

Rendiconti Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL Memorie di Matematica e Applicazioni 118º (2000), Vol. XXIV, fasc. 1, pagg. 81-110

EDOARDO VESENTINI (\*)

## Linear Isometries of Vector-Valued Functions (\*\*)

SUMMARY. — Let M be a compact Hausdorff space and let C(M) be the Banach space of all complex-valued continuous functions on M. The classical Banach-Stone theorem, which associates to any surjectice linear isometry  $A: C(M) \rightarrow C(M)$  a homeomorphism of M, was generalized by W. Holsztyński to the case in which the linear isometry A is not necessarily surjective. Holsztyński's result — which was further extended by M. Cambern to Banach spaces of continuous vector-valued functions on M — associates to A a subset K(A) of M and a continuous surjective map  $\psi: K(A) \rightarrow M$ . In this paper, a maximal  $\psi$ -invariant subset of M is constructed in terms of the iterates of A. Actually, the construction of the invariant subset is carried out replacing the discrete subgroup of the iterates of A by a strongly continous semigroup of linear isometries.

## Isometrie lineari di funzioni a valori vettoriali

SUNTO. — Sia M uno spazio compatto di Hausdorff, e sia C(M) lo spazio di Banach delle funzioni continue a valori complessi su M. Il classico teorema di Banach-Stone, che associa ad ogni isometria lineare  $A: C(M) \rightarrow C(M)$  un omeomorfismo di M, è stato generalizzato da W. Holsztyński al caso in cui l'isometria lineare A non è necessariamente surgettiva. Il risultato di Holsztyński — esteso da M. Cambern a spazi di Banach di funzioni a valori vettoriali, continue su M — associa a A un sottoinsieme K(A) di M ed una applicazione continua  $\psi$  di K(A) su M. In questo lavoro, si costruisce un sottoinsieme  $\psi$ -invariante massimale di M definito mediante le iterate di A. Di fatto, il sottoinsieme invariante viene costruito sostituendo al semigruppo discreto delle iterate di A un sottogruppo fortemente continuo di isometrie lineari.

In one of the final chapters of [2], S. Banach made the important observation that two compact metric spaces M and N are homeomorphic if, and only if, the uniform spaces of all continuous, real-valued functions on M and N are isometric. As a byproduct of his proof, if A is such an isometry, there are a homeomorphism  $\psi$  of N onto M

(\*) Indirizzo dell'Autore: Politecnico di Torino, Dipartimento di Matematica, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy.

(\*\*) Memoria presentata il 30 marzo 2000 da Edoardo Vesentini, uno dei XL.

and a continuous function  $\alpha$ , with modulus one at all points of N, such that

(1) 
$$(Af)(y) = \alpha(y)(f(\psi(y)))$$

at all  $y \in N$  and for any real-valued, continuous function f on M. This ground-breaking result was the starting point of a research field which is quite alive today. In [13] M. Stone extended Banach's theorem to continuous, complex-valued functions on compact (not necessarily metric) Hausdorff spaces and set the stage, within the framework of Boolean algebras, of what would later be called the Banach-Stone problem (see [3] also for exhaustive historical references until 1979), involving continuous vector-valued functions.

In [9], W. Holsztyński considered the case in which the linear isometry A is not surjective (<sup>1</sup>), and proved that (1) still holds, but gives only a partial description of A in the sense that  $\psi$  is then a continuous map of a closed subset K(A) of N onto M and  $y \in K(A)$ . As was shown in [15], the case K(A) = N can be characterized in terms of the behaviour of A on the extreme points of the closed unit ball of the space of all continuous, complex-valued functions on M.

In [4] M. Cambern proved that Holsztyński's result extends *mutatis mutandis* to Banach spaces of continuous vector-valued functions from M to a complex Banach space  $\mathcal{S}$  and from N to a strictly convex complex Banach space  $\mathcal{T}$ .

In the case in which M = N the question arises, for both Holsztyński's and Cambern's theorems, whether there exists a subset  $K(A) \subset M$  that is invariant under the action of A and on which the action of A is therefore completely described by (1) or by a generalization thereof. In this paper, a maximal invariant set will be constructed in terms of the iterates of A. However, instead of considering these iterates, a more general situation will be investigated, replacing A by a strongly continuous semigroup of linear isometries.

After a first section devoted to the set of all extreme points of the closed unit ball of the Banach space of all continuous maps from M to  $\mathcal{E}$ , and of the closed unit ball of the dual space, n. 2 investigates the set  $K(A) \subset N$ , establishing a necessary and sufficient condition for K(A) to coincide with N, and a sufficient condition for K(A) to be closed, retrieving, as a consequence, a result of M. Cambern whereby K(A) is closed when  $\mathcal{E}$  has finite dimension.

In n. 3, A is replaced — under the hypotheses M = N and  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}$  — by a semigroup T of linear isometries, which, in particular, may coincide with the family of all iterates of A. Under rather weak hypotheses on T (that are fulfilled when  $\mathcal{E}$  has finite dimension), a maximal «invariant» set  $K_{\infty}(T) \subset M$  will be shown to exist, on which the action of T is determined by a semiflow  $\phi$  acting on  $K_{\infty}(T)$  and by an operator-valued cocycle associated to  $\phi$ . If  $K_{\infty}(T)$  is closed and the semigroup T is assumed to be strongly continuous — as will be done in nn. 5 and 6 — the semiflow  $\phi$  is continuous,

(<sup>1</sup>) According to the Mazur-Ulam theorem ([2], pp. 166-168) surjective isometries are linear over the reals. The case of non-linear isometries was briefly investigated in [15].

and the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup defined by T in  $K_{\infty}(T)$  is a bounded perturbation of the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup determined by  $\phi$ .

Finally, in n. 7 the particular case of scalar-valued continuous functions will be considered, extending to semigroups of general linear isometries some results established in [17] under additional conditions.

**1.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a complex Banach space with norm  $\|\|_{\mathcal{E}}$ . If M is a compact Hausdorff space,  $C(M, \mathcal{E})$  will stand for the complex Banach space of all continuous functions  $f: M \to \mathcal{E}$ , with the uniform norm  $\|f\|_{C(M, \mathcal{E})} = \sup \{\|f(x)\|_{\mathcal{E}} : x \in M\}$ . For any complex Banach space  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$  will stand for the strong dual of  $\mathcal{E}; B_{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{B_{\mathcal{E}}}, \overline{B_{\mathcal{E}}'}$  will indicate respectively the unit ball of  $\mathcal{E}$ , the unit ball of  $\mathcal{E}'$  and their closures.

PROPOSITION 1: Let  $\mathfrak{A} \neq \{0\}$  be a closed linear subspace of  $C(M, \delta)$ . If  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,

 $||f||_{C(M, \delta)} = \sup \{ |\langle f, \Lambda \rangle| : \Lambda \text{ extreme point of } \overline{B_{\alpha'}} \}.$ 

PROOF: Obviously,

(2)

 $||f||_{C(M, \delta)} \ge \sup \{ |\langle f, \Lambda \rangle| : \Lambda \text{ extreme point of } \overline{B_{\alpha'}} \}.$ 

Let now  $||f||_{C(M, \delta)} = 1$ .

Since *M* is compact, there is some  $x_0 \in M$  such that  $1 = ||f||_{C(M, \varepsilon)} = ||f(x_0)||_{\varepsilon}$ . For any  $\lambda \in \partial B_{\varepsilon'}$ , with  $||\lambda||_{\varepsilon'} = 1$ , the continuous linear form on  $\mathfrak{A}$ 

$$\delta_{x_0} \otimes \lambda : f \mapsto \langle f(x_0), \lambda \rangle$$

has norm one, showing that the closed set

$$S := \{ \Lambda \in \overline{B_{\alpha'}} : \langle f, \Lambda \rangle = 1 \} \subset \mathcal{A}'$$

is not empty. Since, for  $\Lambda_1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 \in S$  and 0 < t < 1,

$$\langle f, tA_1 + (1-t)A_2 \rangle = t + 1 - t = 1$$
,

S is also convex, and therefore is compact for the weak-star topology of  $\mathcal{C}'$ . By the Krein-Milman theorem, S has one extreme point at least.

Let  $\Lambda_0$  be one of these extreme points, and let  $\Lambda_1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 \in \overline{B_{C'}}$ , 0 < t < 1 be such that

$$\Lambda_0 = t\Lambda_1 + (1-t)\Lambda_2$$

Since  $\Lambda_0 \in S$ ,

(3) 
$$t\langle f, \Lambda_1 \rangle + (1-t)\langle f, \Lambda_2 \rangle = 1$$

whence

$$\begin{split} &1 \leq t \left| \left\langle f, \Lambda_1 \right\rangle \right| + (1-t) \left| \left\langle f, \Lambda_2 \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq t \|f\|_{\infty} \|\Lambda_1\|_{\mathcal{C}'} + (1-t) \|f\|_{\infty} \|\Lambda_2\|_{\mathcal{C}} \\ &\leq t + (1-t) = 1 \;, \end{split}$$

and therefore

$$|\langle f, \Lambda_1 \rangle| = |\langle f, \Lambda_2 \rangle| = 1;$$

(3) yields then

$$\langle f, \Lambda_1 \rangle = \langle f, \Lambda_2 \rangle = 1$$

*i.e.*  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in S$ . Hence

$$1 = \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}(M,\,\delta)} = \langle f, \Lambda_0 \rangle,$$

and this fact, together with (2) completes the proof of the proposition  $(^2)$ 

LEMMA 1: Let the closed linear subspace  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $C(M, \mathfrak{E})$  be such that, for every  $x \in M$ and every open neighbourhood U of x in M there is  $g \in \mathfrak{A} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\operatorname{Supp} g \subset U$ . If  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ is a complex extreme point of  $\overline{B}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ , then  $||f(x)||_{\mathfrak{E}} = 1$  for all  $x \in M$ .

