## Wave solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations in a generalized Peres space-time (\*\*)

## 1. Introduction

The Einstein-Maxwell equations in the absence of source are

(1.1) 
$$R_k^i - (1/2) R \delta_k^i + F_{0}^i F_{0}^i + F_{0}^i * F_{0}^i = 0$$
,

(1.2) a) 
$$F_{i,j} = 0$$
 and b)  $*F_{i,j} = 0$ ,

where  $R_k^i$  is the Ricci tensor,  $F_{ij} = -F_{ji}$  the electromagnetic field tensor and  ${}^*F_{ij} = (1/2) (-g)^{ij} e_{ijkl} P^{ij}$  its dual. The covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor  $g_{ij}$  is denoted by a semi-colon.

Lal and Pandey [1] have considered a generalized Peres metric [2] in view of the works done by Patel and Vaidya [3], Lal and Ali [4] and Takeno [5], given by

$$(1.3) ds^2 = - Adx^2 - Bdy^2 - (1 - E) dz^2 - 2 Edzdt + (1 + E) dt^2$$

where A and B are any functions of  $z_i$  t and E is any function of  $x_i$  y,  $z_i$  t; and have also obtained the wave-like solutions of the field equations of general relativity. In this paper we propose to obtain the wave-like solutions (in the sense of Takeno [5]) of Einstein-Maxwell equations (1.1) and (1.2) in the generalized Peres space-stife (1.3), which is non-flat in general.

The values of go, R<sub>0</sub> etc. have been calulated for the metric (1.3) in [1], however it has been preferred to give below the values of go, R<sub>0</sub> and R only as:

(1.4) 
$$g^{aa} = -1/A$$
,  $g^{aa} = -1/B$ ,  $g^{aa} = -(1 + E)$ ,  $g^{a4} = -E = g^{aa}$ ,  $g^{a4} = (1 - E)$ , and other  $g^{aj} = 0$ ,

<sup>(\*)</sup> Department of Applied Sciences M.M.M. Engineering College, Gorakhpur, India.
(\*\*) Memoria presentata dall'Accademico dei XL ENRICO BOMPIANI il 21-1-1974.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{R}_{11} &= (\mathbf{A}_{33} - \mathbf{A}_{34})^2 + \mathbf{E} \left( \mathbf{A}_{34} + \mathbf{2}_{A4} + \mathbf{A}_{44} \right)^2 - (\mathbf{A}^2_{A} - \mathbf{A}^2_{A})^4 \mathbf{A} + \\ &+ \mathbf{W} \left( \mathbf{A}_{3} + \mathbf{A}_{4} \right)^2 + (\mathbf{A}_{3} \mathbf{B}_{4} - \mathbf{A}_{3} \mathbf{B}_{4})^4 \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{E} \left( \mathbf{A}_{3} + \mathbf{A}_{4} \mathbf{F}_{4} \right)^2 \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{R}_{13} &= -\mathbf{R}_{14} = (\mathbf{I}/2) \left( \mathbf{E}_{13} + \mathbf{E}_{14} \right) - (\mathbf{I}/4) \mathbf{E}_{1} \mathbf{P}_{1} \\ \mathbf{R}_{21} &= \left( \mathbf{E}_{33} - \mathbf{B}_{44} \right)^2 + \mathbf{E} \left( \mathbf{B}_{3} + 2 \mathbf{B}_{34} + \mathbf{B}_{44} \right)^2 - (\mathbf{B}^2_{3} - \mathbf{B}^2_{4})^4 \mathbf{B} + \\ &+ \mathbf{W} \left( \mathbf{B}_{3} + \mathbf{B}_{34} \right)^2 + (\mathbf{A}_{3} \mathbf{E}_{3} - \mathbf{A}_{4} \mathbf{B}_{34})^4 \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E} \left( \mathbf{B}_{3} + \mathbf{B}_{34} \right)^2 \mathbf{B} \right)^2 \mathbf{A} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{(1.5)} \quad & \mathbf{R}_{12} = -\mathbf{R}_{14} = (1/2) \, \mathbf{E}_{13} + \mathbf{E}_{3} [2 + (\mathbf{A}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} - \mathbf{A}_{4} \, \mathbf{B}_{3} ] 4 \, \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E} \, (\mathbf{B}_{3} + \mathbf{B}_{4}) \, \mathbf{P} [4 \, \mathbf{A}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} - \mathbf{A}_{4} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} - \mathbf{E}_{3} ] \\ & \mathbf{E}_{13} = -\mathbf{R}_{14} = (1/2) \, \mathbf{E}_{13} + \mathbf{E}_{3} [2 + (\mathbf{E}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} - \mathbf{E}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} ] \\ & \mathbf{E}_{13} = \mathbf{A}_{3} (2 \, \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} - \mathbf{E}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} ) \\ & \mathbf{E}_{44} = \mathbf{A}_{4} (2 \, \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}_{14} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} + \mathbf{U} - (\mathbf{A}_{3} \, \mathbf{A}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3} \, \mathbf{B}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{3}) [4 - \mathbf{E}_{3} \, \mathbf{E}_{4} \, \, \mathbf{E}_{$$

