



Rendiconti
Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL
Memorie di Matematica
110° (1992), Vol. XVI, fasc. 10, pagg. 185-192

GIOCONDA MOSCARIELLO (*)

A Remark on the Regularity of Minima of Certain non Quadratic Functionals (**)

SUMMARY. — We prove a global regularity result for minimizers of a class of integral functionals whose integrand $f(x, u, \xi)$ grows polynomially like $|z|^p$, $1 < p < 2$.

Un'osservazione sulla regolarità dei minimi di alcuni funzionali non quadratici

SUNTO. — Si prova un risultato di regolarità globale per minimi di una classe di integrali variazionali il cui integrando $f(x, u, \xi)$ ha una crescita polinomiale del tipo $|z|^p$ con $1 < p < 2$.

INTRODUCTION

Let f be a function defined on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and set

$$(0.1) \quad I(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) dx,$$

where Ω is a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n . We recall that a minimizer for (0.1) is a function u such that

$$I(u, \text{spt } \varphi) \leq I(u + \varphi, \text{spt } \varphi),$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In this paper we shall prove global regularity for minimizers of functionals of the type (0.1) when the integrand $f(x, u, \xi)$ grows polynomially like $|z|^p$, $1 < p < 2$.

More precisely we assume that for a suitable $\mu \geq 0$ the function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, verifies the following conditions:

$$(H_1) \quad c_1(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{p/2} \leq f(x, u, \xi) \leq c(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{p/2},$$

$$(H_2) \quad |f_{\xi\xi}(x, u, \xi)| \leq c(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{p-2/2};$$

(*) Indirizzo dell'autore: Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni «R. Caccioppoli», Complesso Monte S. Angelo, Edificio T, Via Cintia, 80126 Napoli.

(**) Memoria presentata il 26 giugno 1992 da Mario Troisi, socio dell'Accademia.

- (H₁) $\langle f_{\Xi}(x, u, \xi), \eta \rangle \geq (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{p-2/2} |\eta|^2;$
 (H₂) $|f_{\Xi}(x, u, \xi) - f_{\Xi}(x, u, \eta)| \leq c(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{(p-2)/2} (\mu^2 + |\eta|^2)^{(p-2)/2} (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{2-p-s/2} |\xi - \eta|^s;$

for $1 < p < 2$, $s \in (0, 2-p)$ and μ, c_1, c, s independent of (x, u) ;

- (H₃) $|f(x, u, \xi) - f(y, v, \xi)| \leq (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{p/2} \omega(|u|, |x-y| + |u-v|);$

where $\omega(s, r) = k(s) \min\{r^\gamma, L\}$ for some $L > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, and k is increasing.

Then we have:

THEOREM 1: *Let $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be a minimizer of (0.1) with f satisfying (H₁) ... (H₃). Then Du is locally λ -Holder continuous for some $\lambda > 0$.*

Moreover, if $f = f(\xi)$ satisfies only (H₁) ... (H₃), $u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

In [4] M. Giaquinta and G. Modica proved a result of partial regularity for vector valued minima of the functional (0.1) in the case $p \geq 2$. In the same paper they also showed that it is possible to deduce from this general result, global regularity in the scalar case.

On the other hand in a paper by E. Acerbi and N. Fusco [1], partial regularity is proved for vector valued minimizers of (0.1) in the case $1 < p < 2$.

In this paper we show that, as M. Giaquinta and G. Modica did in [4] when $p \geq 2$, it is still possible to deduce from the result of E. Acerbi and N. Fusco [1] global regularity in the scalar case when $1 < p < 2$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is straightforward from the result of E. Acerbi and N. Fusco when $f(x, u, \xi)$ satisfies (H₁) ... (H₃) with $\mu \neq 0$. Actually the case $\mu = 0$ is the truly interesting one and require be treated an approximation argument based on Lemma 2.6.

The main tool of the proof of $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity is given by the two integral estimates (1.4) and (1.5) for minimizers of functionals not depending on (x, u) , proved in [1]. Deriving (1.5) is the only part of the proof in which we use assumption (H₄).

By different and more involved methods, which can only work in the scalar case, J. Manfredi in [6] proved a similar result when $p > 1$. However we remark that here we get also an existence result for second derivatives, result not contained in [6].

1. - PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In the following the letter c will denote any constant and $B_R(x_0)$ the ball centred at $x_0 \in R^n$ of radius R .

If $u \in L^p(\Omega)$, for any $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$, we set

$$\mu_{R, x_0} = \frac{1}{\text{mes } B_R} \int_{B_R(x_0)} u(x) dx = \int_{B_R(x_0)} u(x) dx.$$

If no confusion is possible we omit the indication of the centre of the ball, $B_R = B_R(x_0)$.

LEMMA 1.1: *For every $\gamma \in (-1/2, 0)$ and $\mu \geq 0$ we have:*

$$(2\gamma + 1)|\xi - \eta| \leq \frac{[(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^\gamma \xi - (\mu^2 + |\eta|^2)^\gamma \eta]}{(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^\gamma} \leq \frac{c(n)}{2\gamma + 1} |\xi - \eta|,$$

for every $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

PROOF: see [1].