PROOF: If  $||f(x_0)||_{\varepsilon} < 1$  for some  $x_0 \in M$ , there exist an open neighbourhood U of  $x_0$  and some  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which

$$\|f(x)\|_{\varepsilon} < 1 - \varepsilon \qquad \forall x \in U.$$

Let  $g \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$  be such that  $\operatorname{Supp} g \subset U$  and  $||g||_{C(M, \delta)} \leq \varepsilon$ . Given any  $\zeta \in \Delta = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : |\tau| < 1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) + \zeta g(x)\|_{\varepsilon} &\leq \|f(x)\|_{\varepsilon} + \|\zeta\|\|g(x)\|_{\varepsilon} \\ &\leq \|f(x)\|_{\varepsilon} + \|g(x)\|_{\varepsilon} \\ &< 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 1 \end{aligned}$$

if  $x \in U$ , and

$$||f(x) + \zeta g(x)||_{\varepsilon} = ||f(x)||_{\varepsilon}$$

if  $x \in M \setminus U$ . Thus,

$$\|f + \zeta g\|_{C(M, \delta)} \leq 1$$

(<sup>2</sup>) The proof follows the ideas in [7], pp. 145-146.

for all  $\zeta \in \Delta$ , contradicting the hypothesis whereby f is a complex extreme point of  $\overline{B_{cl}}$ .

Lemma 1 and the following lemma characterize all extreme points of  $\overline{B_{C(M, \mathcal{E})}}$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is strictly convex.

LEMMA 2: Let 8 be strictly convex. If, and only if,

$$\|f(x)\|_{\varepsilon} = 1 \qquad \forall x \in M ,$$

 $f \in C(M, \mathcal{E})$  is an extreme point of  $\overline{B_{C(M, \mathcal{E})}}$ .

PROOF: Let  $g \in C(M, \delta)$  and let  $t \in (0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  be such that

$$|f + tg||_{C(M, \delta)} \leq 1$$
.

Then

$$\|f(x) + tg(x)\|_{\varepsilon} \le 1 \qquad \forall x \in M$$

Since  $f(x) \in \partial B_{\delta}$  is an extreme point of  $\overline{B_{\delta}}$ , then g(x) = 0 for all  $x \in M$ . Let

$$\Theta(\mathcal{C}) = \left\{ g \in \overline{B_{\mathcal{C}}} : g \text{ extreme point of } \overline{B_{\mathcal{C}}} \right\}.$$

Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 yield

THEOREM 1: If 8 is strictly convex and  $\mathfrak{C} \neq \{0\}$  is a closed linear subspace of C(M, 8) such that, for every  $x \in M$  and every open neighbourhood of x in M there is  $g \in \mathfrak{C} \setminus \{0\}$  with Supp  $g \in U$ , then

$$\Theta(\mathfrak{A}) = \{ g \in \mathfrak{A} : \|g(x)\|_{\mathfrak{E}} = 1 \quad \forall x \in M \}.$$

 $\Theta(C(M, \, \mathbb{S})) = \left\{ f \in C(M, \, \mathbb{S}) : \left\| f(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{S}} = 1 \; \forall x \in M \right\}.$ 

In particular, if 8 is strictly convex, then

We will now describe  $\Theta(C(M, \delta)')$ .

Let

$$C := \{ \delta_x \otimes \lambda : x \in M, \ \lambda \in \overline{B_{\delta}'} \} \subset \overline{B_{C(M, \delta)'}}.$$

LEMMA 3: The set C is weak-star closed in C(M, 8)'.

PROOF: If  $\Omega$  is contained in the weak-star closure of *C*, there is a generalized sequence  $\{\delta_{x_j} \otimes \lambda_j\}$ , with  $x_j \in M$  and  $\lambda_j \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}}$ , converging to  $\Omega$ , *i.e.*, such that

(5) 
$$\langle f, \Omega \rangle = \lim \langle f(x_j), \lambda_j \rangle \quad \forall f \in C(M, \&)$$

Up to replacing this generalized sequence by a generalized subsequence, there is

no restriction in assuming that  $\{x_j\}$  converges to a point  $x_0 \in M$ , and that  $\{\lambda_j\}$  converges to  $\lambda_0 \in \overline{B_{\delta'}}$  for the weak-star topology. Hence, (5) yields

$$\langle f, \Omega \rangle = \langle f(x_0), \lambda_0 \rangle \quad \forall f \in C(M, \delta).$$

LEMMA 4: If  $\Omega \in C(M, \delta)'$  is an extreme point of  $\overline{B_{C(M, \delta)'}}$ , there exist  $x_0 \in M$  and  $\lambda_0$  extreme point of  $\overline{B_{\delta'}}$  such that  $\Omega = \delta_{x_0} \otimes \lambda_0$ .

PROOF: The closure  $\overline{\operatorname{co}(C)}$  of the convex hull  $\operatorname{co}(C)$  of *C* coincides with the closed convex hull  $\overline{\operatorname{co}}(C)$ , which is closed in  $\overline{B_{g'}}$ .

If  $\Omega \notin \overline{co}(C)$ , there exist, ([6], p. 417),  $f \in C(M, \delta)$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\Re\langle f, \Omega \rangle \ge c$$

and

$$\Re\langle f, \Lambda \rangle \leq c - \varepsilon \quad \forall \Lambda \in C ,$$

i.e.,

$$\Re\langle f(x), \lambda \rangle \leq c - \varepsilon \qquad \forall x \in M, \ \lambda \in \overline{B_{\mathcal{E}'}}.$$

Since

$$\|f(x)\|_{\varepsilon} = \sup \{ |\langle f(x), \lambda \rangle| : \lambda \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon'}} \}$$

then

$$\|f(x)\|_{\varepsilon} \leq c - \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in M,$$

and therefore

$$\|f\|_{C(M,\,\delta)} \leq c - \varepsilon \; .$$

If  $\|\Omega\| \leq 1$ , then

$$c \leq \Re\langle f, \Omega \rangle \leq |\langle f, \Omega \rangle|$$
  
$$\leq ||f||_{C(M, \delta)} ||\Omega|| \leq ||f||_{C(M, \delta)} \leq c - \varepsilon$$

This contradiction shows that

$$\Omega \notin \overline{\operatorname{co}}(C) \implies \Omega \notin \overline{B_{C(M, \delta)'}},$$

i.e.,

$$\overline{B_{C(M, \delta)'}} \subset \overline{\operatorname{co}}(C) \subset \overline{B_{C(M, \delta)'}},$$

and therefore

$$\overline{\mathrm{co}}\left(C\right) = \overline{B_{C(M,\,\delta)'}}$$

Since the extreme points of  $\overline{co}(C)$  are contained in *C* (see, *e.g.*, [6], pp. 440-441), there are  $x_0 \in M$  and  $\lambda_0 \in \overline{B_{\mathcal{E}'}}$  such that  $\Omega = \delta_{x_0} \otimes \lambda_0$ .

If  $\lambda_0$  is not an extreme point of  $\overline{B_{\delta'}}$ , there are  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2 \in \overline{B_{\delta'}}$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lambda_0 = t\lambda_1 + (1-t)\lambda_2$ , and therefore

$$\Omega = \delta_{x_0} \otimes \lambda_0 = t \delta_{x_0} \otimes \lambda_1 + (1 - t) \delta_{x_0} \otimes \lambda_2. \quad \blacksquare$$

In conclusion, the following theorem holds

THEOREM 2: A linear form  $\Lambda \in C(M, \delta)'$  is an extreme point of  $\overline{B_{C(M, \delta)'}}$  if, and only if, there exist  $x \in M$  and an extreme point  $\lambda$  of  $\overline{B_{\delta'}}$  such that  $\Lambda = \delta_x \otimes \lambda$ .

**2.** Let *M* and *N* be compact Hausdorff spaces and let  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be complex Banach spaces, with  $\mathcal{F}$  strictly convex. In [4], M. Cambern has characterized all linear isometries of  $C(M, \mathcal{E})$  into  $C(N, \mathcal{F})$ , proving the following theorem, which extends previous results established by W. Holsztyński in [9] for the case  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} = C$ .

THEOREM 3: Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(C(M, \mathcal{E}), C(N, \mathcal{F}))$  be a linear isometry. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is strictly convex, there exist:

a set  $K(A) \subset N$ ;

a continuous, surjective map  $\psi: K(A) \rightarrow M;$ 

a map  $N \ni y \mapsto C_y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ , which is continuous for the strong operator topology in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ , such that

(6) 
$$(Af)(y) = C_y(f \circ \psi(y))$$

for all  $y \in K(A)$  and all  $f \in C(M, \delta)$ .

The set K(A) and the map  $\psi$  are described as follows. For  $x \in M$ ,  $\xi \in \partial B(M, \delta)$ , let

$$F(\xi, x) = \{ f \in C(M, \delta) : f(x) = ||f||_{C(M, \delta)} \xi \},$$
  

$$K_A(\xi, x) = \{ y \in N : ||(Af)(y)||_{\mathcal{F}} = ||f||_{C(M, \delta)} \quad \forall f \in F(\xi, x) \},$$
  

$$K_A(x) = \bigcup \{ K(\xi, x) : \xi \in \partial B(M, \delta) \},$$
  

$$K(A) = \bigcup \{ K_A(x) : x \in M \}.$$

In [4], Cambern shows that  $K_A(\xi, x) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in M$ , and

$$x_1 \neq x_2 \Rightarrow K_A(x_1) \cap K_A(x_2) = \emptyset$$
.

