

## Semi-Symmetric connections in a Finsler space (\*\*)

Recently Imai [5] has studied the semi-symmetric metric connections in a Riemannian space. Similar to his definition, we define the connections with respect to Berwald's connections in a Finsler space. The covariant derivative of a metric tensor with respect to these connections do not vanish (cf. corollary (2.1)). So we call these connections as semi-symmetric connections in the Finsler space. In this paper we have defined the covariant derivative of a vector field for these connections and studies its further effect. Different types of commutation formulae are reduced and at the end, the relation of  $R_{ab}^i$  (1) and  $H_{ab}^i$  is taken into consideration.

## 1. - PRELIMINARIES

Let  $F_n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Finsler space in which the metric function  $F(x, \dot{x})$  (2) satisfies the requisite conditions. The entities are given by

$$(1.1) \quad (i) \quad g_0(x, \dot{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_i \dot{x}_j F, \quad (ii) \quad g^i \dot{x}_i = \delta_j^j,$$

constitute a tensor-field which is symmetric and positively homogeneous function of degree zero in the directional arguments. On transvection, it gives

$$(1.2) \quad g_0 \dot{x}^i = F \dot{x}_i F.$$

The partial differentiation of  $g_0$  with respect to  $\dot{x}^b$  becomes a tensor-field  $C_{b0}$  which on transvection with  $g^b$  defines another tensor-field  $C_{b1}^a$ , symmetric in its indices. For these entities the covariant differentiation of a vector-field  $X^i(x, \dot{x})$  with respect to  $\dot{x}^j$  has been defined by ([2], p. 187)

$$(1.3) \quad \hat{\nabla}_j X^i = \dot{\partial}_j X^i + C_{ja}^i X^a.$$

(\*) Depart. of Mathematics Rajendra College, Bolangir (Orissa), India.

(\*\*) Memoria presentata dall'Accademico dei XL E. BOMPIANI il 6-5-1974.

(1)  $R_{ab}^i$  is the curvature tensor-type quantities defined in (3.8) and  $H_{ab}^i$  is the curvature tensorfield due to the Berwald's connections.

(2) The line-element  $(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$  is briefly denoted as  $(x, \dot{x})$  and  $\dot{x}^i$  stands for  $\frac{dx^i}{dt}$ .

Berwald introduces the connection parameters  $G_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x})$  which are homogeneous functions of degree zero in the directional arguments and symmetric in their indices. The covariant derivative of a vector-field  $X^i(x, \dot{x})$  with respect to  $G_{jk}^i$  is given by

$$(1.4) \quad B_j X^i = \dot{\partial}_j X^i - (\dot{\partial}_j X^i) G_p^i \dot{x}^p + X^p G_{jk}^i.$$

It can be easily verified that the covariant derivatives of the element of support  $\dot{x}^i$ , unit vector-field  $F^i(x, \dot{x})$  and the metric function  $F(x, \dot{x})$  vanish whereas the metric tensor-field  $g_{ij}$  does not.

The commutation formula for the operators  $B_j$  and  $\dot{\partial}_k$  is related according to ([2], eqn. (4.11 a))

$$(1.5) \quad (B_j \dot{\partial}_k - \dot{\partial}_k B_j) X^i = -X^h G_{hk}^i.$$

where  $G_{hk}^i = \dot{\partial}_h G_{jk}^i$ , and for  $B_j$

$$(1.6) \quad 2 B_{[j} B_{k]} X^i = X^h H_{jk}^i - (\dot{\partial}_h X^i) H_{jk}^h,$$

where the tensor-field  $H_{jk}^i$  is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad H_{jk}^i = 2 \dot{\partial}_{[j} G_{k]h}^i - \dot{x}^l G_{[j}^i G_{kl]h} + G_{[j}^i G_{kl]h} \dot{x}^l \quad (P),$$

and related with  $H_{jk}^i$  by  $H_{jk}^i = H_{[jk}^i \dot{x}^k$ .

## 2. - COVARIANT DIFFERENTIATION

Similar to the definition of Imai [5] we define

$$(2.1) \quad \pi_{jk}^i = G_{jk}^i + U_{jk}^i,$$

as a semi-symmetric connections in  $F_n$ . We have noted here

$$(2.2) \quad U_{jk}^i = \delta_j^i p_k - g_{jk} p^i,$$

where  $p_i$  is a covariant vector related with the contravariant vector  $p^i$  by  $p_i = g_{ij} p^j$ . The vector-field  $p_i$ , in general, is not a homogeneous function in the directional arguments. Thus, the connection parameters  $\pi_{jk}^i$  are not homogeneous functions in  $\dot{x}^i$ 's and also not symmetric in its indices. The skew-symmetric part of (2.2) is given by

$$(2.3) \quad U_{[jk]}^i = \delta_{[j}^i p_{k]},$$

because the metric tensor is symmetric in its indices.

