



Rendiconti

Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL

*Memorie di Matematica*

109° (1991), Vol. XV, fasc. 13, pagg. 213-218

PIER MARIO GANDINI - ANDREANA ZUCCO (\*)

## A Nowhere Dense but not Porous Set in the Space of Convex Bodies (\*\*)

ABSTRACT. — We give an example of a subset which is nowhere dense but not porous in the space of all convex bodies.

### Un insieme raro ma non poroso nello spazio dei corpi convessi

RIASSUNTO. — La nozione di insieme poroso ( $\sigma$ -poroso) fu estesa ad uno spazio metrico qualsiasi nel 1976 da Zajíček. Tale nozione rappresenta un raffinamento della nozione di insieme raro (magro) in tutti quegli spazi in cui è possibile dare esempi di insiemi rari, ma non porosi ( $\sigma$ -porosi). Questo problema, risolto in uno spazio di Banach, è ancora aperto nello spazio  $C$  dei corpi convessi dotato della topologia indotta dalla metrica di Hausdorff. Nel presente lavoro si contribuisce alla soluzione di tale problema con un esempio in  $C$  di un insieme raro, ma non poroso.

The notion of porous set on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  was introduced by Dolženko in 1967 [2] and was generalized to a general metric space by Zajíček in 1976 [3].

In this paper we shall use the following definition of porous set [5].

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $B(x, \epsilon)$  denotes the ball of center  $x \in X$  and radius  $\epsilon$ . A subset  $M$  of  $X$  is porous (with coefficient  $\alpha$ ) if there is a real number  $\alpha > 0$  such that for each  $x \in X$  and for each ball  $B(x, \epsilon)$  there exists an element  $y \in B(x, \epsilon)$  such that:

$$B(y, \alpha d(x, y)) \cap M = \emptyset.$$

A countable union of porous sets (all with coefficient  $\alpha$ ) is called  $\sigma$ -porous (with coefficient  $\alpha$ ).

It is obvious that a porous set is also nowhere dense and that a  $\sigma$ -porous set is mea-

(\*) Indirizzo degli Autori: Dipartimento di Matematica dell'Università di Torino; Via Carlo Alberto 10; I-10123 Torino.

(\*\*) Nota presentata il 17 ottobre 1991 da Giuseppe Scorza Dragoni, uno dei XL.

ger. The problem of finding nowhere dense sets which are not  $\sigma$ -porous is solved in the euclidean  $d$ -dimensional space  $E^d$  and more generally in a Banach space but «it is not known at which more general metric space» such a problem can be solved ([4], page 322).

In this paper we give a contribution to the solution of this problem by showing an example of a subset which is nowhere dense but not porous in the space  $C$  of all convex bodies endowed with the Hausdorff metric.

Eventually we recall that a convex body is a compact convex subset of  $E^d$  with nonempty interior and that if  $C, D \in C$  their Hausdorff distance  $\delta(C, D)$  is defined in the following way:

$$\delta(C, D) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in C} \inf_{y \in D} d(x, y), \sup_{y \in D} \inf_{x \in C} d(x, y) \right\},$$

where  $d$  is the usual euclidean distance.

If for  $F \in C$  and for each positive real number  $\rho$ , we put

$$F_\rho = \{x \in E^d: d(x, F) \leq \rho\}$$

we have also that

$$\delta(C, D) = \inf \{ \rho: C_\rho \supset D \text{ and } D_\rho \supset C \}.$$

NOTATIONS: The ball of  $E^d$  of center a point  $x$  and radius  $\varepsilon$  will be denoted by  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  while the ball of  $C$  of center an element  $C$  of  $C$  and radius  $\varepsilon$  will be denoted by  $B(C, \varepsilon)$ .

The abbreviations  $bd$ ,  $int$  and  $conv$  stand for boundary, interior and convex hull.

#### THE EXAMPLE

Choose on a straightline  $R$  of the euclidean  $d$ -dimensional space  $E^d$  a nowhere dense but not porous subset  $M$  of real numbers and define:

$$M = \{C \in C: (bd C) \cap M \neq \emptyset\}.$$

##### 1. - $M$ IS NOT POROUS IN $C$

Since  $M$  is not porous in  $R$ , for each real number  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , there are an element  $x \in R$  and a positive  $\varepsilon$  such that, for each  $z \in B(x, \varepsilon)$ ,

$$B(z, \alpha d(x, z)) \cap M \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $C$  be a ball with center in a point  $c$  of  $R$ , radius  $\rho$  greater than  $(5 + 3\sqrt{2})\varepsilon$  and such that  $x \in bd C$ .