Hence, for every  $y \in K(A)$  there is a unique  $x \in M$  such that  $y \in K_A(x)$ . The map  $\psi : K(A) \to M$  is defined by setting  $x = \psi(y)$ .

Any  $\xi \in \delta$  defines a function  $\underline{\xi} \in C(M, \delta)$  as follows:

$$\label{eq:states} \boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{\xi} \qquad \boldsymbol{\forall}\, \boldsymbol{x} \, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \, \boldsymbol{M} \; .$$

For  $y \in N$ , the operator  $C_y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is given by

$$C_{\gamma}(\xi) = A(\xi) \, .$$

Since, for any  $y \in N$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|C_{y}\xi\|_{\mathcal{F}} &= \|(A\underline{\xi})(y)\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \|A\| \|\underline{\xi}\|_{C(M, \ \varepsilon)} \\ &= \|\underline{\xi}\|_{C(M, \ \varepsilon)} = \|\xi\|_{\varepsilon}, \end{split}$$

then

$$\|C_{y}\| \leq 1 \qquad \forall y \in N.$$

Being  $\underline{\xi} \in F(\xi, x)$  for all  $x \in M$ , then

$$\|C_{\gamma}\xi\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{E}} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{E}, \quad \forall \gamma \in K(A).$$

Since  $y \mapsto C_y \xi$  is continuous for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ , that proves

LEMMA 5: For any  $y \in \overline{K(A)}$ ,  $C_y$  is a linear isometry of 8 into  $\mathcal{F}$ .

In [4] M. Cambern shows that, if  $y \in K_A(x)$ , then

$$(Af)(y) = C_{y}(f(x)) \qquad \forall f \in C(M, \, \mathcal{E}) \,.$$

By the construction of  $\psi$ , that yields (6).

PROPOSITION 2: If the map  $C: y \mapsto C_y$  of N into  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is continuous for the uniform operator topology of  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ , the set K(A) is closed.

PROOF: Let  $y_0 \in \overline{K(A)}$ . For any  $f \in \overline{B_{C(M,\delta)}}$  and for n = 1, 2, ... there is some  $y_n \in K(A)$  such that

$$\|(Af)(y_0) - (Af)(y_n)\|_{\mathcal{F}} < \frac{1}{n},$$

i.e.,

$$\left\| (Af)(y_0) - C_{y_n}(f(\psi(y_n))) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}} < \frac{1}{n},$$

and moreover

$$||C_{y_0} - C_{y_n}|| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Suppose that the set  $\{\psi(y_n)\}$  is infinite. Because *M* is compact, the set  $\{\psi(y_n)\}$  has at least one cluster point  $x_0$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an open neighbourhood *U* of  $x_0$  in *M* such that

$$\|f(x) - f(x_0)\|_{\varepsilon} < \varepsilon \qquad \forall x \in U$$

Let  $n_0 > 0$  be so large that  $\frac{1}{n_0} < \varepsilon$ , and let  $n > n_0$  be such that  $x_n \in U$ . Then  $\|(Af)(y_0) - C_{y_0}(f(x_0))\|_{\mathcal{F}} \le \|(Af)(y_0) - C_{y_n}(f(x_n))\|_{\mathcal{F}} + \\
+ \|(C_n - C_n)(f(x_n))\|_{\mathcal{F}} +$ 

$$+ \|(C_{y_n} - C_{y_0})(f(x_n))\|_{\mathcal{F}} + \|C_{y_0}(f(x_n) - f(x_0))\|_{\mathcal{F}}$$

$$\le \|(Af)(y_0) - C_{y_n}(f(x_n))\|_{\mathcal{F}} + \|C_{y_n} - C_{y_0}\|\|f(x_n)\|_{\mathcal{E}} + \|C_{y_0}\|\|f(x_n) - f(x_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}}$$

$$< \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon < 3\varepsilon .$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, that shows that

$$(Af)(y_0) = C_{y_0}(f(x_0)).$$

Obviously, the same conclusion holds when the set  $\{\psi(y_n)\}$  is finite; in which case  $x_0 \in \{\psi(y_n)\}$  can be chosen such that  $\psi(y_{n_i}) = x_0$  for  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ 

Let now  $u_0$  be another cluster point of the set  $\{\psi(y_n)\}$  when this latter set is infinite, or such that  $\psi(y_{m_j}) = u_0$  for  $m_1 < m_2 < \dots$  By the same argument as before, one shows that

$$(Af)(y_0) = C_{y_0}(f(u_0))$$

Hence,

$$C_{y_0}(f(x_0) - f(u_0)) = 0$$

and therefore

$$f(x_0) = f(u_0) \quad \forall f \in C(M, 8)$$

because  $C_{y_0}$  is injective. If  $x_0 \neq u_0$ , given any two vectors  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  in  $\delta$ , there is a function  $f \in C(M, \delta)$  such that

$$f(x_0) = \xi_1, \qquad f(u_0) = \xi_2.$$

Thus  $x_0 = u_0$ , and  $y_0 \in \psi_A(x_0)$ .

In view of the definition of  $C_y$ , the hypothesis of Proposition 2 can be rephrased by requiring that the restriction of A to the closed subspace of  $C(M, \delta)$  consisting of all  $\delta$ -valued constant functions on M be continuous for the uniform operator topology.

COROLLARY 1: [4] If dim  $\mathcal{E} < \infty$ , K(A) is closed in N.

LEMMA 6: Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be strictly convex and  $\mathcal{E}$  reflexive. If  $y \in N$  and there is  $\mu \in \partial B_{\mathcal{F}}$  such that

$$A'(\delta_y \otimes \mu) = \delta_x \otimes \lambda$$

for some  $x \in M$  and  $\lambda \in \partial B_{\delta'}$ , then  $y \in K(A)$ .

PROOF: Since & is reflexive, there exists  $\xi \in \&$  such that  $\langle \xi, \lambda \rangle = 1$ . If  $f \in C(M, \&)$  is such that  $f(x) = ||f||_{C(M, \&)} \xi$ , then

$$\begin{split} \langle (Af)(y), \mu \rangle &= \langle Af, \, \delta_y \otimes \mu \rangle = \langle f, \, A'(\delta_y \otimes \mu) \rangle \\ &= \langle f, \, \delta_x \otimes \lambda \rangle = \langle f(x), \, \lambda \rangle \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}(M, \, \mathbb{S})} \langle \xi, \, \lambda \rangle = \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}(M, \, \mathbb{S})}. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{C(M, \delta)} &= \langle (Af)(y), \, \mu \rangle \leq \|(Af)(y)\|_{\mathcal{F}} \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}} \\ &= \|(Af)(y)\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \|Af\|_{C(M, \delta)} = \|f\|_{C(M, \delta)}, \end{split}$$

then

$$\|(Af)(y)\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}(M, \mathcal{E})},$$

and therefore  $f \in K(A)$ .

On the other hand, if  $y \in K(A)$ , for any  $\mu \in \partial B_{\mathcal{F}}$  and all  $f \in C(M, \mathcal{E})$ 

$$\begin{split} \langle f, A'(\delta_{y} \otimes \mu) \rangle &= \langle Af, \delta_{y} \otimes \mu \rangle \\ &= \langle (Af)(y), \mu \rangle = \langle C_{y}(f(\psi(y))), \mu \rangle \\ &= \langle f(\psi(y)), C'_{y}(\mu) \rangle = \langle f, \delta_{\psi(y)} \otimes C'_{y}(\mu) \rangle \end{split}$$

In conclusion, in view of Theorem 2, the following theorem holds

THEOREM 4: If  $\mathcal{F}$  is strictly convex, and  $\mathcal{E}$  is uniformly convex, then K(A) = N if, and only if,

$$A'(\mathcal{O}(C(N,\mathcal{F})')) \subset \mathcal{O}(C(M,\mathcal{E})').$$

**3.** Let *M* be, as before, a compact Hausdorff space, let  $\delta$  be a strictly convex complex Banach space, and let  $T : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathcal{L}(C(M, \delta))$  be a semigroup of linear isometries  $T(t) : C(M, \delta) \to C(M, \delta)$ .

According to Theorem 3, for every  $t \ge 0$  there exist:

a subset K(T(t)) of M;

a continuous surjective map  $\phi_t: K(T(t)) \rightarrow M;$ 

a map  $x \mapsto C_{t,x}$  of M into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ , continuous for the strong operator topology in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ , such that

(7) 
$$(T(t) f)(x) = C_{t,x}(f(\phi_t(x))) \quad \forall f \in C(M, \, \mathcal{E}), \, \forall x \in K(T(t)).$$

If t = 0, then K(I) = M,  $\phi_0 = I$  and  $C_{0,x} = I$  for all  $x \in M$ . If  $t \ge 0$ , for all  $x \in M ||C_{t,x}|| \le 1$ , and, if  $x \in \overline{K(T(t))}$ ,  $C_{t,x}$  is a linear isometry of  $\delta$ .

LEMMA 7: Let  $t, s \ge 0$  and  $x \in M$ . If  $x \in K(T(t))$  and  $\phi_t(x) \in K(T(s))$ , then  $x \in K(t+s)$ . If  $x \in K(T(t)) \cap K(T(t+s))$ , then  $\phi_t(x) \in K(T(s))$ .