(<sup>1</sup>) The symmetric and skew-symmetric parts of a geometric object  $\Omega_{ij}$  with respect to the indices  $i, j$  have been defined by

$$\Omega_{(i \vee j)l} = \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{ijl} + \Omega_{jil})$$

and

$$\Omega_{[i \vee j]l} = \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{ijl} - \Omega_{jil})$$

respectively where the index  $\vee$  enclosed within two vertical bars contributes nothing towards the symmetric and skew-symmetric parts of the object.

The covariant derivative with respect to  $\pi_{jk}^i$  is defined in the manner analogous to (1.4). Denoting by  $s_j X^i$  the corresponding covariant derivative of a vectorfield  $X^i(x, \dot{x})$  we thus have

$$(2.4) \quad s_j X^i = \delta_j X^i - (\delta_j X^i) \pi_{jr}^i \dot{x}^r + X^s \pi_{js}^i,$$

which can be reduced, by the help of (1.4) and (2.1), to

$$(2.5) \quad s_j X^i = B_{ij} X^i + X^s U_{js}^i - (\delta_j X^i) U_{jr}^i \dot{x}^r.$$

**THEOREM 2.1.** — *The covariant derivatives  $s_j$  of  $\dot{x}^i$ , the unit vector  $\dot{l}^i(x, \dot{x})$  and the metric function  $F(x, \dot{x})$  vanish.*

*Proof.* — We have already mentioned earlier that the covariant derivatives  $B_j$  of  $\dot{x}^i$ ,  $\dot{l}^i$  and  $F$  vanish. Applying (2.4) we can easily see that  $s_j \dot{x}^i = 0$ . Considering (2.5) for  $\dot{l}^i$  we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad s_j \dot{l}^i = \dot{l}^i U_{js}^i - (\delta_j \dot{l}^i) U_{jr}^i \dot{x}^r,$$

where  $B_j \dot{l}^i = 0$ . Putting  $\dot{l}^i = \frac{\dot{x}^i}{F}$  and using (2.2), the above relation is reduced to

$$s_j \dot{l}^i = F^{-2} (\delta_j F) \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^s \delta_s^i p^m g_{ms} \dot{x}^t - p^s g_{js} \dot{x}^t \dot{x}^t.$$

Applying (1.2) we can see  $s_j \dot{l}^i = 0$ . In the same manner, with the help of (2.1), (2.2) and (1.2), we get the truth of the above theorem.

The derivative of (2.5) may be generalised to an arbitrary tensor-field. Let  $T^{\dots}_{\dots}(x, \dot{x})$  be a tensor of rank  $(r, s)$ . Its covariant derivative  $s_k$  is given by

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} s_k T^{\dots}_{\dots} &= B_{ks} T^{\dots}_{\dots} + \sum_m^r T^{\dots m \dots}_{\dots} U_{km}^i \\ &- \sum_m^s T^{\dots}_{\dots m \dots} U_{km}^m - (\delta_k T^{\dots}_{\dots}) U_{km}^i \dot{x}^m. \end{aligned}$$

**COROLLARY 2.1.** — *The semi-symmetric connections are not metric in  $F_n$ .*

*Proof.* — Using (2.7) for  $g_{jk}$ , we have

$$s_h g_{jk} = B_{hs} g_{jk} - C_{jks} U_{sr}^i \dot{x}^r - g_{sa} U_{hj}^a - g_{jk} U_{hs}^a,$$

which, with the help of (2.2) and  $p_j = g_j \dot{x}^j$ , we obtain

$$s_h g_{jk} = B_{hs} g_{jk} - C_{jks} p_s \dot{x}^t + C_{jhs} p^t g_{sr} \dot{x}^t.$$

Thus,  $s_h g_{jk}$  do not vanish in  $F_n$ . So the metric tensor-field  $g_{jk}$  is not a covariant constant with respect to  $\pi_{jk}^i$ .