Let $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}$ be a minimiser of (0.1), with $\mu \geq 0$, $1 < p < 2$.
We set

$$H(\xi) = (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{p/2},$$

$$V(\xi) = (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{p/2+1} \xi,$$

$$\Phi(x_0, R) = \int_{B_R(x_0)} |V(Du) - (V(Du))_{x_0, R}|^2 dx.$$

Let us recall some results proved in [1], that we'll use in the following

PROPOSITION 1.2: *If f satisfies (H₁), there are two constants $c > 0$ and $q > 1$, both independent of μ , such that:*

$$\left(\int_{B_R} H^q(Du) dx \right)^{1/q} \leq c \int_{B_R} H(Du) dx,$$

for every $B_R \subset \Omega$.

From now let $\mu > 0$, and $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ independent of (x, u) .

PROPOSITION 1.3: (See [1]) *Let be a function of class C^2 satisfying (H₁), (H₂). Then $u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}$, $V(Du) \in W_{loc}^{1,2}$. Moreover*

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{B_R} |D(V(Du))|^2 dx \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{B_R} H(Du) dx,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{B_R} (\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{p-2/2} |D^2 u|^2 dx \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{B_R} H(Du) dx,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{B_R(0)} |D^2 u|^p dx \leq \frac{c}{R^p} \int_{B_1} H(Du) dx,$$

for a suitable c independent of μ .

LEMMA 1.4: (See [1]) Let f be a function of class C^2 satisfying (H₁) ... (H₃). Then

$$H(Du) \in W_{loc}^{1,s}, \quad \text{where } s = \frac{2n}{2n - p} > 1,$$

$$f_{t_0}(Du) \in W_{loc}^{1,2}, \quad D(f_{t_0}(Du)) = f_{t_0,t_0}(Du) D(D_\beta u).$$

From now on, (H₁) ... (H₄) are always assumed for $f = f(\xi)$.

PROPOSITION 1.5: Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a minimizer of (0.1). Then the function $H(Du)$ is locally bounded in Ω . Moreover there are two constants c and $\sigma > 1$ both independent of $\mu > 0$, s.t.

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} H(Du) dx \leq c \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{R} \right)^\sigma \int_{B_1} H(Du) dx,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \Phi(x_0, \rho) \leq c \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{R} \right)^\sigma \Phi(x_0, R),$$

for every $B_R \subset \Omega$ and $\rho < R$.

PROOF: The proof follows the same lines of the proof of Proposition 2.11 of [1]. For completeness we sketch it.

From Proposition 1.2 and Lemma 1.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} V(Du), H^{1/2}(Du) &\in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega), \\ f_{t_0}(Du) &\in W_{loc}^{1,2} \quad \text{and} \quad D(f_{t_0}(Du)) = f_{t_0,t_0}(Du) D(D_\beta u). \end{aligned}$$

We now set

$$A_{\alpha\beta}(x) = (\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{(2-s)/2} f_{t_0,t_0}(Du).$$

We remark that if (H₁) ... (H₄) hold, then A is a uniformly elliptic matrix with bounded coefficients, and the ratio of the greatest to the least eigenvalue are bounded independent of μ . Then by the same arguments used in [1] (Proposition 2.6), we get

$$\int A_{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha(H(Du)) D_\beta \varphi dx \leq -c \int |DV(Du)|^2 \varphi dx$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ with $\varphi \geq 0$.

Now the proof continues exactly as in [1] (Proposition 2.7, 2.8). The relation (1.4) follows from Proposition 1.2.

To extend this result to the case $\mu = 0$ we will approximate the function f . We remark that the approximation used in the case $p \geq 2$ doesn't work in this case.

Let N be a positive integer and $\eta(t)$ a function such that

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ 1 & t \geq N, \end{cases}$$

$$0 \leq \eta(t) \leq 1, \quad \eta(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$|\eta'| \leq c/(N-1), \quad |\eta''| \leq c/(N-1)^2, \quad |\eta'''| \leq c/(N-1)^3.$$

LEMMA 1.6: If f satisfy (H₁) ... (H₄) with $\mu = 0$, we set for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$f_\varepsilon(\xi) = \eta\left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)f(\xi) + \left(1 - \eta\left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\right)(|\xi|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{\mu/2}.$$

Then for a suitable N , the function $f_\varepsilon(\xi)$ verifies (H₁) ... (H₄) with $\mu = \varepsilon$ and the constants c, c_1, α independent of ε and N .

PROOF: The property (H₁) of f_ε is immediately verified. The properties (H₂) ... (H₄) require many, but easy calculations.

PROPOSITION 1.7: The result of Proposition 1.5 holds also in the case $\mu = 0$.

PROOF: For every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, let u_ε be the (only) minimum point of

$$\int_B f_\varepsilon(Dv) dx,$$

in the space $u + W_0^{1,p}(B)$, $B \subset\subset \Omega$.