PROOF: If  $\phi_t(x) \in K(T(s))$ , then  $x \in K(T(t)) \cap \phi_t^{-1}(K(T(s)))$  and, for all  $f \in C(M, \delta)$ ,

(8) 
$$(T(t+s) f)(x) = (T(t) \circ T(s) f)(x) = C_{t,x}((T(s) f)(\phi_t(x))) = \\ = C_{t,x} \circ C_{s,\phi_t(x)}(f(\phi_s \circ \phi_t(x))) \\ = C_{t,x} \circ C_{s,\phi_t(x)}(f(z)),$$

where  $z = (\phi_s \circ \phi_t)(x)$ . If  $f(z) = ||f||_{C(M, \delta)} \xi$ , with  $||\xi||_{\delta} = 1$ , then

$$||T(t+s) f(x)||_{\varepsilon} = ||f(z)||_{\varepsilon} = ||f||_{C(M, \varepsilon)} = ||T(t+s) f||_{C(M, \varepsilon)}.$$

Therefore  $x \in K(T(t+s))$  and

(9)

$$T(t+s) f(x) = C_{t+s,x}(f(\phi_{t+s}(x))).$$

Choosing  $f = \xi$ , for any  $\xi \in \delta$ , (8) and (9) yield

$$C_{t+s,x}(\xi) = T(t+s) \underline{\xi}(x)$$
$$= C_{t,x} \circ C_{s, \phi_t(x)}(\xi),$$

whence

(10) 
$$C_{t+s,x} = C_{t,x} \circ C_{s,\phi_t(x)} \quad \forall t, s \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

and therefore

$$f(\phi_{t+s}(x)) = f(\phi_s \circ \phi_t(x)) \qquad \forall f \in C(M, \, \mathcal{E}).$$

If  $x \in K(T(t)) \cap K(T(t+s))$ , then

$$C_{t+s,x}(f(\phi_{t+s}(x))) = (T(t+s) f)(x) = (T(t) \circ T(s) f)(x)$$
$$= C_{t,x}((T(s) f)(\phi_t(x))).$$

Letting  $z = \phi_{t+s}(x)$ , if  $f(z) = ||f||_{C(M, \delta)} \xi$ , with  $||\xi||_{\delta} = 1$ , then

$$\|(T(s) f)(\phi_t(x))\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \|C_{t+s,x}(f(\phi_{t+s}(x)))\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \|(T(t+s) f)(x)\|_{\mathcal{E}}$$

$$= \|f(z)\|_{\varepsilon} = \|f\|_{C(M, \varepsilon)} = \|T(t+s) f\|_{C(M, \varepsilon)}$$

and therefore  $\phi_t(x) \in K(T(s))$ .

Corollary 2: If  $t, s \ge 0$ ,

$$K(T(t)) \cap K(T(t+s))) = \phi_t^{-1}(K(T(s))),$$

and  $\phi_{t+s} = \phi_s \circ \phi_t$  on  $\phi_t^{-1}(K(T(s)))$ .

In general, the family  $\{K(T(t)): t > 0\}$  is not increasing, as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 8: If

(11) 
$$K(T(t)) \subset K(T(t+s))$$

for some  $t \ge 0$  and some s > 0, then K(T(r)) = M for all  $r \ge 0$ .

PROOF: If (11) holds for some  $t \ge 0$  and some s > 0, then

$$K(T(t)) = K(T(t)) \cap K(T(t+s)) = \phi_t^{-1}(K(T(s))),$$

and therefore

$$M = \phi_t(K(T(t))) = K(T(s)).$$

Hence, if 0 < l < s and r = s - l, then

$$K(T(r)) = K(T(r)) \cap K(T(s)) = K(T(r)) \cap K(T(r+l))$$

$$= \phi_r^{-1}(K(T(l))),$$

and therefore

$$M = \phi_r(K(T(r))) = K(T(l)),$$

showing that, if K(T(s)) = M for some s > 0, then K(T(r)) = M for all  $r \in [0, s]$ .

Let

$$s_0 = \sup \{ s \ge 0 : K(T(s)) = M \}$$

If  $0 < s_0 < \infty$ , there are t, s, with  $0 < t < s_0$  and  $0 < s < s_0$ , such that  $t + s > s_0$ .

Then K(T(t)) = M = K(T(s)), and therefore

$$K(T(t+s)) = K(T(t)) \cap K(T(t+s)) = \phi_t^{-1}(K(T(s)))$$
$$= \phi_t^{-1}(M) = K(T(t)) = M.$$

This contradiction shows that either  $s_0 = 0$  or  $s_0 = +\infty$ , and completes the proof of the lemma.

If (11) holds for some  $t \ge 0$  and some  $s \ge 0$ , (7) holds for all  $t \ge 0$ ,  $f \in C(M)$ ,  $x \in M$ . Let  $n \ge 1$  and let  $t_j \ge 0$  for j = 1, 2, ..., n. Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} (12) \quad K(T(t_1)) \cap K(T(t_1+t_2)) \cap \ldots \cap K(T(t_1+t_2+\ldots+t_n)) = \\ & (K(T(t_1)) \cap K(T(t_1+t_2))) \cap (K(T(t_1)) \cap K(T(t_1+t_2+t_3))) \cap \ldots \cap \\ & (K(T(t_1))) \cap K(T(t_1+t_2+\ldots+t_n))) = \phi_{t_1}^{-1}(K(T(t_2))) \cap \\ & \phi_{t_1}^{-1}(K(T(t_2+t_3))) \cap \ldots \cap \phi_{t_1}^{-1}(K(T(t_2+\ldots+t_n))) = \\ & \phi_{t_1}^{-1}(K(T(t_2)) \cap K(T(t_2+t_3)) \cap \ldots \cap K(T(t_2+\ldots+t_n))) = \\ & \phi_{t_1}^{-1} \circ \phi_{t_2}^{-1}(K(T(t_3)) \cap \ldots \cap K(T(t_3+\ldots+t_n))) = \ldots = \\ & \phi_{t_1}^{-1} \circ \phi_{t_2}^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ \phi_{t_n-1}^{-1}(K(T(t_n))) \neq \emptyset. \end{array}$$

LEMMA 9: The set

$$\bigcap \left\{ \overline{K(T(t))}: t \ge 0 \right\}$$

is compact and non-empty.

PROOF: By the chain of equalities above, the family  $\{\overline{K(T(t))}: t \ge 0\}$  of closed subsets of the compact *space* M has the finite intersection property.

COROLLARY 3: If K(T(t)) is closed for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , the set

(13) 
$$K_{\infty}(T) = \bigcap \left\{ K(T(t)) : t \ge 0 \right\}$$

is compact and non-empty.

The fact that the set  $K_{\infty}(T)$  is non-empty follows from weaker conditions.

THEOREM 5: If there is some s > 0 such that K(T(t)) is closed whenever  $0 \le t \le s$ , the set  $K_{\infty}(T)$  defined by (13) is non-empty.

PROOF: Consider the set (12), where  $t_p > 0$  for p = 1, 2, ..., n. Letting  $t_p = q_p s + r_p$ , with  $q_p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $0 \leq r_p < s$  for p = 1, 2, ..., n, the set (12) contains the set

$$G(t_1, \ldots, t_n) := K(T(t_1)) \bigcap_{p=2}^n \left( \bigcap_{i=0}^{q_p} K(T(t_1 + \ldots + t_{p-1} + js)) \bigcap K(T(t_1 + \ldots + t_p)) \right),$$

which — as was noticed before — is not empty. Since

$$K(T(t_1 + \dots + t_{p-1} + (j-1) \ s)) \cap K(T(t_1 + \dots + t_{p-1} + js)) = \phi_{t_1 + \dots + t_{p-1} + (j-1)s}^{-1}(K(T(s)))$$

and

$$K(T(t_1 + \dots + t_{p-1} + q_p s) \cap K(T(t_1 + \dots + t_p)) =$$

$$K(T(t_1 + \dots + t_{p-1} + q_p s) \cap K(T(t_1 + \dots + t_{p-1} + q_p s + r_p)) =$$

$$\phi_{t_1 + \dots + t_{p-1} + q_p s}^{-1}(K(T(r_p))),$$

the set  $G(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$  is closed. By the finite intersection property, the intersection of all sets  $G(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$  is not empty. Hence  $K_{\infty}(T)$  is not empty.

As a consequence of Proposition 2, the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 10: If there is some  $t_0 > 0$  such that the map  $x \mapsto C_{t,x}$  of M into  $\mathcal{L}(8)$  is continuous for the uniform operator topology whenever  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , then  $K_{\infty}(T) \neq \emptyset$ . If the hypothesis holds for all t > 0,  $K_{\infty}(T)$  is also closed.

Corollary 1 yields

COROLLARY 4: If  $\dim_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{E} < \infty$ ,  $K_{\infty}(T)$  is closed and non-empty.

Let  $K_{\infty}(T)$  be non-empty. Since  $K(T(s)) = \phi_s^{-1}(M)$ , for all  $s \ge 0$ 

$$\begin{split} \phi_t^{-1}(K_{\infty}(T)) &= \phi_t^{-1}(\bigcap\{K(T(s)): s \ge 0\}) = \bigcap\{\phi_t^{-1}(K(T(s))): s \ge 0\} \\ &= \bigcap\{K(T(t+s)): s \ge 0\} = \bigcap\{K(T(s)): s \ge t\} \supset \\ &\supset \bigcap\{K(T(s)): s \ge 0\}) = K_{\infty}(T), \end{split}$$

and therefore

(14) 
$$\phi_t(K_{\infty}(T)) \subset K_{\infty}(T) \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

REMARK: The set  $K_{\infty}(T)$  — if non-empty — is the largest subset of M which is  $\phi_t$ -invariant for all  $t \ge 0$ . Let  $x \in M$ . Then  $x \in \phi_t^{-1}(K_{\infty}(T)) \setminus K_{\infty}(T)$  for some t > 0 if, and only if,

$$x \in K(T(t)) \cap K(T(t+s)) \quad \forall s \ge 0$$
,

i.e.,

$$x \in K(T(s)) \quad \forall s \ge t$$
,

and moreover

 $x \notin K(T(r))$  for some  $r \in (0, t)$ .