3. - COMMUTATION FORMULAE

It is noted earlier that the semi-symmetric connections  $\pi_{jk}^i$  are not homogeneous functions in  $\dot{x}^l$ 's. The commutation formulae evolving  $s_j$  and the operators  $\hat{\delta}_k, \hat{\nabla}_k$  and  $B_k$  possess some more terms due to the presence of the vector-field  $p^i$  in  $\pi_{jk}^i$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** - For the vector-field  $X^i(x, \dot{x})$  the operators  $s_j$  and  $\hat{\delta}_k$  commute according to

$$(3.1) \quad (s_j \hat{\delta}_k - \hat{\delta}_k s_j) X^i = -X^r \pi_{rk}^i + (\hat{\delta}_k X^r) \pi_{rk}^i \dot{x}^r.$$

*Proof.* - Applying (2.4) for the vector-field  $\hat{\delta}_k X^i$  we may obtain

$$(3.2) \quad s_j \hat{\delta}_k X^i = \delta_j \hat{\delta}_k X^i - (\hat{\delta}_k \hat{\delta}_j X^i) \pi_{jk}^r \dot{x}^r + (\hat{\delta}_k X^r) \pi_{rk}^i - (\hat{\delta}_j X^r) \pi_{rk}^i.$$

Taking the partial differentiation of (2.4) with respect to  $\dot{x}^k$ , we get

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\delta}_k s_j X^i &= \hat{\delta}_k \delta_j X^i - (\hat{\delta}_k \hat{\delta}_j X^i) \pi_{jk}^r \dot{x}^r - (\hat{\delta}_j X^r) \pi_{rk}^i \\ &\quad - (\hat{\delta}_k X^r) \pi_{rk}^i + (\hat{\delta}_k X^r) \pi_{jk}^r + X^r \pi_{rk}^i, \end{aligned}$$

where we have noted  $\pi_{kj}^i = \hat{\delta}_k \pi_{jk}^i$ . Subtracting (3.3) from (3.2) we obtain (3.1).

Theorem 3.1. can be generalised for a tensor  $T \dots (x, \dot{x})$  of rank  $(m, r)$  and can be written directly from (3.1):

$$(s_k \hat{\delta}_j - \hat{\delta}_j s_k) T \dots = - \sum_i^m T \dots \pi_{ik}^i + \sum_i^r T \dots \pi_{ik}^i + (\hat{\delta}_k T \dots) \pi_{ik}^i \dot{x}^i.$$

**THEOREM 3.2.** - For a vector-field  $X^i(x, \dot{x})$  the operators  $s_j$  and  $\hat{\nabla}_k$  commute according to

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (s_j \hat{\nabla}_k - \hat{\nabla}_k s_j) X^i &= -X^r (\pi_{rk}^i - s_j C_{kr}^i) \\ &\quad + (s_j X^r) C_{kr}^i + (\hat{\delta}_k X^r) \pi_{rk}^i \dot{x}^r + \pi_{jk}^i. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* -  $\hat{\nabla}_k X^i$  is a tensor-field and defined by (1.3). Applying (1.3) by  $s_j$ , we get

$$(3.5) \quad s_j \hat{\nabla}_k X^i = s_j \delta_k X^i + (s_j C_{kr}^i) X^r + C_{kr}^i s_j X^r.$$

Using (1.3) for  $s_j X^i$  yields

$$(3.6) \quad \hat{\nabla}_k s_j X^i = \hat{\delta}_k s_j X^i + C_{kr}^i s_j X^r - C_{kr}^i s_j X^r.$$

Taking the consideration of (3.5), (3.6) and (3.1) we get (3.4).

Due to the presence of the vector-field  $U_k^j$ , the connection parameters  $\pi_{jk}^i$  are not symmetric in its indices. So the tensor-type quantities  $R_{jkh}^i$  evolved due to the commutation formula  $s_j$  are quite different from the curvature tensor-field  $H_{jkh}^i$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** — For the operator  $s_j$  the commutation formula of a vector-field  $X^i(x, \dot{x})$  is given by

$$(3.7) \quad 2 s_{[j} s_{k]} X^i = X^h R_{jkh}^i - (\dot{\delta}_k X^i) R_{jkh}^i \dot{x}^h - 2 (\dot{\delta}_k X^i) \pi_{[jk]}^i \dot{x}^j,$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad R_{jkh}^i = 2 \{ \partial_j \pi_{kjh}^i - \pi_{[jk]h}^m \pi_{|l}^m \dot{x}^l + \pi_{[j|h}^m \pi_{k]m}^i + \pi_{mh}^m \pi_{[jk]}^i \}.$$