$$\begin{split} \text{(1.6)} \quad & \text{R} = (\text{A}_3^1 - \text{A}_4^1)^2 \, \text{A}^2 + (\text{B}_3^1 - \text{B}_4^1)^2 \, \text{B}^2 - \text{E} \left( \text{A}_3 + \text{A}_4 \right) \left( \text{B}_3 + \text{B}_4 \right)^2 \, \text{A} \, \text{B} - \\ & - \left( \text{A}_3 \, \text{B}_3 - \text{A}_4 \, \text{B}_4 \right)^2 \, \text{AB} - \text{WQ} - \text{V} - \left( \text{A}_{33} - \text{A}_{44} \right) \left( \text{A} - (\text{B}_{33} - \text{B}_{44}) \right) \, \text{B} - \\ & - \text{E} \left( \left( \text{A}_{33} + 2 \text{A}_{34} + \text{A}_{44} \right) \left( \text{A} + (\text{B}_{33} + 2 \text{B}_{34} + \text{B}_{44}) \right) \, \text{B} \right) \end{split}$$

where  $P=(A_3+A_4)/A-(B_3+B_4)/B$ ,  $Q=(A_3+A_4)/A+(B_2+B_4)/B$ ,  $V=E_{21}+2E_{24}+E_{44}S=A_2/4A+B_2/4B$ ,  $T=A_2/4A+B_3/4B$ ,  $V=E_2+E_4$ ,  $V=(E_{11},V=(E_{11},A+E_{22}/B))/2+EV/2-EW/2/4$  and the suffixes 1,2,3,4 denote partial derivatives of A,B,E with respect to X,Y,Z,E respectively.

The values of  $R_k^i = g^{ij} R_{kj}$  can easily be calculated with the help of (1.4) and (1.5).

The null electromagnetic field  $F_{ij}$  (in the sense of Synge [6]) and its contravariant components  $F^{ij}$  considered in [1] are

and their dual tensors are

$$\text{(1.8)} \quad \text{a)} \quad {}^{*}F_{ij} = \left| \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & - \rho \, \sqrt{A/B} \;\; \rho \, \sqrt{A/B} \\ 0 & 0 & -\sigma \, \sqrt{B/A} \;\; \sigma \, \sqrt{B/A} \\ \rho \, \sqrt{A/B} & \sigma \, \sqrt{E/A} & 0 & 0 \\ - \rho \, \sqrt{A/B} & -\sigma \, \sqrt{B/A} & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$$

and b) \*F0 = 
$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\rho/\sqrt{AB} & -\rho/\sqrt{AB} \\ 0 & 0 & -\sigma/\sqrt{AB} & -\sigma/\sqrt{AB} \\ \rho/\sqrt{AB} & \sigma/\sqrt{AB} & 0 & 0 \\ \rho/\sqrt{AB} & \sigma/\sqrt{AB} & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

where o and o are functions of x, y, z-t satisfying

(1.9) 
$$\delta_1 \rho + \delta_2 \sigma = 0$$
,  $(\delta_1 = i \delta x \text{ etc.})$ .