Hence

(15) 
$$\phi_t^{-1}(K_\infty(T)) \setminus K_\infty(T) \subset \bigcap \{ K(T(s)) \colon s \ge t \} \setminus K(T(r))$$

for some  $r \in (0, t)$ .

If

(16) 
$$K(T(t)) \subset K_{\infty}(T)$$

for some t > 0, then  $K(T(s)) \supset K(T(t))$  for all s > 0, and Lemma 8 yields

THEOREM 6: If, and only if, (16) holds for some t > 0, then  $K_{\infty}(T) = M$ , and (7) holds for all  $t \ge 0$ .

Let  $K_{\infty}(T)$  be closed and non-empty. In view of the  $\phi_t$ -invariance of  $K_{\infty}(T)$ , one defines a semigroup  $\tilde{T}: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(C(K_{\infty}(T), \delta))$  of linear contractions of  $C(K_{\infty}(T), \delta)$ , by

$$(\tilde{T}(t) g)(x) = C_{t,x}(g(\phi_t(x)))$$

for all  $t \ge 0$ ,  $g \in C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E})$ ,  $x \in C(K_{\infty}(T))$ .

**4.** Let M, N, P be compact Hausdorff spaces,  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  be complex Banach spaces, with  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  strictly convex, and let

$$A \in \mathcal{L}(C(M, \mathcal{E}), C(N, \mathcal{F})), \quad B \in \mathcal{L}(C(N, \mathcal{F}), C(P, \mathcal{G}))$$

be linear isometries. Then  $B \circ A$  is a linear isometry of  $C(M, \delta)$  into  $C(P, \mathcal{G})$ . Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 7, one shows that

(17) 
$$K(B) \cap K(B \circ A) = \psi_B^{-1}(K(A))$$

and

$$\psi_{B \circ A} = \psi_B \circ \psi_A$$
 on  $\psi_B^{-1}(K(A))$ .

If M = P and  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{G}$ , and if  $B \circ A$  is the identity on M, then  $K(B \circ A) = P$ , and (17) becomes

$$\psi_B(K(B)) = K(A) \,,$$

whence K(A) = N. That implies M. Jerison's extension, [10], of the classical Banach-Stone theorem to vector-valued, continuous functions.

Let now M = N and  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}$ . By similar arguments to those developed in n. 3, one can handle the discrete case, in which the semigroup T is replaced by the iterates  $\{A^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of an isometry  $A \in \mathcal{L}(C(M, \mathcal{E}))$ , and the Banach space  $\mathcal{E}$  is strictly convex. Assuming in Theorem 3 N = M,  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}$ , and replacing A by  $A^n$ , K(A) by  $K(A^n)$ ,  $C_y$  by  $C_{A^n, y}$ ,  $\psi$  by  $\psi_{A^n}$ , one shows, as in n. 3, that

$$K(A^{p}) \cap K(A^{p+q}) = \psi_{A^{p}}^{-1}(K(A^{q})).$$

Let  $n_1, n_2, ..., n_p$  be positive integers. As in n. 3 one proves that

(18) 
$$K(A^{n_1}) \cap K(A^{n_1+n_2}) \dots \cap K(A^{n_1+\dots+n_p}) = \psi_{A^{n_1}}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{A^{n_{p-1}}}^{-1}(K(A^{n_p})) \neq \emptyset$$
,

and this shows that

$$\bigcap \left\{ \overline{K(A^n)} : n \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \right\} \neq \emptyset .$$

Since the left-hand side of (18) contains the set

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{n_1+\ldots+n_p} K(A^m) = \psi_A^{-1} \circ \psi_A^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ \psi_A^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ \psi_A^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ \psi_A^{-1} (K(A))$$

which is (non-empty and) closed when K(A) is closed, the following proposition holds.

**PROPOSITION 3:** If K(A) is closed, the set

$$K_{\infty}(A) := \bigcap \left\{ K(A^{n}) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \right\}$$

is non-empty.

Similar arguments as those developed in the proof of Lemma 8 lead to

Lemma 11: If

$$K(A^p) \subset K(A^{p+q})$$

for two positive integers p and q, then K(A) = M.

Arguing as in Theorem 6 one proves

THEOREM 7: If, and only if,

$$K(A^p) \subset K_{\infty}(A)$$

for some  $p \ge 0$ , then K(A) = M.

If  $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{L}(C(K_{\infty}(A), \delta))$  is defined by

$$(\widetilde{A}g)(x) = C_{A,x}(g(\psi_A(x)))$$

for all  $x \in K_{\infty}(A)$  and all  $g \in C(K_{\infty}(A), \delta)$ , then  $\widetilde{A}$  is a contraction of  $C(K_{\infty}(A), \delta)$ .

If 
$$A\underline{\xi} = \zeta\underline{\xi}$$
 for some  $\zeta \in C$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{E} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $|\zeta| = 1$  and  $A\underline{\xi} = \zeta\underline{\xi}$ , *i.e.*,

$$C_{A,x}(\xi) = \xi \xi \quad \forall x \in K_{\infty}(A),$$

and viceversa. That proves

LEMMA 12: Let  $K_{\infty}(A) \neq \emptyset$ . If, and only if,  $\zeta$  is an eigenvalue of  $C_{A, x}$  with an eigenvector  $\xi \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{0\}$  for all  $x \in K_{\infty}(A)$ , then  $|\zeta| = 1$  and  $\zeta$  is an eigenvalue of  $\widetilde{A}$  with an eigenvector  $\underline{\xi}$ .

Let now

(19) 
$$(Af)(y) = \zeta f(y) \quad \forall f \in C(M, \, \mathbb{S})$$

and for some  $y \in M$  and  $\zeta \in C$ . Then  $|\zeta| \leq 1$ . If  $f \in F(\xi, y)$  for some  $\xi \in \delta$  with  $\|\xi\|_{\delta} = 1$ , then

$$\|(Af)(y)\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \|\xi\|\|f\|_{C(M, \delta)} = \|\xi\|\|Af\|_{C(M, \delta)}.$$

Thus

$$\zeta \in \partial \varDelta \implies y \in K(A) \,,$$

and therefore

$$C_{A,\,y}(f(\psi_A(y))) = (Af)(y) = \zeta f(y) \quad \forall f \in C(M,\, \mathcal{E}) \,.$$

Because  $C_{A, y}$  is an isometry, that implies that

$$||f(\psi_A(y))||_{\varepsilon} = ||f(y)||_{\varepsilon}$$

for all  $f \in C(M, \delta)$ , and therefore  $\psi_A(y) = y$ , proving thereby

PROPOSITION 4: If  $y \in M$  and  $\zeta \in \partial \Delta$  satisfy (19), then  $y \in K(A)$ ,  $\psi_A(y) = y$  and  $C_{A, y} = \zeta I$ .

We shall conclude this section with a result on the compression spectrum of A in the case in which M = N,  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} = C$  and A is a linear isometry of C(M) onto C(N). Now K(A) = M, and A is expressed by (1) for all  $y \in M$  and all  $f \in C(M)$ , with  $\alpha \in \Theta(C(M))$  and  $\psi$  a homeomorphism of M onto itself.

The compression spectrum of A is, by definition, the point spectrum  $p\sigma(A')$  of the dual operator A' of A. If  $\zeta \in p\sigma(A')$ , there is some  $\lambda \in C(M)' \setminus \{0\}$  such that

(20) 
$$\langle Af, \lambda \rangle = \zeta \langle f, \lambda \rangle \quad \forall f \in C(M),$$

i.e.,

$$\int \alpha(x) f(\psi(x)) d\lambda(x) = \zeta \int f(x) d\lambda(x)$$

for all  $f \in C(M)$ , where  $\lambda$  has been identified with its representative Borel measure.

This implies, first of all, that  $\zeta \neq 0$ .

Let  $x_0 \in \text{Supp } \lambda$  be such that  $\psi(x_0) \notin \text{Supp } \lambda$ . Le *U* be an open neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in *M*, disjoint from Supp  $\lambda$ , and let  $V = \psi^{-1}(U)$ .

For any  $f \in C(M)$  such that  $\operatorname{Supp} f \subset U$ ,

$$\int f(x) \ d\lambda(x) = 0 \ ,$$

and therefore

(21) 
$$\int \alpha(x) f(\psi(x)) d\lambda(x) = 0.$$

If  $g \in C(M)$  is such that Supp  $g \in V$ , then, setting  $f = g \circ \psi^{-1}$ , Supp  $f \in U$ , and (21) yields

$$\int \alpha(x) g(x) d\lambda(x) = 0 ,$$

showing that  $x_0 \notin \text{Supp } \lambda$ : which is a contradiction.

Hence,  $\psi(\operatorname{Supp} \lambda) \subset \operatorname{Supp} \lambda$ , and therefore  $\psi(\operatorname{Supp} \lambda) = \operatorname{Supp} \lambda$  because  $\psi$  is a homeomorphism. That proves

THEOREM 8: If  $A \in \mathcal{L}(C(M))$  is a bijective isometry and if  $\zeta \in p\sigma(A')$ , then

 $\zeta \neq 0$ . Furthermore, the support of any  $\lambda \in C(M)' \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying (20), is  $\psi$ -invariant.

As a consequence, if  $\operatorname{Supp} \lambda = \{x_0\}$ , then  $x_0$  is fixed by  $\psi$ . In that case,  $\zeta = f(x_0)$ .

5. – Applying some of the results of n. 4 to T(t), for any t > 0, we see that, if K(T(t)) is closed, the set

$$K_{\infty}(T(t)) := \bigcap \left\{ K(T(nt)) : n \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$$

is non-empty and  $\widetilde{T(t)}$  is a contraction of  $C(K_{\infty}(T(t)), 8)$ .