*Proof.* - The covariant derivative of a vector-field  $X^i(x, \dot{x})$  with respect to  $\pi_{jk}^i$  is given in (2.4). Taking the covariant derivative of  $s_k X^i$  with respect to  $x^j$ , we get

$$(3.9) \quad s_j (s_k X^i) = \partial_j s_k X^i - (\dot{\delta}_k s_k X^i) \pi_{jr}^i \dot{x}^r + (s_k X^j) \pi_{jk}^i - (s_k X^i) \pi_{jk}^i.$$

Substituting (2.4) in (3.9) and carrying out the operation as indicated in (3.9) and collecting the terms we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} s_j s_k X^i &= X^h [ \partial_j \pi_{kh}^i - \pi_{mah}^m \pi_{jr}^m \dot{x}^r + \pi_{[kh}^m \pi_{j]m}^i - \pi_{mh}^m \pi_{jk}^i ] \\ &\quad - (\dot{\delta}_k X^j) [ \partial_j \pi_{kh}^i - \pi_{mah}^m \pi_{jr}^m \dot{x}^r - \pi_{jh}^m \pi_{km}^i - \pi_{mh}^m \pi_{jk}^i ] \\ &\quad + \{ \partial_j \delta_k X^i - 2 ( (\dot{\delta}_k \partial_j X^i) \pi_{qr}^i \dot{x}^r + (\partial_j X^i) \pi_{qr}^i - (\dot{\delta}_k X^m) \pi_{[k]m}^i \pi_{jr}^i \dot{x}^r ) \\ &\quad - (\dot{\delta}_k \delta_m X^i) \pi_{qr}^m \dot{x}^r \dot{x}^j - (\partial_k X^i) \pi_{jk}^i \}. \end{aligned}$$

Inspection of the above relation shows that the expression contains in the curly bracket is symmetric in its indices  $j, k$ .

Thus, taking the skew-symmetric part of (3.10), in view of (3.8), we get (3.7).

**THEOREM 3.4.** — The tensor-type quantities  $R_{jkh}^i$  and the tensor-field  $H_{jkh}^i$  are related by

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{jkh}^i &= H_{jkh}^i + 2 [ \delta_{jk}^l (L_{[j} P_h + g_{[jh} P^s P_s) + L_{kh} (g_{]jh} P^s) ] \\ &\quad + 2 [ g_{[j} G_{k]m} P^s \dot{x}^m + P_{]j} G_{kh}^s ], \end{aligned}$$

where the operator  $L_j$  of a tensor-field  $T_k^i(x, \dot{x})$  is given by

$$(3.12) \quad L_j T_k^i = B_j T_k^i - (\dot{\delta}_j T_k^i) P_r \dot{x}^r + (\dot{\delta}_k T_k^i) g_{jr} P^r \dot{x}^j.$$

*Proof.* - The tensor-type quantities  $R_{ab}^i$  are defined in (3.8). Substituting (2.1) and its partial differentiation of (2.1) in (3.8), carrying out the operation as indicated in (3.8) and arranging the terms we get

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab}^i &= H_{ab}^i + 2 \delta_{jk}^i (\partial_{[j} P_b - (\delta_{[j} P_b) G_{k]r}^r \dot{x}^r - (\delta_{[j} P_b) P_r \dot{x}^r \\ &\quad + g_{[ir} (\delta_{j} P_b) P^s \dot{x}^s - G_{[ij}^s P_s + g_{[ij} P^s P_s) \dot{t} \\ &= 2 \delta_{[j}^i (\delta_{k]b} P^k) - (\delta_{[j} P^k g_{k]b}) G_{[ir}^r \dot{x}^r - (\delta_{[j} g_{k]b} P^k) P_r \dot{x}^r \\ &\quad + (\delta_{[j} P^k g_{k]b}) g_{[ir} P^s \dot{x}^s + P^k g_{k[ij} G_{k]r}^r + P^k g_{k[ij} G_{k]r}^r \dot{t}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have taken the consideration of (1.7). The above relation, in view of (3.12) and (1.4) reduces to (3.11).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. RUND, *The differential geometry on Finsler spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1959.
- [2] K. YANO, *The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications*, North Holland Publication Co., 1957.
- [3] R. B. MISRA, *On Misra's covariant differentiation in a Finsler space*, Acad. Naz. dei Linc., 200-204 (1970).
- [4] T. IMAI, *Notes on semi-symmetric metric connections*, Tensor N.S., 273-276 (1972).
- [5] T. IMAI, *Notes on semi-symmetric metric connections II*, Tensor N.S., 56-58 (1973).