2. Solution of equation (1.1) and (1.2)

On substituing the relevant quantities in (1.2) a) we find

(2.1) a) 
$$P = 0$$
 and b)  $A \delta_1 \rho - B \delta_1 \sigma = 0$ 

for  $i=1,\,2$  and  $i=3,\,4$  respectively, and (1.2) b) is identically satisfied with the aid of (1.9).

Again the non-vanishing components of Fig.Fig. and \*Fig.\*Fig. are

where  $\lambda = \rho^2/B + \sigma^2/A$ . Since  $\delta^i_i$  are defined as

$$3) \qquad \qquad \delta_i = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad i = j \; , \quad \delta_i = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j$$

the equation (1.1), with the help of (2.2), reduces to

Now we shall find the solutions of (2.4) using (2.1) a).

Case I. Since P = 0, let the quantities on either side vanish separately i.e.,

$$(A_3 + A_4)/A = (B_3 + B_4)/B = 0$$
,

which gives on integration

$$(2.5) \hspace{1cm} \text{a)} \hspace{0.2cm} \Lambda = \Lambda \hspace{0.1cm} (z - t) \hspace{1cm} \text{and} \hspace{0.2cm} \text{b)} \hspace{0.2cm} B = B \hspace{0.1cm} (z - t) \hspace{0.2cm} .$$

This helps the simplification of (2.4) to

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(2.6)} & \text{(3)} & \text{V} = 0 \\ \text{b)} & (\mathbb{E}_{13}|\Lambda + \mathbb{E}_{18}|\mathbb{B})|2 - (\overline{\Lambda}^2|\Lambda^2 + \overline{\mathbb{B}}^2|\mathbb{B}^2)/4 + \mathbb{W}(\overline{\Lambda}/4\Lambda + \overline{\mathbb{B}}/4\mathbb{B}) - \\ & - (\overline{\Lambda}/\Lambda + \overline{\mathbb{B}}/\mathbb{B}) = 2 \left( g^2/\mathbb{B} + \sigma^2/\Lambda \right) \\ \text{e)} & (\mathbb{E}_{13} + \mathbb{E}_{14})/\mathbb{E}_1 = - (\mathbb{E}_{19} + \mathbb{E}_{14})/\mathbb{E}_2 = 0 \text{ , } ('--' \equiv \delta \wedge (z - t)) \text{ .} \end{array}$$

Integrating (2.6) a) and (2.6) c) we find that

(2.7) 
$$E = f(x, y, z - t) + zg(z - t)$$
.

Thus, we have:

Thus, we have: A necessary and sufficient condition that  $g_{ij}$  and  $F_{ij}$  constitute a solution of (1.1) and (1.2) is that A, B, E,  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$  satisfying (2.5) a), (2.5) b), (2.7), (1.9); satisfy (2.1) and (2.6) b).

Case II. Since P = 0, let the quantities on either side are non-vanishing ie.,

$$(A_3 + A_4)/A = (B_3 + B_4)/B \neq 0.$$

It follows easily from (2.8) that

$$(A_{33} + 2 A_{34} + A_{44})/A = (B_{33} + 2 B_{34} + B_{44})/B$$

and

(2.10) 
$$(A_{33}-A_{44})/A-(B_{33}-B_{44})/B=\nu\;\{(A_{3}-A_{4})/A-(B_{3}-B_{4})/B\}$$
 , where  $\nu=(A_{3}+A_{4})/A$ 

Again, using (2.8) and (2.9) in  $R_{11}/A = R_{22}/B$  of (2.4) a) we find that

$$(2.11) \quad (A_{33} - A_{44})/A - (B_{33} - B_{44})/B = (\nu/2) \; ((A_3 - A_4)/A - (B_3 - B_4)/B) \; \; .$$

Hence, it follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

(2.12) 
$$(A_3 - A_4)/A = (B_3 - B_4)/B$$
.