LEMMA 13: If  $(T(\tau) f)(x) = \zeta f(x)$  for some  $\tau > 0$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $\zeta \in \partial \Delta$ , and for all  $f \in C(M, \delta)$ , then  $x \in K(T(\tau))$ ,  $\phi_{\tau}(x) = x$  and  $C_{\tau, x} = \zeta I$ .

COROLLARY 5: Let  $K(T(\tau))$  be closed. If  $x \in K_{\infty}(T)$  and  $\tau > 0$  are such that

$$(\widetilde{T}(\tau) g)(x) = g(x) \quad \forall g \in C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E})$$

and if, for every  $t \in (0, \tau)$  there is some  $k \in C(K_{\infty}(T), \delta)$  for which

$$(\tilde{T}(t) k)(x) \neq k(x),$$

then  $C_{\tau,x} = I$  and the semiflow  $\phi$  is periodic with period  $\tau$  at the point x.

So far, no hypothesis on the topological structure of the semigroups T and  $\tilde{T}$  has been introduced.

Throughout this and the following sections,  $K_{\infty}(T)$  will be assumed to be closed and non-empty.

For any  $t \ge 0$  and any  $x \in K_{\infty}(T)$ ,

$$(T(t) f)(x) = C_{t,x}(f(\phi_t(x))) = (\tilde{T} f_{|K_{\infty}(T)})(x)$$

for all  $f \in C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E})$ .

Let the semigroup  $\tilde{T}$  be strongly continuous. Since, for any  $\xi \in \delta$ ,

$$C_{t,x}(\xi) = (\tilde{T}(t)\,\xi)(x)\,,$$

the map  $(t, x) \mapsto C_{t,x}$  of  $\mathbf{R}_+ \times K_{\infty}(T)$  into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$  is continuous for the strong operator topology in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ .

We will show now that  $\phi: t \mapsto \phi_t$  is a continuous semiflow in  $K_{\infty}(T)$ , *i.e.*,  $(t, x) \mapsto \phi_t(x)$  is a continuous map of  $\mathbf{R}_+ \times K_{\infty}(T)$  into  $K_{\infty}(T)$ .

If that is not the case, there exist  $t_0 \ge 0$ ,  $x_0 \in K_{\infty}(T)$  and an open neighbourhood U

of  $\phi_{t_0}(x_0)$  such that, for every  $\delta > 0$  and for every open neighbourhood *V* of  $x_0$  there are  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+ \cap (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$  and  $x \in V$  for which  $\phi_t(x) \notin U$ . In view of the compactness of  $K_{\infty}(T)$ , there are generalized sequences  $\{t_j\}$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+$  and  $\{x_j\}$  in  $K_{\infty}(T)$  converging to  $t_0$  and to  $x_0$ , such that  $\phi_{t_i}(x_j) \notin U$  and that  $\{\phi_{t_i}(x_j)\}$  converges to some

(22) 
$$y_0 \in K_\infty(T) \setminus U.$$

Hence, for any  $f \in C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E})$ ,

$$C_{t_0, x_0}(f(\phi_{t_0}(x_0)) = C_{t_0, x_0}(f(y_0)))$$

The injectivity of  $C_{t_0, x_0}$  implies then that  $f(\phi_{t_0}(x_0)) = f(y_0)$  for all  $f \in C(K_{\infty}(T), \delta)$ , and therefore  $\phi_{t_0}(x_0) = y_0$ , contradicting (22) and proving thereby that the semiflow  $\phi$  is continuous.

If  $L : \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathcal{L}(C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E}))$  is the semigroup defined by the continuous semiflow  $t \mapsto \phi_t$  on  $K_{\infty}(T)$ ; *i.e.* 

$$L(t) g = g \circ \phi_t$$

for all  $t \ge 0$  and all  $g \in C(K_{\infty}(T), \delta)$ , then

(24) 
$$(\tilde{T}(t) g)(x) = C_{t,x}((L(t)g)(x)) \quad \forall t \ge 0, g \in C(K_{\infty}(T), \delta), x \in K_{\infty}(T).$$

The map  $\tilde{T}(t)$  is a linear isometry if, and only if,  $\phi_t$  is surjective. It is easily seen, [18], that the set of all t > 0 for which  $\tilde{T}(t)$  is an isometry is either  $\mathbf{R}^*_+$  or the empty set.

If the semigroup *T* is strongly continuous, Corollary 5 may yield more information on the global behaviour of  $\phi_t$  and  $C_{t,x}$ . As an example, assume now that *M* is the unit circle:  $M = \partial \Delta$ . According to Proposition 3 of [19], if the continuous semiflow  $\phi$  has a periodic point with period  $\tau > 0$ , then  $\phi$  is periodic with period  $\tau$ . Hence, the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 9: Let the semigroup T be strongly continuous. If M is the unit circle and x and  $\tau$  satisfy the hypotheses of Corollary 5, then  $\phi$  is the restriction to  $\mathbf{R}_+$  of a continuous periodic flow, and T is the restriction to  $\mathbf{R}_+$  of a strongly continuous periodic group  $\mathbf{R} \times C(\partial \Delta, \delta) \rightarrow C(\partial \Delta, \delta)$  of surjective linear isometries of  $C(\partial \Delta, \delta)$ .

For any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $g \in C(\partial \Delta, \mathcal{E})$ ,  $x \in \partial \Delta$ , T(t) g is expressed by

$$(T(t) g)(x) = C_{t,x}(g(\phi_t(x))),$$

where,  $C_{t,x}$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{L}(C(M, \delta))$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and, if  $t \leq 0$ ,  $C_{t,x}$  is expressed by

$$C_{t,x} = C_{-t,\phi_t(x)}^{-1}.$$

Going back to the general case of  $C(M, \delta)$ , since  $K_{\infty}(T)$  is closed and non-empty, the contraction semigroup  $\tilde{T}$  acting on the Banach space  $C(K_{\infty}(T), \delta)$  is strongly con-

tinuous, its infinitesimal generator  $\widetilde{X}$ :  $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}) \subset C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E})$  is m-dissipative.

If the semigroup T is strongly continuous — in which case its infinitesimal generator  $X : \mathcal{O}(X) \subset C(M, \delta) \rightarrow C(M, \delta)$  is conservative and m-dissipative, [16] — also  $\tilde{T}$  is strongly continuous.

The space  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}$  consisting of the restrictions to  $K_{\infty}(T)$  of the elements of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{X})$ . Hence, if Y is the linear operator with domain  $\mathcal{D}(Y) = \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}$  defined on the restriction to  $K_{\infty}(T)$  of any  $f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  by

$$(Yf_{|K_{\infty}(T)})(x) = (Xf)(x) \qquad \forall x \in K_{\infty}(T),$$

then  $Y \subset \widetilde{X}$ .

Because  $T(t) \mathcal{O}(X) \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ , then

$$\widetilde{T}(t) \mathcal{O}(Y) \subset \mathcal{O}(Y)$$
.

Since  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  is dense in  $C(M, \delta)$ , if the space  $C(M, \delta)_{|K_{\infty}(T)}$  of the restrictions to  $K_{\infty}(T)$  of all  $f \in C(M, \delta)$  is dense in  $C(K_{\infty}(T), \delta)$ , then  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  is dense in  $C(K_{\infty}(T), \delta)$ . Thus  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathcal{O}(Y)$  is a core of  $\widetilde{X}$ , and the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 14: If  $C(M, \delta)_{|K_{\infty}(T)}$  is dense in  $C(K_{\infty}(T), \delta)$ , the operator  $\widetilde{X}$  is the closure of Y.

If  $\tilde{T}$  is strongly continuous, also the semigroup L is strongly continuous. Denoting by  $D: \mathcal{O}(D) \subset C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E}) \to C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E})$ , the infinitesimal generator of L, then, for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}, \ \xi \in \mathcal{O}(D)$  and  $D\xi = 0$ .

The space  $C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E})$  is a module over the ring  $C(K_{\infty}(T))$  of all complex-valued continuous functions on  $K_{\infty}(T)$ . The infinitesimal generator  $D_0$  of the Markov lattice semigroup  $L_0$  defined in  $C(K_{\infty}(T))$  by the semiflow  $\phi$  is a derivation  $D_0: \mathcal{O}(D_0) \subset C(K_{\infty}(T)) \rightarrow C(K_{\infty}(T))$ . If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(D_0)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ , then  $\varphi f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$  and

$$D(\varphi f) = D_0 \varphi \cdot f + \varphi \cdot Df.$$

Hence, if  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

$$D(\varphi\,\xi) = D_0\,\varphi\cdot\xi\,.$$

Since all non-trivial derivations in  $C(K_{\infty}(T))$  are unbounded (<sup>3</sup>), and since D is closed, the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 15: If  $O(D) = C(K_{\infty}(T), 8)$ , then D = 0.

(<sup>3</sup>) See [12], or also [17] for a direct proof.

For all t > 0 and all  $g \in C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E})$ ,

$$\frac{1}{t} (\tilde{T}(t) | g - g)(x) = \frac{1}{t} (C_{t, x} - I)((L(t) | g)(x)) + \frac{1}{t} ((L(t) - I) | g)(x).$$

Hence, if  $g \in \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}) \cap \mathcal{O}(D)$ , the limit

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left( C_{t,x} - I \right) \left( (L(t) g)(x) \right) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left( C_{t,x} - I \right) (g(x)),$$

exists for all  $x \in K_{\infty}(T)$ , and

(25) 
$$(\widetilde{X} g)(x) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (C_{t,x} - I)(g(x)) + (Dg)(x).$$

In particular, letting

$$\mathcal{K} = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{E} : \xi \in \mathcal{Q}(\widetilde{X}) \}$$

then

(26) 
$$(\widetilde{X}\underline{\xi})(x) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\widetilde{T}(t) \underline{\xi} - \underline{\xi})(x)$$

$$=\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\frac{1}{t}\left(C_{t,x}-I\right)(\xi)$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$  and all  $x \in K_{\infty}(T)$ .

