

GIOVANNI PROUSE (*)

Errata-corrige to the paper « Analysis of a three-dimensional model of water circulation in a basin ».

(vol. V, fasc. 2 (1981-82))

In the above mentioned paper the equations of motion (in a weak sense) of viscous incompressible final in a basis with a free surface were given by relations (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8).

reasons (4.5), (4.5), (4.5), (4.5), (4.5).

These equations were obtained from the classical weak Navier-Stokes equations in the unknown functions $\mathbf{u}(x, y, z, t)$ (velocity of the fluid), $\varphi(x, y, t)$ (height of the free surface over the bottom of the basin) by means of the change of variables

$$\xi = x$$
, $\eta = y$, $\zeta = \frac{2 - q(x, y, t)}{1 + q(x, y, y)}$, $\tau = t$.

It was stated that the components a_1^* , a_2^* , a_3^* of the new unknown function

$$u^*(\xi, \eta, \zeta, \tau) = u(\xi, \eta, (1 + \varphi)\zeta + \varphi, \tau)$$

corresponded to the components of u in the new reference frame.

This is not so; a straightforward calculation shows, in fact, that s_i^n (i = 1, 2, 3) represent the components of the vector \mathbf{z}_i with respect to the system P_1 , P_2 , P_3 , P_4 , where

$$P_{i} = ix_{i} + jy_{i} + k_{\zeta i} = i + k(1 + \zeta)q_{i},$$

 $P_{\eta} = ix_{\eta} + jy_{\eta} + k_{\zeta \eta} = j + k(1 + \zeta)q_{\eta},$
 $P_{\zeta} = ix_{\zeta} + jy_{\zeta} + k_{\zeta \zeta} = k(1 + q).$

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dipartimento di Matematica del Politocnico, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci, 32,

Barrer Co.

Equation (4.6) must consequently be written

$$\begin{split} & \delta_{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}^{\sigma}, \mathbf{u}^{\sigma}, \mathbf{h}^{\sigma}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\mathbf{u}^{\sigma}, \nabla) \mathbf{u}^{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{\sigma}(1 + \varphi) \, d\xi \, d\varphi \, d\xi = \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\mathbf{v}^{\sigma}_{2k}^{\sigma} + 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k}} + 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k}}) \, \mathbf{h}^{\sigma}(1 + \varphi) \, d\xi \, d\varphi \, d\xi = \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\mathbf{v}^{\sigma}_{2k}^{\sigma} - 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k}} + 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k}}) + 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k}} (\mathbf{v}^{\sigma}_{2k} - 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k}} + 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k}}) \\ & + (d\xi'(1 + 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k}} + 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k}} + 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k}}) + 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k}} (\mathbf{v}^{\sigma}_{2k} - 2\epsilon^{\frac{2kq}{2k$$

This different interpretation of equations (4.3) ... (4.8) does not modify the results obtained in the sequel.

We would also like to point out that the interpretation related at § 3 of the boundary condition (3.3) at the free surface most obviously be modified. Equation (3.3) imposes, as is well known, that, if at a certain time \bar{t} a fluid particle is on the free surface, it remains there $V > \bar{t}$.