(2.8) and (2.12) give A/B = constant which, by certain transformations, can be reduced to

$$A = B.$$

Therefore, (2.4) b) can be written as

$$\delta_3 v + \delta_4 v + (1/2) v^2 = 0$$

which gives on integration

$$(2.14) \qquad \qquad A \psi (z-t) = \{z - \Phi (z-t)\}^z \; .$$

The equation (2.4) d) and (2.4) a) give on simplification

(2.15) 
$$E = (x y)^{1/2} f(z - t) + g(z, t)$$

and 
$$\label{eq:condition} v \, (3 \to v/2 \, + \, W) \, = \, (A_{44} - A_{33})/A$$

respectively. Therefore we have:

A necessary and sufficient condition that  $g_{ij}$  and  $F_{ij}$  constitute a solution of (1.1) and (1.2) is that A, E,  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$  satisfying (2.14), (2.15), (2.16), (1.9); satisfy (2.1) and (2.24) e.

## 3. WAVE-LIKE SOLUTIONS IN PERES SPACE-TIME

The metric (1.3) reduces to Peres metric [2] if we take A=B=1 and E=E (x, y, z — t). Therefore (2.1) and (2.4) reduces to

$$(3.1) \qquad \qquad \delta_1 \rho - \delta_1 \sigma = 0$$

.....

(3.2) 
$$(\delta_{11} + \delta_{22}) E = 4 (\rho^2 + \sigma^2)$$

respectively. Hence, the equations (1.2) are equivalently to the following Cauchy-Riemann type equations

$$\delta_1 \rho + \delta_2 \sigma = 0$$
,  $\delta_2 \rho - \delta_1 \sigma = 0$ .

Thus, a necessary and sufficient condition that g<sub>B</sub> given by

$$ds^2 = -dx^2 - dy^2 - (1 - E) dz^2 - 2 E dz dt + (1 + E) dt^2$$
,  $E = E(x, y, z - t)$ 

and  $F_{ij}$  given by (1.7) a) where  $E, \rho, \sigma$ , are functions of x, y, z - t, satisfy the field equations (1.1) and (1.2) is that  $E, \rho, \sigma$  satisfy (3.2) and (3.3).

Again it should be noted that the conditions (3.2) and (3.3) give no restriction to the dependence of  $E_1 \rho_2 \sigma$  on z - t. When  $\rho_1 \sigma$  vanish, the above result is reduced to Peres [2] result concerning in an empty region. Likewise the Einstein-Maxwell equation is reduced to Einstein tensor

$$R^{j}_{k}$$
 — (1/2)  $R \delta^{j}_{k} = 0$ 

which, subsequently, gives  $R_{ij}=0$ , Einstein's field equation in empty region. As the space-time is non-flat and the metric tensor is not only the function of z-t, the solutions have been called wave-like in the sense of Takeno [5].

## REFERENCES

- K. B. Lal and S. N. Pander: Wave solutions of the field equations of general relativity in a generalized Peres space-time. Tensor, N.S., 28 (1974) (in Press).
- [2] A. Peres: Some gravitational waves, Phys Rev. Let. 3 (1959), 571-572.
- [3] L. K. PATEL and P. C. VAIDVA: A generalized plane wave metric, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, XV, nº 2 (1971), 169-175.
- [4] K. B. Lal and N. All: Thewave solutions of field equations of general relativity in a general lized Takeno space-time, Tensor, N. S. 21 (1970), 134-137.
- [5] H. TAKENO: The mathematical theory of plane gravitational waves in general relativity, Sci-Rep. Res. Inst. Theo. Phys. Hiroshima Univ. 1 (1961).
- [6] J. L. Synur: Relativity; The special theory, Amsterdam, (1956), Chap. I.