Since  $\widetilde{X}$  is closed and also the image  $\mathfrak{K}$  of  $\mathfrak{K}$  in  $C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathfrak{E})$  by the map  $\xi \mapsto \underline{\xi}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{Q}(\widetilde{X})$ , the operator  $\overline{X}_{|\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}$  is closed. As a consequence:

LEMMA 16: If  $\tilde{T}$  is strongly continuous, for every  $x \in K_{\infty}(T)$  the linear operator

$$Z_x: \mathcal{O}(Z_x) = \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

defined by

$$Z_x\xi = (\widetilde{X}\underline{\xi})(x)$$

is closed  $(^4)$ .

<sup>(4)</sup> Here is a direct proof. Let  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(Z_x)$  and let  $\{\xi_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{D}(Z_x)$ , converging to  $\xi$  and such that  $\{Z_x \xi_n\}$  converges to some  $\eta \in \delta$ . Since the sequences  $\{\underline{\xi}_n\}$  and  $\{\underline{Z_x \xi_n}\} = \{\widetilde{X} \underline{\xi}_n\}$  in  $C(M, \delta)$  converge respectively to  $\underline{\xi}$  and to  $\underline{\eta}$ , then  $\underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{X})$  and  $\underline{\eta} = \widetilde{X} \underline{\xi}$ , *i.e.*,  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(Z_x)$  and  $\eta = Z_x \xi$ .

$$-103 -$$

Let  $g \in \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}) \cap \mathcal{O}(D)$ . Since  $g(x) \in \mathcal{K}$ , (25) yields

(27) 
$$(\widetilde{X}g)(x) = Z_x(g(x)) + (Dg)(x)$$

ł

for all  $x \in K_{\infty}(T)$ .

If  $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{L}$ , that is, if  $\underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X})$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{L}$ , then  $\underline{g(x)} \in \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X})$ , and the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 17: If  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{E}$ , then  $Z_x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(D) = \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X})$  and (27) holds for all  $g \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ and all  $x \in K_{\infty}(T)$ .

Since the closed operator X is densely defined, conservative and m-dissipative, its spectrum  $\sigma(X)$  is non-empty, [16] (<sup>5</sup>). Either  $\sigma(X)$  is the closed left half-plane  $\{\zeta \in C : \Re \zeta \leq 0\}$ , or  $\sigma(X)$  is contained in the imaginary axis: in which case T is the restriction to  $\mathbf{R}_+$  of a strongly continuous group of surjective linear isometries of  $C(M, \delta)$  (and  $K_{\infty}(T) = M$ ).

If *T* is an eventually differentiable semigroup, according to a theorem of A. Pazy (see [11], Theorem 4.7, pp. 54-57), there are  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$  such that the resolvent set of *X* contains the set

$$[\zeta \in C : \Re \zeta \ge a - b \log |\Im \zeta|]$$

As a consequence, the first of the two possibilities listed above is ruled out, and  $\sigma(X)$  turns out to be a compact subset of the imaginary axis. But then (see [5], Corollary 8.20),  $X \in \mathcal{L}(C(M, \delta))$ . Hence  $\mathcal{Q}(X) = C(M, \delta)$ , and (25) — which holds (with  $\tilde{X}$  replaced by X) for all  $g \in C(M, \delta)$  and at all  $x \in M$  — yields:  $\mathcal{Q}(D) = C(M, \delta)$ . Thus, by Lemma 15 the following proposition holds.

PROPOSITION 5: If T is an eventually differentiable semigroup, there is a conservative operator  $X \in \mathcal{L}(C(M, \delta))$  such that T is the restriction to  $\mathbf{R}_+$  of the group  $G: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(C(M, \delta))$  of surjective linear isometries defined by

$$(G(t) f)(x) = ((\exp tX) f))(x)$$

for all  $f \in C(M, 8)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in M$ .

REMARK: The same argument as before shows, more in general, that any strongly continuous, eventually differentiable semigroup of linear isometries of a complex Banach space  $\mathcal{F}$  is the restriction to  $\mathbf{R}_+$  of a strongly continuous group of surjective linear isometries of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

(<sup>5</sup>) We correct a misprint in [16], where the inclusion  $r(X) \subset \Pi_r$  displayed at p. 309, shall be replaced by  $r(X) \supset \Pi_r$ .

6. Since, for  $t \ge 0$  and b > 0,

$$C_{t+b,x} = C_{t,x} \circ C_{b,\phi_t(x)},$$

then, for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ , (25) yields

$$\lim_{b \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{b} (C_{t+b,x} - C_{t,x})(\xi) = C_{t,x} \circ \lim_{b \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{b} (C_{b,\phi_t(x)} - I)(\xi)$$
$$= C_{t,x} ((\widetilde{X}\underline{\xi})(\phi_t(x))) = C_{t,x} (Z_{\phi_t(x)}(\xi))$$

Hence, the map  $t \mapsto C_{t,x}(\xi)$  of  $\mathbf{R}_+$  into  $\delta$  is of class  $C^1$  on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ , and

(28) 
$$\frac{d}{dt} C_{t,x}(\xi) = C_{t,x} \left( \widetilde{X}(\underline{\xi})(\phi_t(x)) \right)$$
$$= C_{t,x} \left( Z_{\phi_t(x)}(\xi) \right)$$

for all  $x \in K_{\infty}(T)$  and all  $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ .

For  $t \ge 0$ , let

$$A(t): \mathcal{O}(A(t)) \subset \mathcal{L}(C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E}), \mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{L}(C(K_{\infty}(T), \mathcal{E}), \mathcal{E})$$

be the linear operator defined on

$$\mathcal{O}(A(t)) = \mathcal{L}(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{K}), \mathcal{E})$$

by

$$(A(t) R)(\xi) = R(\widetilde{X}(\xi)),$$

i.e.

$$\begin{split} ((A(t) \ R)(\xi))_x &= (R(\widetilde{X}(\underline{\xi})))_x \\ &= R_x(Z_{\phi_t(x)}(\xi)) \,, \end{split}$$

where  $R \in \mathcal{L}(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{K}), \mathcal{E}))$ .

Let  $C_t \in C(\overline{M}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}))$  be defined by

 $C_t: x \mapsto C_{t,x}.$ 

Then (28) yields the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} C_t = A(t) C_t \\ C_0 = I, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{d}{dt} C_t\right)_x = C_{t,x} \left(Z_{\phi_t(x)}(\xi)\right) \\ C_{0,x} = I \end{cases}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ ,  $x \in K_{\infty}(T)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ .

As before, let  $\mathcal{E}$  be strictly convex and let  $T : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(C(M), \mathcal{E})$  be a strongly continuous group of linear isometries of  $C(M, \mathcal{E})$ . Then  $K_{\infty}(T) = M$ , and T is expressed by

$$(T(t) f)(x) = C_{t,x}(f(\phi_t(x)))$$

for all  $f \in C(M, \delta)$ ,  $x \in M$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\phi : t \mapsto \phi_t$  is a continuous flow on M, and  $C_{t,x} \in \mathcal{L}(\delta)$  is a surjective isometry such that

$$C_{t+s,x} = C_{t,x} \circ C_{s,\phi_t(x)} \qquad \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}, x \in M$$

Suppose now that *M* is a compact differentiable (*i.e.*  $C^{\infty}$ ) manifold, and that the flow  $\phi$  is determined by a  $C^{\infty}$  vector field v on *M*. For any  $f \in C^1(M, \delta)$  we define  $v(f) \in C(M, \delta)$  componentwise; that is to say, setting for  $x \in M$  and  $\lambda \in \delta'$ ,

$$\langle (v(f))(x), \lambda \rangle = (v(\langle f(\cdot), \lambda \rangle))(x)$$

Clearly

$$f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathcal{E}) \Rightarrow v(f) \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathcal{E}).$$

If  $L : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(C(M, \delta))$  is the group defined by (23) for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $g \in C(M, \delta)$ , and if *D* is its infinitesimal generator, then

$$C^{\infty}(M, \mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{O}(D)$$

and

$$D(f) = v(f) \qquad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(M, \, \mathcal{E}) \,.$$

LEMMA 18: If the map  $x \mapsto C_{t,x}$  of M into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$  is of class  $C^{\infty}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , tha map  $t \mapsto C_{t,x}$  is of class  $C^{\infty}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in M$ .

PROOF: For  $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  and r > 0, let  $\varrho : \mathbf{R} \to [0, 1]$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  function for which

$$\begin{split} \varrho(t) &= 1 & if |t - t_0| \leq r \\ 0 < \varrho(t) < 1 & if r < |t - t_0| < 2r \\ \varrho(t) &= 0 & if |t - t_0| \geq 2r \,. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(s) \ C_{t+s,x} ds = C_{t,x} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(s) \ C_{s,\phi_t(x)} ds \right)$$

i.e.,

$$\int_{\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(s-t) C_{s,x} ds = C_{t,x} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(s) C_{s,\phi_t(x)} ds \right).$$

A neighbourhood U of  $t_0$  in **R** and r > 0 can be so chosen that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(s) C_{s, \phi_t(s)} ds \neq 0$$

whenever  $t \in U$ .