We shall show that, for each  $D \in \mathcal{B}(C, \varepsilon)$ ,

$$(1) \quad B(D, \alpha\delta(D, C)) \cap M \neq \emptyset.$$

Firstly we prove that, if  $z$  is the point of  $(\text{bd } D) \cap \mathbb{R}$  belonging also to the interval  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$(2) \quad d(x, z) \leq \delta(C, D).$$

Indeed, if  $z \notin \text{int } C$  we have easily:

$$d(z, x) = d(z, C) \leq \delta(D, C).$$

If  $z \in \text{int } C$  we take a support hyperplane  $\pi$  to  $D$  at the point  $z$ . Afterwards we consider the hyperplane  $\pi'$  parallel to  $\pi$ , tangent to  $C$  such that the point  $v$  common to  $C$  and  $\pi$  belongs to the halfspace bounded by  $\pi$  and not containing  $c$ . Therefore:

$$d(z, x) \leq d(v, \pi) \leq d(v, D) \leq \delta(D, C)$$

and again (2) follows.

Now let  $q$  be an element of  $B(z, \alpha d(x, z)) \cap M$ .

a) If  $q \notin \text{int } D$ , we set

$$F = \text{conv} \{D \cup \{q\}\}.$$

Since  $q \in (\text{bd } F) \cap M$  it follows that  $F \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Moreover, using also (2):

$$\delta(F, D) \leq d(q, D) \leq d(q, z) < \alpha d(x, z) \leq \alpha \delta(D, C).$$

Therefore  $F \in \mathcal{B}(D, \alpha\delta(D, C))$  and (1) holds.

b) Let us assume now that  $q \in \text{int } D$ . Then we can choose a point  $m$  of  $\text{bd } D$  such that  $d(q, \text{bd } D) = d(q, m)$ . Afterwards we consider the hyperplane  $\pi$  through  $q$  and parallel to a support hyperplane  $\pi'$  to  $D$  at  $m$ . Then if  $P$  is the closed halfspace bounded by  $\pi$  and not containing  $m$ , we set

$$F = D \cap P.$$

We shall prove that

$$(3) \quad \delta(F, D) \leq d(q, m).$$

If we put  $d(q, m) = \gamma$ , we have obviously that  $D_\gamma \supset F$ . So there is only to prove that a point  $a$  of  $D$  but not of  $F$  belongs also to  $F_\gamma$ .

Let  $a'$  be the point of  $\pi$  such that the straightline  $aa'$  is perpendicular to  $\pi$ . We claim that

$$(4) \quad a' \in (\text{bd } F) \cap \pi.$$

Indeed, if otherwise  $a' \notin (\text{bd } F) \cap \pi$ , we can choose a straightline  $S$  of  $\pi$  through  $a'$  which meets  $(\text{bd } F) \cap \pi$ . We put  $S \cap (\text{bd } F) \cap \pi = [b, e]$  and we choose the points  $b$  and  $e$  in such a way that  $d(a', b) < d(a', e)$ .

Now we observe that from the definition of the points  $m$ ,  $q$  and  $z$  we have that

$$d(\pi, \pi') \leq d(q, m) < d(q, z) < \alpha d(x, z) < \alpha \varepsilon < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, since  $\pi'$  does not intersect the ball of center  $c$  and radius  $\rho - \varepsilon$ , the hyperplane  $\pi$  does not intersect the ball  $C'$  of center  $c$  and radius  $\rho - 2\varepsilon$ . Since  $\rho > (5 + 3\sqrt{2})\varepsilon$ , it follows then that the straightline through  $b$  and parallel to the straightline  $aa'$  cuts the boundary of  $C'$  in two points. If  $f$  is one of them,

$$\text{conv}\{b, e, f\}$$

is a convex set of the plane spanned by the points  $a, a', b$  and its interior points are also interior points of  $D$ .

Then the straightline through the points  $a$  and  $b$  would contain the point  $b$  of  $\text{bd } D$ , points of  $\text{int } D$  on one of the two half-lines bounded by  $b$  and points of  $D$  on the other one, which is a contradiction.

Then (4) holds.

Therefore:

$$d(a, F) \leq d(a, a') \leq d(\pi, \pi') \leq \gamma,$$

hence  $a \in F_\gamma$  and also (3) holds.

Now, from (3) and (2), we can obtain that

$$\delta(D, F) \leq d(m, q) \leq d(q, z) < \alpha d(x, z) \leq \alpha \delta(D, C)$$

i.e.

$$F \in B(D, \alpha \delta(D, C)).$$

Since moreover  $q \in (\text{bd } F) \cap M$ , we have that (1) is fulfilled and  $M$  is not porous in  $C$ .

