [9] G. ZAMPIERI, *Risolubilità negli spazi di Gevrey di operatori differenziali di tipo iperbolico-iperbolico*, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. Sec. C*.
- [10] G. ZAMPIERI, *Algebraic conditions on partial differential operators for existence of micro-local pseudo-differential solutions with singularities carried by proper cones*, *Rend. Acc. Naz. delle Scienze*, **8** (1983-1984).