Differentiation with respect to  $t \in U$  shows that the function  $t \mapsto C_{t,x}$  is of class  $C^1$  on U for all  $x \in M$ , and

$$-\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{d\varrho}{dt}\right)(s-t) C_{s,x} ds = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} C_{t,x} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(s) C_{s,\phi_t(x)} ds\right) + C_{t,x} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho(s) v(C_{s,\phi_t(x)}) ds\right).$$

Iteration of this computation completes the proof of the lemma.

Thus,  $Z_x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$  for all  $x \in M$ , and

$$Z_x = \frac{d}{dt} C_{t,x}.$$

By the same argument leading to Theorem 4 of [17] one proves then

THEOREM 10: If the strongly continuous group  $T: \mathbf{R} \to \mathcal{L}(C(M, \delta))$  of linear isometries is such that

$$T(t) \ C^{\infty}(M, \, \mathcal{E}) \subset C^{\infty}(M, \, \mathcal{E}) \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} ,$$

then:  $\mathcal{O}(D) = \mathcal{O}(X)$ ; (27) holds for all  $g \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  and all  $x \in M$ , where  $Z_x$  is expressed by (29), and  $C^{\infty}(M, \mathcal{E})$  is a core for X.

7. If dim  $\& < \infty$  and dim  $\mathcal{F} < \infty$ , the sets K(A) and K(T(t)) for all  $t \ge 0$  are closed,  $K_{\infty}(T)$  is closed and non-empty, the linear isometries  $C_{A,x}$  and  $C_{t,x}$  are invertible for all  $t \ge 0$ .

If the semigroup T (or the semigroup  $\tilde{T}$ ) is strongly continuous, the isometries  $C_{t,x}$  are continuous functions of  $(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times M$  (or of  $(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times K_{\infty}(T)$  respectively). In the case in which  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} = \mathbf{C}$ , [9],  $C_{y}$  is represented by a continuous function

In the case in which  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}$ , [9],  $C_y$  is represented by a continuous function  $\alpha : M \rightarrow \partial \Delta$ ; (4) and Theorem 2 yield

$$\Theta(C(M)) = \left\{ h \in C(M) : |h(x)| = 1 \quad \forall x \in M \right\},$$
$$\Theta(C(M)') = \left\{ c\delta_x : c \in \partial \varDelta, x \in M \right\}.$$

LEMMA 19: [15] If  $\lambda \in C(M)'$ , then  $\lambda \in \Theta(C(M)')$  if, and only if,

 $|\langle b, \lambda \rangle| = 1$ 

for all  $h \in \Theta(C(M))$ .

Theorem 4 generalizes the second part of the following

THEOREM 11: [15] If either

(30) 
$$A(\Theta(C(M))) \subset \Theta(C(N)),$$

or

(31) 
$$A'(\Theta(C(N)')) \subset \Theta(C(M)')$$

then K(A) = N, i.e,

(32) 
$$(Af)(y) = \alpha(y) \cdot (f \circ \psi(y)) \quad \forall y \in K(A), \quad f \in C(M).$$

PROOF: The theorem is equivalent to the following chain of implications:

$$(30) \Rightarrow (31) \Rightarrow (32) \Rightarrow (30).$$

If (31) holds, for every  $y \in N$  there are a unique  $x \in M$  and a unique  $c \in \partial \Delta$  for which

 $A' \delta_{y} = c \delta_{x},$ 

i.e.,

$$(Af)(y) = cf(x)$$

for all  $f \in C(M)$ . Setting  $c = \alpha(y)$  and  $x = \psi(y)$ , (32) follows. If (30) holds, then, for every  $y \in N$  and all  $h \in \Theta(M)$ ,

 $1 = \left| (Ab)(y) \right| = \left| \langle Ab, \delta_y \rangle \right| = \left| \langle b, A' \delta_y \rangle \right|,$ 

and therefore, by Lemma 19, (31) holds.

Viceversa, if (32) is satisfied, with  $\alpha \in \Theta(N)$  and  $\psi$  a continuous surjective map of N onto M, then (30) holds.

By the Tietze extension theorem, Lemma 14 yields

PROPOSITION 6: If dim<sub>c</sub>  $\mathcal{E} < \infty$ , the operator  $\widetilde{X}$  is the closure of Y.

We consider now the strongly continuous semigroup  $T : \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathcal{L}(C(M))$  of linear isometries of C(M), and the strongly continuous semigroup  $\widetilde{T} : \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathcal{L}(C(K_{\infty}(T)))$  expressed on any  $g \in C(K_{\infty}(T))$  by

$$(\tilde{T}(t) g)(x) = \alpha_t(x) g(\phi_t(x)),$$

where  $\alpha_t \in \Theta(C(K_{\infty}(T)))$  is a continuous function of t, and  $\phi : t \mapsto \phi_t$  is a continuous semiflow on  $K_{\infty}(T)$ .

The existence of fixed points of the semiflow  $\phi$  yields some information on the point spectrum  $p\sigma(X)$  and the residual spectrum  $r\sigma(X)$  of X, as will be illustrated now in the case  $\delta = C$ .

If  $x_0 \in K_{\infty}(T)$  is fixed by  $\phi$ , *i.e.*,

$$\phi_t(x_0) = x_0 \qquad \forall t \ge 0 ,$$

then

(33) 
$$(T(t) f)(x_0) = \alpha_t(x_0) f(\phi_t(x_0)) = \alpha_t(x_0) f(x_0)$$

for all  $f \in C(M)$ , and

$$\alpha_{t+s}(x_0) = \alpha_t(x_0) \ \alpha_s(\phi_t(x_0)) = \alpha_t(x_0) \ \alpha_s(x_0)$$

for all  $t, s \ge 0$ .

Letting

$$\alpha_{-t}(x_0) = \frac{1}{\alpha_t(x_0)} = \overline{\alpha_t(x_0)},$$

we extend the map  $\mathbf{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto \alpha_t(x_0)$  to a continuous homomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}$  into the multiplicative group  $\partial \Delta$ . Hence there is  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

(34) 
$$\alpha_t(x_0) = e^{iat}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and therefore (33) becomes

$$(T(t) f)(x_0) = e^{iat} f(x_0) \qquad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

i.e.,

$$\langle (T(t) - e^{iat}I, \delta_{x_0} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}_+$$

For any  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (Xf)(x_0) &= \langle Xf, \, \delta_{x_0} \rangle = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \left\langle \frac{1}{t} \left( T(t) - I \right) \, f, \, \delta_{x_0} \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left( \alpha_t(x_0) \, f(\phi_t(x_0) - f(x_0)) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left( \alpha_t(x_0) - 1 \right) \, f(x_0) \\ &= \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left( e^{iat} - 1 \right) \, f(x_0) = iaf(x_0) = \langle (X - iaI) \, f, \, \delta_{x_0} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $ia \in p\sigma(X) \cup r\sigma(X)$ .

In conclusion, the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 12: If  $x_0 \in K_{\infty}(T)$  is fixed by the semiflow  $\phi$ , there is  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $ia \in p\sigma(X) \cup r\sigma(X)$ , and (34) holds for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

If *ia* is an isolated point of  $\sigma(X)$ , then ([14], p. 178)  $ia \in p\sigma(X)$ .

## REFERENCES

- W. ARENDT, Characterization of positive semigroups on C<sub>0</sub>(X), in R. Nagel (ed), One parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, n. 1184, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New york/Tokyo, 1980, 122-162.
- [2] S. BANACH, Théorie des opérations linéaires, in «Monografie Matematyczne», PWN, Warsaw, 1932; Dover, New York, 1955.
- [3] E. BEHRENDS, M-Structure and the Banach-Stone-Theorem, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1979.
- [4] M. CAMBERN, A Holsztyński theorem for spaces of continuous vector-valued functions, Studia Math., 63 (1978), 213-217.
- [5] E. B. DAVIES, One parameter semigroups, Academic Press, London, 1980.
- [6] N. DUNFORD J. P. SCHWARTZ, Linear Operators, I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [7] K. HOFFMAN, Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1962.
- [8] E. HILLE R. S. PHILLIPS, Functional Analysis and Semigroups, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., Vol. 31, Providence R.I., 1957.
- [9] W. HOLSZTYNSKI, Continuous mappings induced by isometries of spaces of continuous functions, Studia Math., **26** (1966), 133-136.
- [10] M. JERISON, The space of bounded maps into a Banach space, Ann. of Math., 52 (1950), 309-327.
- [11] A. PAZY, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin/Heidelberg/Tokyo, 1983.
- [12] S. SAKAI, C\*-algebras and W\*-algebras, Springer-Verlag, New York/Heidelberg/Berlin, 1971.
- [13] M. H. STONE, Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 41 (1937), 375-481.

- [14] J. VAN NEERVEN, *The Asymptotic Behaviour of Semigroups of Linear Operators*, Birkhäuser, Verlag, Basel/Boston/Berlin, 1996.
- [15] E. VESENTINI, On the Banach-Stone theorem, Advances in Math., 112 (1995), 135-146.
- [16] E. VESENTINI, Conservative operators, in: P. Marcellini, G. Talenti, E. Vesentini (ed), Partial differential equations and applications, Marcel Dekker, New York/Basel/Hong Kong, 1996, 303-311.
- [17] E. VESENTINI, Semiflows and semigroups, Rend. Mat. Acc. Lincei, (9) 7 (1996), 75-82.
- [18] E. VESENTINI, Periodicity and Almost Periodicity in Markov Lattice Semigroups, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, (4) 25 (1997), 829-839.
- [19] E. VESENTINI, Periodic points and non-wandering points of continuous dynamical systems, Advances in Math., 134 (1998), 308-327.

Direttore responsabile: Prof. A. BALLIO - Autorizz. Trib. di Roma n. 7269 dell'8-12-1959 «Monograf» - Via Collamarini, 5 - Bologna