## 2. - $M$ IS NOWHERE DENSE IN $C$

We shall show that for each nonempty open set  $B(D, \varepsilon)$  of  $C$  ( $D \in C$ ) it is possible to find another non empty open set  $B(E, \eta)$  contained in  $B(D, \varepsilon)$  and disjoint from  $M$ . There are three cases.

i)  $(\text{bd } D) \cap R = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a positive real number such that

$$2\alpha < \min(\varepsilon, d(D, R)).$$

Since  $D \subset D_\alpha \subset (D_\alpha)_\alpha$ , from Lemma 12.9.13 of [1], vol. 3 page 139, there exists a positive  $\eta$  such that, if  $S \in C$  and  $\delta(D_\alpha, S) \leq \eta$ , then  $D \subset S \subset (D_\alpha)_\alpha$ . Therefore



$(\text{bd } S) \cap R = \emptyset$  and

$$B(D_\alpha, \eta)$$

is an open set contained in  $B(D, \varepsilon)$  and disjoint from  $M$ .

ii)  $(\text{bd } D) \cap R = \{x_1, x_2\}$  i.e. two points of  $R$ .

Since  $D \subset D_{\varepsilon/2}$  also  $\text{bd } D_{\varepsilon/2}$  intersects  $R$  in exactly two points  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . We can assume that the two open intervals of  $R$ ,  $(y_1, x_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$ , are disjoint from  $\text{int } D$ .

Since  $M$  is nowhere dense there are two closed intervals  $[b_1, a_1] \subset (y_1, x_1)$  and  $[a_2, b_2] \subset (x_2, y_2)$  disjoint from  $M$ . We assume  $b_1 \notin (a_1, x_1)$  and  $b_2 \notin (x_2, a_2)$ .

Afterwards we put

$$F = \text{conv} \{D \cup \{a_1\} \cup \{a_2\}\}$$

and we choose a positive real number  $\alpha$  such that

$$2\alpha < \min(d(a_1, b_1), d(a_2, b_2), d(b_1, F), d(b_2, F)).$$

Obviously  $\alpha < \varepsilon/4$ .

Moreover since  $D \subset F \subset F_\alpha \subset (F_\alpha)_\alpha$ ; from the same Lemma used in (i) there is a positive  $\eta$  such that if  $S \in C$  and  $\delta(S, F_\alpha) \leq \eta$ , then  $F \subset S \subset (F_\alpha)_\alpha$ . We claim that

$$B(F_\alpha, \eta)$$

is the open set we are looking for.

Firstly we observe that  $b_1 \notin (F_\alpha)_\alpha$ , since, otherwise, there would exist a point  $y \in F$  such that  $b_1 \in B(y, 2\alpha)$  and then  $d(b_1, y) \leq 2\alpha < d(b_1, F)$ , which is a contradiction.

Then  $b_1 \notin (F_\alpha)_\alpha$ ,  $a_1 \in \text{bd } F$  and analogously for  $b_2$  and  $a_2$ .

Since, for each  $S \in B(F_\alpha, \eta)$ , we have that  $F \subset S \subset (F_\alpha)_\alpha$ , it follows that

$$(\text{bd } S) \cap R \subset [b_1, a_1] \cup [a_2, b_2]$$

and therefore  $S \notin M$ .

In order to show that  $B(F_\alpha, \eta) \subset B(D, \varepsilon)$  we put

$$\sigma = \max(d(a_1, D), d(a_2, D)).$$

Then, from  $\sigma < \varepsilon/2$  and  $F \subset D_\sigma$ , it follows that

$$D \subset S \subset (F_\alpha)_\alpha \subset (D_\sigma)_{2\alpha} = D_{\sigma+2\alpha} \subset D_\varepsilon.$$

iii) If  $R$  is a support straightline of  $D$ , i.e.  $(\text{bd } D) \cap R$  is either a single point either a line segment, we can consider in the neighbourhood  $B(D, \varepsilon)$  the convex body  $D_{\varepsilon/2}$ . Then there is only to apply to the neighbourhood  $B(D_{\varepsilon/2}, \varepsilon/4)$  the procedure used in (ii).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BERGER, *Géométrie*, Cedic/Fernand Nathan, Paris (1977).
- [2] E. P. DOLŽENKO, *The boundary properties of arbitrary functions*, Russian, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Mat., 31 (1967), pp. 3-14.
- [3] L. ZAJÍČEK, *Sets of  $\sigma$ -porosity and sets of  $\sigma$ -porosity ( $q$ )*, Casopis Pest. Mat., 101 (1976), pp. 350-359.
- [4] L. ZAJÍČEK, *Porosity and  $\sigma$ -porosity*, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 13(2) (1987/88), pp. 314-350.
- [5] T. ZAMFIRESCU, *How many sets are porous?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 100 (1987), pp. 383-387.