By (H₁) and the relations (1.1), (1.3) it's easy to verify that, at least for a subsequence:

$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W_{loc}^{2,p}(B)$ and weakly in $u + W_0^{1,p}(B)$,

$(\varepsilon^2 + |Du_\varepsilon|^2)^{(\varepsilon-2)/4} Du_\varepsilon \rightarrow |Du|^{(\varepsilon-2)/2} Du$ weakly in $W_{loc}^{1,2}(B)$.

Then the result follows by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in Proposition 1.5.

2. - PROOF OF THEOREM 3

The following theorem is proved in [3].

THEOREM 2.1: Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a minimizer of the functional (0.1) with $f = f(x, u, \xi)$ verifying (H₁).

Then u is locally Hölder-continuous with some exponent $\alpha > 0$. Moreover for any

$x_0 \in \Omega$ and $R < \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)$ we have:

$$\int_{B_R} (\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{p/2} dx \leq c R^{n-p-p\alpha}.$$

Throughout this section we shall assume that the function $f = f(x, u, \xi)$ satisfies (H₁) ... (H₃).

In order to prove the Theorem 1 we compare a minimizer u of (0.1) with the solution of a problem independent of (x, u) .

Proposition 2.2: *Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a minimizer of (0.1). Then $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for any $0 < \alpha < 1$. Moreover for any $0 < \sigma < 1$ there are constants R_0, c such that for any $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $\rho, R, 0 < \rho < R < \inf(R_0, \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega))$ we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{B_\rho} H(Du) dx \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^{-p+\rho\alpha} \int_{B_R} H(Du) dx.$$

PROOF: Let $x_0 \in \Omega$, $R < \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)$, $u_0 = u_{x_0, R/2}$ and $f^0(\xi) = f(x_0, u_0, \xi)$. Let $v \in W^{1,p}(B_{R/2}(x_0))$ be the minimum point of

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} f^0(Dv) dx,$$

in the space $u + W_0^{1,p}(B_{R/2})$.

From (1.4) we get

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{B_\rho} H(Du) dx \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^n \int_{B_{R/2}} H(Du) dx + c \int_{B_{R/2}} |D(u - v)|^p dx,$$

On the other hand by Lemma 1.2 and Hölder inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R/2}} |D(u - v)|^p dx &\leq c \int_{B_{R/2}} [|V(Du) - V(Dv)| (\mu^2 + |Du|^2 + |Dv|^2)^{(p-1)/2}]^p dx \leq \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} |V(Du) - V(Dv)|^2 dx \right)^{p/2} \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} (\mu^2 + |Du|^2 + |Dv|^2)^{p/2} dx \right)^{(2-p)/2} \leq \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} [f^0(Du) - f^0(Dv)] dx \right)^{p/2} \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} (\mu^2 + |Du|^2 + |Dv|^2)^{p/2} dx \right)^{(2-p)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by (H₁). Now we observe that (see [1], Lem-

ma 3.3)

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{B_R} [f^0 D(u) - f^0 D(v)] dx \leq c k(|u_0|) \int_{B_R} H(Du) dx \left(R^\beta \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^p) dx \right)^{(q-1)/q},$$

where q is the exponent in Proposition 1.2.

So by (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) we get:

$$\int_{B_R} H(Du) dx \leq c \left[\left(\frac{R}{R} \right)^q + k(|u_0|)^{q/2} \left(R^\beta + R^\beta \int_{B_R} H(Du) dx \right)^{\beta q/2} \right] \int_{B_R} H(Du) dx,$$

where $\beta = (q-1)/q$.

The result follows from Theorem 2.1 as in [3].

We are now ready to prove the Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: Let v be the function defined in Proposition 2.1. Then by relation (1.5) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |V(Du) - V(Dv)|_{\mu, p}^2 dx &\leq c \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{q+2p} \int_{B_{R/2}} |V(Du) - V(Dv)|_{\mu, R/2}^2 dx + \\ &\quad + c \int_{B_{R/2}} |V(Du) - V(Dv)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (H₁):

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} |V(Du) - V(Dv)|^2 dx \leq c \int_{B_{R/2}} |f^0(Du) - f^0(Dv)| dx.$$

Then we get result as in Theorem 6.4 of [4].

To prove $u \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(D)$ it is enough to remark that (1.2) still holds if $\mu = 0$ and moreover Du is locally bounded.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Acerbi - N. Fusco, *Regularity for minimizers of non-quadratic functionals: the case $1 < p < 2$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., 140 (1989), 115-135.
- [2] E. Di Benedetto, *$C^{1,\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solution of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., 7 (1983), 827-850.
- [3] M. Giacquinta, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Elliptic Systems*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1983).
- [4] M. Giacquinta - G. Modica, *Remarks on the regularity of the minimizers of certain degenerate functionals*, Manuscripta Math., 57 (1986), 55-99.
- [5] D. Gilbarg - N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Differential Equations of Second Order*, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin (1984).

- [6] J. S. MANFREDI, *Regularity for minima of functionals with p -growth*, J. Diff. Eq., 76 (1988), 203-212.
- [7] P. TOLKSDORF, *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Diff. Eq., 51 (1984), 126-150.
- [8] K. UHLENBECK, *Regularity for a class of nonlinear elliptic systems*, Acta Math., 138 (1977), 219-240.